

Controlling Program Flow

Control Flow

Computers execute instructions in sequence.

Except when we change the flow of control

- Jump and Call instructions
- Unconditional jump
 - Direct jump: `jmp Label`
 - » Jump target is specified by a label (e.g., `jmp .L1`)
 - Indirect jump: `jmp *Operand`
 - » Jump target is specified by a register or memory location (e.g., `jmp *%rax`)

Conditional statements

Some jumps are *conditional*

- A computer needs to jump if certain a condition is true
- In C, `if`, `for`, and `while` statements

```
if (x) {...} else {...}
```

```
while (x) {...}
```

```
do {...} while (x)
```

```
for (i=0; i<max; i++) {...}
```

```
switch (x) {  
    case 1: ...  
    case 2: ...  
}
```

Condition codes

Processor flag register *eflags*
(*extended flags*)

Flags are set or cleared by
depending on the result of
an instruction

Each bit is a flag, or *condition
code*

CF Carry Flag SF Sign Flag

ZF Zero Flag OF Overflow Flag

Registers

%rax

%rbx

%rcx

%rdx

%rsi

%rdi

%rsp

%rbp

%rip

%r8

%r9

%r10

%r11

%r12

%r13

%r14

%r15

CF

ZF

SF

OF

Condition codes

Implicit setting

Automatically Set By Arithmetic and Logical Operations

Example: `addq Src, Dest`

C analog: `t = a + b`

- CF (for unsigned integers)

- set if carry out from most significant bit (unsigned overflow)
`(unsigned long t) < (unsigned long a)`

- ZF (zero flag)

- set if `t == 0`

- SF (for signed integers)

- set if `t < 0`

- OF (for signed integers)

- set if signed (two's complement) overflow
`(a>0 && b>0 && t<0) || (a<0 && b<0 && t>=0)`

Not set by `lea`, `push`, `pop`, `mov` instructions

Explicit setting via compare

Setting condition codes via compare instruction

`cmpq b, a`

- Computes `a-b` without setting destination
- CF set if carry out from most significant bit
 - Used for unsigned comparisons
- ZF set if `a == b`
- SF set if `(a-b) < 0`
- OF set if two's complement (signed) overflow
`(a>0 && b<0 && (a-b)<0) || (a<0 && b>0 && (a-b)>0)`
- Byte, word, and double word versions `cmpb`, `cmpw`, `cmpq`

Explicit setting via test

Setting condition codes via test instruction

`testq b, a`

- Computes `a&b` without setting destination
 - Sets condition codes based on result
 - Useful to have one of the operands be a mask

- Often used to test zero, positive

`testq %rax, %rax`

- ZF set when `a&b == 0`
- SF set when `a&b < 0`
- Byte, word and double word versions `testb`, `testw`, `testl`

Conditional jump instructions

Jump to different part of code based on condition codes

jX	Condition	Description
jmp	1	Unconditional
je, jz	ZF	Equal / Zero
jne, jnz	~ZF	Not Equal / Not Zero
js	SF	Negative
jns	~SF	Nonnegative
jg	~ (SF^OF) & ~ZF	Greater (Signed)
jge	~ (SF^OF)	Greater or Equal (Signed)
jl	(SF^OF)	Less (Signed)
jle	(SF^OF) ZF	Less or Equal (Signed)
ja	~CF & ~ZF	Above (unsigned)
jb	CF	Below (unsigned)

Overflow flips result

Jump instructions

What's the difference between `jg` and `ja` ?

Which one would you use to compare two pointers?

Conditional jump example

Non-optimized

```
gcc -Og -S -fno-if-conversion control.c
```

```
long absdiff(long x, long y)
{
    long result;
    if (x > y)
        result = x-y;
    else
        result = y-x;
    return result;
}
```

absdiff:

```
    cmpq    %rsi, %rdi    # x:y
    jle     .L4
    movq    %rdi, %rax
    subq    %rsi, %rax
    ret
```

```
.L4:    # x <= y
    movq    %rsi, %rax
    subq    %rdi, %rax
    ret
```

Register	Use(s)
%rdi	Argument x
%rsi	Argument y
%rax	Return value


General Conditional Expression Translation (Using Branches)

C Code

```
val = Test ? Then_Expr : Else_Expr;
```

```
val = x > y ? x - y : y - x;
```

Goto Version



```
ntest = !Test;  
if (ntest) goto Else;  
val = Then_Expr;  
goto Done;  
Else:  
    val = Else_Expr;  
Done:  
    . . .
```

Create separate code regions for
then & else expressions

Execute appropriate one

Practice problem 3.18

```
/* x in %rdi, y in %rsi, z in %rdx */
test:
    leaq    (%rdi,%rsi), %rax
    addq    %rdx, %rax
    cmpq    $-3, %rdi
    jge     .L2
    cmp     %rdx,%rsi
    jge     .L3
    movq    %rdi, %rax
    imulq   %rsi, %rax
    ret
.L3:
    movq    %rsi, %rax
    imulq   %rdx,%rax
    ret
.L2
    cmpq    $2, %rdi
    jle     .L4
    movq    %rdi, %rax
    imulq   %rdx, %rax
.L4
    ret
```

```
long test(long x, long y, long z)
{
    long val =           x+y+z           ;
    if (       x < -3       ) {
        if (       y < z       )
            val =           x*y           ;
        else
            val =           y*z           ;
    } else if (       x > 2       )
        val =           x*z           ;
    return val;
}
```

