Heuristic Evaluation on

| Evaluator | Mame. |
|-----------|-------|
| Lvaldatol | Name. |

for them and present users

with a confirmation option

before they commit to the

action.

SEVERITY RATING

- **0** = I don't agree that this is a usability problem at all
- **1** = Cosmetic problem only: fix if time is available
- 2 = Minor usability problem: fixing this should be given low priority
- **3** = Major usability problem: important to fix, given high priority
- **4** = Usability catastrophe: fix this before product can be released

| HEURISTICS | VIOLATION | RECOMMENDATION | SEVERITY |
|--|-----------|----------------|----------|
| 1. Visibility of system status Aalways keep users informed about what is going on, through appropriate feedback within reasonable time. | | | |
| 2. Match between system and the real world Follow real-world conventions, making information appear in a natural and logical order. | | | |
| 3. User control and freedom Users should leave the unwanted state without having to go through an extended dialogue. undo and redo. | | | |
| 4. Consistency and standards Users should not have to wonder whether different words, situations, or actions mean the same thing. | | | |
| 5. Error prevention Either eliminate error- prone conditions or check for them and present users | | | |