

I. Knowledge representation.

Logical knowledge representation is the field of artificial intelligence (AI) dedicated to representing information about the world in a form that a computer system can utilize to solve complex tasks such as diagnosing a medical condition or having a dialog in natural language. Knowledge representation incorporates findings from psychology about how humans solve problems.

first order logic (fol or fofc) syntax:-

user define these primitives:-

- ① constant symbols (ie. "individuals in the world")
- ② function symbols (mapping individuals to individuals)
- ③ predicate symbols (mapping from individuals to truth values)

FOL supply ex:- x, y these primitive

- ① variable symbols ex:- x, y
- ② connective not (\neg), and (\wedge), or (\vee), imply (\Rightarrow),
if and only if (\Leftrightarrow)
- ③ quantifiers: universal (\forall) and existential (\exists)

① possible translation for the given sentence or

$$\forall x [\neg G(x) \rightarrow \neg F(x)] \text{ or } \forall x (F(x) \rightarrow G(x))$$

$$\neg \exists x (Z(x) \wedge \neg M(x)) \text{ or } \forall x (Z(x) \rightarrow M(x))$$

$$\forall x (M(x) \rightarrow F(x))$$

$$\forall x (Z(x) \rightarrow G(x))$$

② Syntactic Analysis..

The goal of syntactic analysis is to determine whether the term string on input is a sentence in the given natural language.

Semantic Analysis:-

Semantic and Pragmatic analysis make up the most complex phase of language processing as they build up on security of all mentioned disciplines.

$$a) \forall x \text{ Dog}(x) \Rightarrow \text{Bity}(x, \text{child}(\text{owner}(x)))$$

no dog bity dog's and owner of children

$$b) \nexists x, y \text{ dog}(x) \wedge \text{child}(y, \text{owner}(x)) \wedge \text{Bity}(x, y)$$

(x,y) no dog bity ownr children

$$c) \forall x \text{ Dog}(x) \Rightarrow (\forall y \text{ child}(y, \text{owner}(x))) \Rightarrow \neg \text{Bity}(x, y)$$

All dog donot bite their children of owner,

d) $\exists x \text{ Dog}(x) \Rightarrow \exists y \text{ child}(y, \text{owner}(x)) \wedge \text{bity}(y, x)$

Dog bite the children of owner.

Therefore, the correct translation are (b) and (c)

③ Description logic :- Description logic among formal concept- definitivity that can be reasoned about to be expressed. It is an important element of the semantic web.

(a) Define a person is vegan
people who does not eat or use animal products
 $\neg \text{eat} \neg \text{Animal Product}$

(b) Define a person is vegetarian
people who does not eat animal products
 $\neg \text{eat} \neg \text{Animal}$

(c) Define a person is omnivore
Animal person eat food of both plant and animal
 $\text{eat} \text{ animal}$

II. SPARQL :-

SPARQL is the query language of semantic web.

It lets us .

① pull data from structured and semi structured data.

② explore data by finding unknown relationships.

③ Perform complex join of disparate databases in a single, simple query.

④ Transform RDF data from one vocabulary to another

Query #1 multiple patterns: Property retrieval.

prefix triple: $\langle \text{http://xmu.ly.com/foaf/1.0} \rangle$

select * where $\exists ? \text{ Person } \text{triple} : \text{name ? name}$

? Person triple : where ? email.

