



## ***Computer Networks Major Task***

### ***Phase\_1***

#### **Project Proposal: LiteTelemetry Protocol**

***Submitted by (Team No.13):***

Abdelrhman Mohammed Mahmoud	23Po370
Abdelrahman Mohamed Bahig	23Po390
Ahmed Mostafa Gomaa Atia	23po375
Nagy Ahmed Nagy	23po365
Abdallah Omar Moustafa Taman	22Po129
Kareem Younis Ahmed Foad Yehya	22Po136

## 1. Assigned Scenario

The assigned scenario focuses on the design and implementation of a **lightweight, application-layer communication protocol** for **IoT telemetry transmission** over **UDP**.

In this project, a network of IoT sensor devices periodically sends small telemetry readings — such as temperature, humidity, or voltage — to a **central collector**. The system must support real-time, loss-tolerant communication with minimal processing and memory overhead.

The main objectives of this scenario include:

- Building a simple **custom protocol** that defines message structure and flow.
- Supporting **real-time data transfer** without the cost of TCP handshakes.
- Detecting **packet loss, duplication, and delay** efficiently.
- Creating a working **Python prototype** with a client–server setup.

Real-world examples of this scenario include:

- Smart agriculture systems monitoring field conditions.
- Industrial sensors sending periodic status updates.
- Smart home devices reporting telemetry data to a central hub.
- Environmental stations streaming sensor readings to a monitoring platform.

## 2. Motivation

The **Internet of Things (IoT)** landscape continues to expand rapidly, with millions of devices generating small, periodic telemetry data. These devices often operate in **resource-constrained environments**, where battery life, network efficiency, and simplicity are critical.

Traditional transport protocols like **TCP** introduce unnecessary overhead (handshakes, acknowledgments, retransmissions), which increase **latency and energy usage**.

In contrast, **UDP** provides a fast, connectionless foundation — but lacks mechanisms for **ordering, identification, and reliability tracking**.

To bridge this gap, we propose the **LiteTelemetry Protocol (LTP)** — a **lightweight, binary, and UDP-based protocol** tailored for IoT telemetry. It offers:

- **Low-latency, connectionless communication**
- **Compact binary encoding (~12 bytes header)**
- **Built-in sequence and timestamp fields** for detecting data loss or duplication
- **Minimal CPU and power consumption**

By combining UDP's simplicity with structured application-layer design, **LiteTelemetry** ensures efficient, real-time communication suitable for embedded and low-power devices.

## 3. Proposed Protocol Approach

### 3.1 Overview

**LiteTelemetry (LTP)** is an **application-layer protocol** that defines message structure and communication semantics for IoT telemetry exchange.

It uses **UDP** as its transport layer and emphasizes:

- **Compact, fixed-length message headers**
- **Loss tolerance ( $\approx 5\%$ ) without retransmission**
- **Real-time responsiveness**
- **Simple parsing for data collectors**

The protocol enables each device to report its data independently without session negotiation or connection setup.

### 3.2 Protocol Entities

Entity	Description
Sensor	Periodically captures telemetry data (e.g., temperature, voltage) and sends it to the server using UDP. Each packet includes a sequence number and timestamp.
Client	Receives and parses packets, detects duplicates and missing data, logs telemetry readings to a CSV file, and provides basic diagnostics.
Collector	Receives and parses packets, detects duplicates and missing data, logs telemetry readings to a CSV file, and provides basic diagnostics.
Server	Receives and parses packets, detects duplicates and missing data, logs telemetry readings to a CSV file, and provides basic diagnostics.

### 3.3 Communication Flow

#### 1. INIT (Initialization):

When a client first starts, it sends its first message identifying itself (DeviceID + Version).

#### 2. DATA Transmission:

The client periodically sends telemetry data packets, including a sequence number, timestamp, and measured values.

#### 3. HEARTBEAT:

If no new data is available, the client sends a heartbeat message to notify the server that it remains active.

#### 4. Server Logging:

The server records all messages in a structured CSV file and flags duplicates or missing packets for analysis.

## 3.4 Message Format

Field Name	Size (bits)	Description
Version	4	Protocol version (currently 1).
MsgType	4	Message Type → 0 = DATA, 1 = HEARTBEAT.
DeviceID	16	Unique identifier for each sensor device.
SeqNum	16	Incremental sequence number (used for loss/duplication detection).
Timestamp	32	UNIX epoch time of the reading.
Flags	8	Optional bits (for checksum or batching extensions).

This structure ensures a **small, fixed-size header (~12 bytes)** suitable for constrained IoT devices.

## 3.5 Reliability and Fault Detection

Although UDP does not guarantee packet delivery, **LiteTelemetry** achieves **logical reliability** through its header fields:

- **Sequence Number Tracking:** Detects duplicates and missing packets.
- **Timestamp Validation:** Helps reorder delayed packets.
- **Heartbeat Messages:** Monitor device availability without retransmissions.
- **Per-Device State Cache:** Maintains each device's last sequence and timestamp.

This design provides meaningful diagnostic information with minimal overhead.

## 3.6 Implementation Overview

The prototype is implemented in **Python** and includes three components:

1. **Server (Collector):** Listens on a UDP port, parses and validates packets, logs messages to `telemetry_log.csv`, and flags data anomalies.
2. **Client (Sensor):** Periodically sends telemetry readings encoded in the LiteTelemetry message format.
3. **Automated Test Script:** Runs multiple client instances and simulates different conditions such as packet loss and network jitter.