




Modern Systems Analysis and Design

Eighth Edition, Global Edition

**Joseph S. Valacich
Joey F. George**

Determining System Requirements



Learning Objectives

- ✓ Describe options for designing and conducting interviews and develop a plan for conducting an interview to determine system requirements.
- ✓ Explain the advantages and pitfalls of observing workers and analyzing business documents to determine system requirements.
- ✓ Explain how computing can provide support for requirements determination.
- ✓ Participate in and help plan a Joint Application Design session.



Learning Objectives (Cont.)

- ✓ Use prototyping during requirements determination.
- ✓ Describe contemporary approaches to requirements determination.
- ✓ Understand how requirements determination techniques apply to the development of electronic commerce applications.



Performing Requirements Determination




FIGURE 6-1

Systems development life cycle with analysis phase highlighted



The Process of Determining Requirements

- Good Systems Analyst Characteristics:
 - Impertinence—question everything
 - Impartiality—consider all issues to find the best organizational solution
 - Relax constraints—assume anything is possible
 - Attention to details—every fact must fit
 - Reframing—challenge yourself to new ways



Organizational Components to Understand

- Business objectives that drive what and how work is done
- Information people need to do their jobs
- The data (definition, volume, size, etc.)
- Existing data movement, transformation and storage processes
- Dependencies and sequences
- Data handling/processing rules
- Business policies and guidelines
- Key events



Deliverables and Outcomes

- Deliverables for Requirements Determination:
 - From interviews and observations
 - interview transcripts, observation notes, meeting minutes
 - From existing written documents
 - mission and strategy statements, business forms, procedure manuals, job descriptions, training manuals, system documentation, flowcharts
 - From computerized sources
 - Joint Application Design session results, CASE repositories, reports from existing systems, displays and reports from system prototype



Traditional Methods for Determining Requirements

- Interviewing individuals
- Interviewing groups
- Observing workers
- Studying business documents



Interviewing and Listening

- One of the primary ways analysts gather information about an information systems project
- An **interview guide** is a document for developing, planning and conducting an interview.



Guidelines for Effective Interviewing

- Plan the interview.
 - Prepare interviewee: appointment, priming questions.
 - Prepare agenda, checklist, questions.
- Listen carefully and take notes (tape record if permitted).
- Review notes within 48 hours.
- Be neutral.
- Seek diverse views.

Interviewing and Listening (Cont.)

Interview Outline	
Interviewee: <i>Name of person being interviewed</i>	Interviewer: <i>Name of person leading interview</i>
Location/Medium: <i>Office, conference room, or phone number</i>	Appointment Date: Start Time: End Time:
Objectives: <i>What data to collect On what to gain agreement What areas to explore</i>	Reminders: <i>Background/experience of interviewee Known opinions of interviewee</i>
Agenda: Introduction Background on Project Overview of Interview Topics to Be Covered Permission to Record Topic 1 Questions Topic 2 Questions ... Summary of Major Points Questions from Interviewee Closing	Approximate Time: 1 minute 2 minutes 1 minute 5 minutes 7 minutes ... 2 minutes 5 minutes 1 minute

FIGURE 6-2 Typical interview guide

Interviewing and Listening (Cont.)

Unresolved Issues, Topics Not Covered: <i>He needs to look up sales figures from 1999. He raised the issue of how to handle returned goods, but we did not have time to discuss.</i>	
Interviewee:	Date:
Questions:	Notes:
<i>When to ask question, if conditional</i> Question: 1 <i>Have you used the current sales tracking system? If so, how often?</i>	Answer <i>Yes, I ask for a report on my product line weekly.</i> Observations <i>Seemed anxious — may be overestimating usage frequency.</i>
<i>If yes, go to Question 2</i>	
Question: 2 <i>What do you like least about the system?</i>	Answer <i>Sales are shown in units, not dollars.</i> Observations <i>System can show sales in dollars, but user does not know this.</i>

FIGURE 6-2 Typical interview guide (cont.)



Choosing Interview Questions

- Each question in an interview guide can include both verbal and non-verbal information.
 - **Open-ended questions:** questions that have no pre-specified answers
 - **Closed-ended questions:** questions that ask those responding to choose from among a set of specified responses



Interviewing Guidelines

- Don't phrase a question in a way that implies a right or wrong answer.
- Listen very carefully.
- Type interview notes within 48 hours after the interview.
- Don't set expectations about the new system unless you know these will be deliverables.
- Seek a variety of perspectives from the interviews.



Interviewing Groups

- Drawbacks to individual interviews:
 - Contradictions and inconsistencies between interviewees
 - Follow-up discussions are time consuming
 - New interviews may reveal new questions that require additional interviews with those interviewed earlier



Interviewing Groups (Cont.)