Avoiding conditional branches

Modern CPUs with deep pipelines

- Instructions fetched far in advance of execution
- Mask the latency going to memory
- Problem: What if you hit a conditional branch?
 - Must predict which branch to take!
 - Branch prediction in CPUs well-studied, fairly effective
 - But, best to avoid conditional branching altogether

Conditional moves

Conditional instruction execution

`cmovXX Src, Dest`

- Move value from src to dest if condition `XX` holds
- No branching
- Handled as operation within Execution Unit
- Added with P6 microarchitecture (PentiumPro onward, 1995)

Example

```
# %rdi = x, %rsi = y
# return value in %rax returns max(x,y)
movq %rdi,%rdx      # Get x
movq %rsi,%rax      # rval=y
cmpq %rdx, %rax     # rval:x
cmovl %rdx,%rax      # If <, rval=x
```

Performance

- 14 cycles on all data
- More efficient than conditional branching (single control flow path)

– 14 – ■ But overhead: both branches are evaluated


General Conditional Expression Translation (Using conditional move)

Conditional Move template

- Instruction supports
 - if (Test) Dest \leftarrow Src
- GCC attempts to restructure execution to avoid disruptive conditional branch
 - Both values computed
 - Overwrite “then”-value with “else”-value if condition doesn’t hold
- Conditional moves do not transfer control

C Code

```
val = Test ? Then_Expr : Else_Expr;
```



```
result = Then_Expr;  
eval = Else_Expr;  
nt = !Test;  
if (nt) result = eval;  
return result;
```

Branch version

```
n timer = !Test;  
if (ntest) goto Else;  
val = Then_Expr;  
goto Done;  
Else:  
    val = Else_Expr;  
Done:
```

Conditional Move example

Branch version

```
long absdiff(long x, long y)
{
    long result;
    if (x > y)
        result = x-y;
    else
        result = y-x;
    return result;
}
```

```
absdiff:
    cmpq    %rsi, %rdi    # x:y
    jle     .L4
    movq    %rdi, %rax
    subq    %rsi, %rax
    ret
.L4:       # x <= y
    movq    %rsi, %rax
    subq    %rdi, %rax
    ret
```

```
absdiff:
    movq    %rdi, %rax    # x
    subq    %rsi, %rax    # result = x-y
    movq    %rsi, %rdx
    subq    %rdi, %rdx    # eval = y-x
    cmpq    %rsi, %rdi    # x:y
    cmovle  %rdx, %rax    # if <=, result = eval
    ret
```

Register	Use(s)
%rdi	Argument x
%rsi	Argument y
%rax	Return value

Practice problem 3.21

```
/* x in %rdi, y in %rsi */
test:
    leaq    0(,%rdi,8), %rax
    testq   %rsi, %rsi
    jle     .L2
    movq    %rsi, %rax
    subq    %rdi, %rax
    movq    %rdi, %rdx
    andq    %rsi, %rdx
    cmpq    %rsi, %rdi
    cmovge  %rdx, %rax
    ret
.L2:
    addq    %rsi, %rdi
    cmpq    $-2, %rsi
    cmovle  %rdi, %rax
    ret
```

```
long test(long x, long y)
{
    long val = 8*x ;
    if ( y > 0 ) {
        if ( x < y )
            val = y-x ;
        else
            val = x&y ;
    } else if ( y <= -2 )
        val = x+y ;
    return val;
}
```

When not to use Conditional Move

Expensive computations

```
val = Test(x) ? Hard1(x) : Hard2(x);
```

- Both Hard1(x) and Hard2(x) computed
- Use branching when “then” and “else” expressions are more expensive than branch misprediction

Computations with side effects

```
val = x > 0 ? x*=7 : x+=3;
```

- Executing both values causes incorrect behavior

Condition must hold to prevent fault

- Null pointer check

Loops


Implemented in assembly via tests and jumps

- Compilers implement most loops as do-while
 - Add additional check at beginning to get “while-do”

```
do {  
    body-statements  
} while (test-expr);
```

C example

```
long factorial_do(long x)
{
    long result = 1;
    do {
        result *= x;
        x = x-1;
    } while (x > 1);
    return result;
}
```



```
factorial_do:
    movq    $1, %rax        ; result = 1
.L2:
    imulq   %rdi, %rax       ; result *= x
    subq    $1, %rdi         ; x = x - 1
    cmpq    $1, %rdi         ; if x > 1
    jg      .L2              ; goto loop
    ret                                ; return result
```

Are these equivalent?

