

Anthony's Heuristic Evaluations!

Josh's design:

Visibility of system status

- Shown good consistent use of system status (dates and updating distance markers, etc.)
- 0 severity

Match between system and real world

- Use of realistic map allows for good connection to real world, some text heavy pages are a bit confusing at first
- 1 severity

User control and freedom

- Good allowance of freedom, able to move back and forth freely
- 0 severity

Consistency and standards

- Consistent use of icons and general structure
- 0 severity

Error prevention

- Good use of call to action to prevent confusion, although still can be confusing with lots of text-heavy pages
- 2 severity

Recognition rather than recall

- Use of recognizable icons makes navigation more intuitive
- 0 severity

Flexibility and efficiency of use

- Using favorites design and share is convenient and allows for efficiency, slightly overwhelming
- 2 severity

Aesthetic and minimalist design

- A bit crowded text-wise, because of sizing may be difficult to read for those with impairments
- 2 severity

Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors

- No errors are seen, difficult to comment on this
- 1 severity

Help and documentation

- Alternative times or similar functions give good documentation and help
- 0 severity

Overall:

- + Central, well organized design
- + Good level of control and information
- A bit confusing at first glance
- Cluttered

Adam's design:

Visibility of system status

-

Match between system and real world

- Use of realistic map allows for good connection to real world, some text heavy pages are a bit confusing at first
- 1 severity

User control and freedom

- Good allowance of freedom, lots of customization, no issues here
- 0 severity

Consistency and standards

- Very good consistent structural usage, no issues here. Icons used are easily recognized
- 0 severity

Error prevention

- Again, good use of call to action to prevent confusion
- 0 severity

Recognition rather than recall

- Great use of recognizable icons such as floppy disk = save
- 0 severity

Flexibility and efficiency of use

- Very flexible, some minor issues with efficiency due to possibly too much going on on one page
- 1 severity

Aesthetic and minimalist design

- Very text-heavy, maybe a bit too much for accessibility design.
- 3 severity

Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors

- Info button offers help in case of error or confusion
- 0 severity

Help and documentation

- Info buttons give good documentation and help
- 0 severity

Overall:

- + Well structured design, easy to reference current location
- + Clean, button focused design
- + Good flexibility for users
- Too much information on certain pages (i.e route saved)

Philip's design:

Visibility of system status

- Very clean easily intuitive design,
- 0 severity

Match between system and real world

- Use of interactive map is a great match choice
- 0 severity

User control and freedom

- Good control, although freedom is a bit limited due to suggestion-style nature of design
- 2 severity

Consistency and standards

- Great consistency and easily recognized structure
- 0 severity

Error prevention

- No seen form of error prevention, maybe worth looking into
- 2 severity

Recognition rather than recall

- Use of easily recognizable structure and icon choices make it easy to recognize
- 0 severity

Flexibility and efficiency of use

- Really good flexibility and clean and efficient design, may lack some flexibility, again due to suggestion-style nature of design
- 1 severity

Aesthetic and minimalist design

- Extremely clean and good looking design
- 0 severity

Help users recognize, diagnose, and recover from errors

- Not much documentation for support during errors
- 2 severity

Help and documentation

- Not much documentation for support
- 3 severity

Overall:

- + Very clean, intuitive design
- + Very good freedom and control for users
- Text is very small, may be difficult for accessibility purposes