

✓ Congratulations! You passed!

TO PASS 80% or higher



GRADE 100%

Natural Language Processing & Word Embeddings

| LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| 100% | | | |

| 1. | Suppose you learn a word embedding for a vocabulary of 10000 words. Then the embedding vectors should be 10000 dimensional, so as to capture the full range of variation and meaning in those words. True False | 1/1 point |
|----|--|-----------|
| | Correct The dimension of word vectors is usually smaller than the size of the vocabulary. Most common sizes for word vectors ranges between 50 and 400. | |
| 2. | What is t-SNE? A linear transformation that allows us to solve analogies on word vectors A non-linear dimensionality reduction technique A supervised learning algorithm for learning word embeddings An open-source sequence modeling library | 1/1 point |
| | ✓ Correct Yes | |

3. Suppose you download a pre-trained word embedding which has been trained on a huge corpus of text. You then use this 1/1 point word embedding to train an RNN for a language task of recognizing if someone is happy from a short snippet of text, using a small training set.

| x (input text) | y (happy?) |
|------------------------------|------------|
| I'm feeling wonderful today! | 1 |
| I'm bummed my cat is ill. | 0 |
| Really enjoying this! | 1 |

Then even if the word "ecstatic" does not appear in your small training set, your RNN might reasonably be expected to recognize "I'm ecstatic" as deserving a label y=1.

True

○ False



Yes, word vectors empower your model with an incredible ability to generalize. The vector for "ecstatic would contain a positive/happy connotation which will probably make your model classified the sentence as a "1".

4. Which of these equations do you think should hold for a good word embedding? (Check all that apply)



 \square θ_{\perp} and e_{\perp} are both 10000 dimensional vectors

| | of and of are pour room annersonal receipt | |
|-----|--|---------|
| | $m{arphi}_t$ and e_c are both trained with an optimization algorithm such as Adam or gradient descent. | |
| | ✓ Correct | |
| | $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | |
| 9. | Suppose you have a 10000 word vocabulary, and are learning 500-dimensional word embeddings. The GloVe model minimizes this objective: | 1 point |
| | $\min \sum_{i=1}^{10,000} \sum_{j=1}^{10,000} f(X_{ij}) (heta_i^T e_j + b_i + b_j' - log X_{ij})^2$ | |
| | Which of these statements are correct? Check all that apply. | |
| | $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | |
| | $oldsymbol{arphi}_i$ and e_j should be initialized randomly at the beginning of training. | |
| | ✓ Correct | |
| | $oldsymbol{ odd} X_{ij}$ is the number of times word j appears in the context of word i. | |
| | ✓ Correct | |
| | lacksquare The weighting function $f(.)$ must satisfy $f(0)=0.$ | |
| | ✓ Correct The weighting function helps prevent learning only from extremely common word pairs. It is not necessary | |
| | that it satisfies this function. | |
| 10. | 0. You have trained word embeddings using a text dataset of m_1 words. You are considering using these word embeddings for a language task, for which you have a separate labeled dataset of m_2 words. Keeping in mind that using word embeddings is a form of transfer learning, under which of these circumstance would you expect the word embeddings to be helpful? | 1 point |
| | | |
| | $\bigcirc m_1 \ll m_2$ | |
| | ✓ Correct | |
| | | |
| | | |