

# LawVriksh GenAI Engineer Assignments

Deadline: Submit within 2 days after receiving the assignment

**Note:** A basic frontend for the blog platform will be provided; focus solely on backend/API and reporting.

---

## Assignment 1: Develop Agentic Blog Support System

### Scenario:

You have access to a supplied React-based blog frontend. Build and expose backend APIs that enable an agentic workflow for blog post analysis and keyword recommendation.

### Core Requirements:

#### 1. API Development

- Initialize a fresh backend project (Node.js/Express or Python/FastAPI), no boilerplate provided.
- Endpoints to create:
  - `POST /api/analyze-blogs`
    - Input: array of existing blog texts.
    - Output: for each post, sentiment metrics, extracted key topics, and initial keyword suggestions.
  - `POST /api/recommend-keywords`
    - Input: current draft blog text (partial or full), cursor context (optional), and user profile JSON.
    - Output: a dynamic ranked list of suggested words or phrases to insert next, as well as a real-time readability/relevance score and estimated token usage.
- Secure both endpoints via API key or Bearer JWT.

## 2. Agentic Workflow During Blog Writing

- Implement an agent (server-side orchestrator) that operates in real-time during the blog writing process:
  1. Periodically analyzes the evolving draft using `/api/recommend-keywords` .
  2. Refines suggestions by referencing patterns learned from `/api/analyze-blogs` run on past blog data.
- The agent should suggest new keywords inline or highlight weak sections (based on scoring) as the user types.
- Handle intermittent failures with retries (up to 3 with exponential backoff).

## 3. AI Model Integration

- Integrate an LLM (e.g., OpenAI GPT-4, Llama) of your choice—justify selection in your report.
- Craft prompt templates optimizing token efficiency (include before/after token counts).
- Return token usage per API response.

## 4. Blog Scoring System

- Define and implement a scoring algorithm combining:
  - Keyword relevance (semantic similarity and frequency).
  - Readability metric (e.g., Flesch-Kincaid).
  - User profile factors (preferred topics, reading level).
- Score range should be 0–100.

### Deliverables:

- GitHub repo `genai-intern-agent` with source under `src/` .
- Postman collection demonstrating both endpoints with sample inputs/outputs.
- `REPORT.md` covering architecture, model/prompt rationale, scoring formula, and token efficiency.
- Plagiarism on report is 30% accepted , otherwise your submission will be discarded

---

Note: Assignment 2 is optional , if you completed assignment 2 then this will be considered as a bonus and your chances of selection will be higher

## **Assignment 2: Propose Agentic Control Feature (optional: do it for bonus but focus on first assignment first then think about this one)**

### **Scenario:**

After reviewing the LawVriksh site (both provided frontend and your backend work), propose a new agentic control feature that could automate a key process, improving user experience or content quality.

### **Core Requirements:**

#### **1. Feature Identification**

- Select one area (e.g., comment moderation, content tagging, personalized notifications).
- Describe the current manual or semi-automated process.

#### **2. Agent Design Proposal**

- Outline how an agent would operate: inputs, decision logic, outputs, and integrations.
- Specify which APIs, models, or data sources it would leverage.

#### **3. Impact Analysis**

- Explain expected benefits (e.g., time savings, higher content relevance).
- Identify potential risks or failure modes and mitigation strategies.

#### **4. Deliverables:**

- Extend your `REPORT.md` with a dedicated section for this proposal
- Include any diagrams or pseudo-code snippets in Markdown.
- Plagiarism on report is 30% accepted , otherwise your submission will be discarded

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

1. Clarity and feasibility of the proposed agentic feature.
2. Depth of integration details and technical reasoning.
3. Quality of impact and risk analysis.
4. Overall report structure, readability, and originality.