Expected output :- Person name email

$\langle \text{http://www.w3.org/people/Berners-Lee} \rangle$ "Amy Vanmarx"

$\langle \text{-mailto: amy@w3.org} \rangle$ Card # Amy

$\langle \text{http://www.w3.org/people/Berners-Lee/Contact\#dcs} \rangle$

"Dean Jackson" $\langle \text{-mailto: dean@w3.org} \rangle$

$\langle \text{http://www.w3.org/people/Berners-Lee/Contact\#ed} \rangle$

"Edd Dumbill" $\langle \text{-mailto: edd@usefuline.com} \rangle$

Query 2 :- multiple patterns :

PREFIX triple :- $\langle \text{http://xmu.ly.com/foaf/} \rangle$

prefix card : $\langle \text{http://www.w3.org/people/Berners-Lee} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{Card\#1} \rangle$

Select ? homepage

from $\langle \text{http://www.w3.org/people/Berners-Lee/} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{Card\#1} \rangle$

Where Σ

cars: foaf:knows ? known ? known foaf:homepage
? homepage }

expected output:-

<http://purdue.org/net-locus/>
<http://www.mellon.org/about-foundation/staff/
program-area-staff/satish>
<http://www.johnseelybrown.com/>
<http://heddy.com/edu>

query 3: Basic SPARQL query:-

prefix dogs: <http://www.w3.org/2000/rdf-schema#>

prefix type: <http://dprezia.org/classyag/>

prefix prop: <http://dprezia.org/property/>

select ?country-name ?population />

Where Σ ?eaty ?animal produce

b) Define a person is vegetarian
people who doesn't eat animal
eaty ?Animal

c) Define a person is omnivore.

Animal person eaty food of both plant and
animal eaty animal

Query 4: finding artist into

Precise no: <http://www.music.org/ontology/music>

Precise frag: <http://www.music.org/frag/1>

select ? name ?img ? hp ?loc

where { ? a musicartist ;

foaf:name ? name ;
foaf:img ?img ;
foaf:homepage ? hp ;
foaf:based_near ? loc }

Wrong way

optional { ? foaf:img ?img ;
optional { ? a foaf:homepage ? hp ;
optional { ? a foaf:based_near ? loc ;

Right way

Expected output :

"ciscad" xsd:string http://img.jamendo.com/artist/hitocpy.

"Hace son" xsd:string http://img-jamendo.com/artist/

Query 5: Design your own query:

Asking a question → Is the amazon river longer than the Nile river?

Precise prop: <http://dbpedia.org/property/>

ASK

<http://dbpedia.org/resource/amazon-river> prop: length

<http://dbpedia.org/resource/Nile> prop: length > Nile

FILTERS (?amazon ? Nile) }

Expected output: $\langle ?xml \text{ version: "1.0"} \rangle$
 $\langle sparql xmlns: "https://www.w3.org/2005/sparql-#"$
 $xmlns: xs1: "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema/\#"$
 $\langle head \rangle \langle /head \rangle$
 $\langle boolean true \rangle \langle /boolean \rangle$
 $\langle /sparql \rangle$

SWRL: - A semantic web rule language.
 combining OWL and RulesML

Rule 1: design has rule property any has parent and
 has brother property
 $hasparent(?x1, ?x2) \wedge hasbrother(?x2, ?x3) \Rightarrow hasvalue(?x1, ?x3)$

Rule #2: an individual x from the person class, which
 has parent- x and y such that y has spouse z , belong
 to a new person($?x$), $hasparent(?x, ?y)$, $hasparent$
 $(?x, ?z)$, $hasSpouse(?x, ?z) \text{ child of marriage pair } (?y, ?z)$

Rule #3: Persons who have age higher than 18 are
 adulty person($?p$), $hasage(?p, ?age)$, Swrlb:
 $greaterthan(?age, 18) \rightarrow adult(?p)$

Rule #4 : compute the person's born in year

person(?p), bornOnDate(?p, ?date), xsd:date(?date),
swrlb:date, (?date, ?year, ?month, ?date,
?timezone) \rightarrow bornInYear(?p, ?year)

Rule #5 : compute the person's age in year

person(?p), bornInYear(?p, ?year) my this year
(?newyear), swrlb:subtract(?age, ?newyear,
?year) \rightarrow hasAge(?p, ?age)

Rule #6 : design your own rule

\rightarrow design hasSon property using hasChild and
man property hasChild(?x, ?y) \wedge man(?y)
 \Rightarrow hasSon(?x, ?y)