- Interviewing several key people together
 - Advantages
 - More effective use of time
 - Can hear agreements and disagreements at once
 - Opportunity for synergies
 - Disadvantages
 - More difficult to schedule than individual interviews



Nominal Group Technique (NGT)

- A facilitated process that supports idea generation by groups
- Process
 - Members come together as a group, but initially work separately.
 - Each person writes ideas.
 - Facilitator reads ideas out loud, and they are written on a blackboard or flipchart.
 - Group openly discusses the ideas for clarification.
 - Ideas are prioritized, combined, selected, reduced.
- Used to complement group meetings or as part of JAD effort



Directly Observing Users

■ Direct Observation

- Watching users do their jobs
- Used to obtain more firsthand and objective measures of employee interaction with information systems
- Can cause people to change their normal operating behavior
- Time-consuming and limited time to observe



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents

■ Document Analysis

- Review of existing business documents
- Can give a historical and “formal” view of system requirements



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)

- Types of information to be discovered:
 - Problems with existing system
 - Opportunity to meet new need
 - Organizational direction
 - Names of key individuals
 - Values of organization
 - Special information processing circumstances
 - Reasons for current system design
 - Rules for processing data



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)

- Potential Problems with Procedure Documents:
 - May involve duplication of effort
 - May have missing procedures
 - May be out of date
 - May contradict information obtained through interviews



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)

- **Formal Systems:** the official way a system works as described in organizational documentation (i.e. work procedure)
- **Informal Systems:** the way a system actually works (i.e. interviews, observations)



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)

■ **Useful document: Business form**

- Used for all types of business functions from recording an order acknowledging the payment of a bill to indicating what goods have been shipped.
- Explicitly indicates what data flow in and out of a system and data necessary for the system to function
- Gives crucial information about the nature of the organization

An invoice form from Microsoft Excel
(*Source*: Microsoft Corporation.)

6-24



Analyzing Procedures and Other Documents (Cont.)

■ **Useful document: Report**


- Primary output of current system
- Enables you to work backwards from the report to the data needed to generate it

■ **Useful document: Description of current information system**

Observation vs. Document Analysis

TABLE 6-4 Comparison of Observation and Document Analysis

Characteristic	Observation	Document Analysis
Information Richness	High (many channels)	Low (passive) and old
Time Required	Can be extensive	Low to moderate
Expense	Can be high	Low to moderate
Chance for Follow-Up and Probing	Good: probing and clarification questions can be asked during or after observation	Limited: probing possible only if original author is available
Confidentiality	Observee is known to interviewer; observee may change behavior when observed	Depends on nature of document; does not change simply by being read
Involvement of Subject	Interviewees may or may not be involved and committed depending on whether they know if they are being observed	None, no clear commitment
Potential Audience	Limited numbers and limited time (snapshot) of each	Potentially biased by which documents were kept or because document was not created for this purpose



Contemporary Methods for Determining System Requirements

■ **Joint Application Design (JAD)**

- Brings together key users, managers, and systems analysts
- Purpose: collect system requirements simultaneously from key people
- Conducted off-site

■ **CASE tools**

- Used to analyze existing systems
- Help discover requirements to meet changing business conditions

■ **System prototypes**

- Iterative development process
- Rudimentary working version of system is built
- Refine understanding of system requirements in concrete terms



Joint Application Design (JAD)

- Intensive group-oriented requirements determination technique
- Team members meet in isolation for an extended period of time
- Highly focused
- Resource intensive
- Started by IBM in 1970s

JAD (Cont.)




FIGURE 6-6 Illustration of the typical room layout for a JAD
(Source: Based on Wood and Silver, 1995.)



JAD (Cont.)

■ JAD Participants:

- **Session Leader:** organizes and runs JAD session
- **Users:** active, speaking participants
- **Managers:** active, speaking participants
- **Sponsor:** high-level champion, limited participation
- **Systems Analysts:** should mostly listen
- **Scribe:** record session activities
- **IS Staff:** should mostly listen



JAD (Cont.)

■ End Result

- Documentation detailing existing system
- Features of proposed system



CASE Tools During JAD

- Diagramming and form-building CASE tools are used
- Enables analysts to enter system models directly into CASE during the JAD session
- Screen designs and prototyping can be done during JAD and shown to users



Using Prototyping During Requirements Determination

- **Prototyping** – an iterative process of systems development in which requirements are converted to a working system that is continually revised through close collaboration between an analyst and users.
- Quickly converts requirements to working version of system
- Once the user sees requirements converted to system, will ask for modifications or will generate additional requests

Using Prototyping During Requirements Determination (Cont.)





Figure 6-7
The prototyping methodology
(Source: Based on “Prototyping: The New Paradigm for Systems Development,” by J. D. Naumann and A. M. Jenkins, *MIS Quarterly* 6(3): 29–44.)




Using Prototyping During Requirements Determination (Cont.)

- Evolutionary prototyping – prototype becomes the basis of the operational system
 - Prototype needs to be built in order to address the functional needs of the production system (e.g. database processing and coding logic).
- Throwaway prototyping – prototype is just a model, discarded after use
 - Prototype is just a mockup of screens shots an simple functionality, and production system will be built from scratch.



Using Prototyping During Requirements Determination (Cont.)

- Most useful when:
 - User requests are not clear.
 - Few users are involved in the system.
 - Designs are complex and require concrete form.
 - There is a history of communication problems between analysts and users.
 - Tools and data are readily available to build prototypes.



Using Prototyping During Requirements Determination (Cont.)

■ Drawbacks

- Tendency to avoid formal documentation
- Difficult to adapt to a more general user audience
- Prototypes are often built as stand-alone systems, thus sharing data and interactions with other systems are often not considered.
- Systems Development Life Cycle (SDLC) checks are often bypassed



Summary

- In this chapter you learned how to:
 - ✓ Describe interviewing options and develop interview plan.
 - ✓ Explain advantages and pitfalls of worker observation and document analysis.
 - ✓ Explain how computing can support requirements determination.
 - ✓ Participate in and help plan Joint Application Design sessions.



Summary (Cont.)

- ✓ Use prototyping during requirements determination.
- ✓ Describe contemporary approaches to requirements determination.
- ✓ Understand how requirements determination techniques apply to the development of electronic commerce applications.