C code of do-while

```
long factorial_do(long x)
{
    long result = 1;
    do {
        result *= x;
        x = x-1;
    } while (x > 1);
    return result;
}
```

C code of while-do

```
long factorial_while(long x)
{
    long result = 1;
    while (x > 1) {
        result *= x;
        x = x-1;
    }
    return result;
}
```

Assembly of do-while

```
factorial_do:
    movq    $1, %rax
.L2:
    imulq   %rdi, %rax
    subq    $1, %rdi
    cmpq    $1, %rdi
    jg      .L2
    ret
```

Assembly of while-do

```
factorial_while:
    movq    $1, %rax
    jmp     .L2
.L3:
    imulq   %rdi, %rax
    subq    $1, %rdi
.L2:
    cmpq    $1, %rdi
    jg      .L3
    rep ret
```

<http://thefengs.com/wuchang/courses/cs201/class/07>
diff factorial_do.s factorial_while.s

“For” Loop Example

```
long factorial_for(long x)
{
    long result;
    for (result=1; x > 1; x=x-1) {
        result *= x;
    }
    return result;
}
```

General Form

```
for (Init; Test; Update )
    Body
```

Init

```
result = 1
```

Test

```
x > 1
```

Update

```
x = x - 1
```

Body

```
{
    result *= x;
}
```

Is this code equivalent to the do-while version or the while-do version?

“For” Loop Example

```
factorial_while:
    movq    $1, %rax
    jmp     .L2
.L3:
    imulq   %rdi, %rax
    subq    $1, %rdi
.L2:
    cmpq    $1, %rdi
    jg      .L3
    ret
```

```
factorial_for:
    movq    $1, %rax
    jmp     .L2
.L3:
    imulq   %rdi, %rax
    subq    $1, %rdi
.L2:
    cmpq    $1, %rdi
    jg      .L3
    ret
```

<http://thefengs.com/wuchang/courses/cs201/class/07>
diff factorial_for.s factorial_while.s

Problem 3.26

```
fun_a:
    movq    $0, %rax
    jmp     .L5
.L6:
    xorq    %rdi, %rax
    shrq    %rdi
.L5:
    testq   %rdi, %rdi
    jne     .L6
    andq    $1, %rax
    ret
```

```
long fun_a(unsigned long x) {
    long val = 0;
    while (           x           ) {
        val = val ^ x ;
        x = x >> 1 ;
    }
    return val & 0x1 ;
}
```

C switch Statements

Test whether an expression matches one of a number of constant integer values and branches accordingly

Without a “break” the code falls through to the next case

If x matches no case, then “default” is executed

```
long switch_eg(long x)
{
    long result = x;
    switch (x) {
        case 100:
            result *= 13;
            break;

        case 102:
            result += 10;
            /* Fall through */

        case 103:
            result += 11;
            break;

        case 104:
        case 106:
            result *= result;
            break;

        default:
            result = 0;
    }
    return result;
}
```

C switch statements


Implementation options

- Series of conditionals
 - `testq/cmpq` followed by `je`
 - Good if few cases
 - Slow if many cases
- Jump table (example below)
 - Lookup branch target from a table
 - Possible with a small range of integer constants

GCC picks implementation based on structure

Example:

```
switch (x) {  
    case 1:  
    case 5:  
        code at L0  
    case 2:  
    case 3:  
        code at L1  
    default:  
        code at L2  
}
```



1. init jump table at `.L3`
2. get address at `.L3+8*x`
3. jump to that address

Example revisited

```
long switch_eg(long x)
{
    long result = x;
    switch (x) {
        case 100:
            result *= 13;
            break;

        case 102:
            result += 10;
            /* Fall through */

        case 103:
            result += 11;
            break;

        case 104:
        case 106:
            result *= result;
            break;

        default:
            result = 0;
    }
    return result;
}
```

```

long switch_eg(long x)
{
    long result = x;
    switch (x) {
        case 100:
            result *= 13;
            break;

        case 102:
            result += 10;
            /* Fall through */

        case 103:
            result += 11;
            break;

        case 104:
        case 106:
            result *= result;
            break;

        default:
            result = 0;
    }
    return result;
}

```

```

leaq  -100(%rdi), %rax
cmpq  $6, %rax
ja    .L8
jmp   *.L4(,%rax,8)
.section    .rodata

```

.L4:

```

.quad  .L3
.quad  .L8
.quad  .L5
.quad  .L6
.quad  .L7
.quad  .L8
.quad  .L7
.text

```

Key is jump table at L4
Array of pointers to jump locations

.L3:

```

leaq  (%rdi,%rdi,2), %rax
leaq  (%rdi,%rax,4), %rax
ret

```

.L5:

```

addq  $10, %rdi

```

.L6:

```

leaq  11(%rdi), %rax
ret

```

.L7:

```

movq  %rdi, %rax
imulq %rdi, %rax
ret

```

.L8:

```

movl  $0, %eax
ret

```

Practice problem 3.30

The switch statement body has been omitted in the C program. GCC generates the code shown when compiled

- What were the values of the case labels in the switch statement?
- What cases had multiple labels in the C code?

```
void switch2(long x, long *dest) {  
    long val = 0;  
    switch (x) {  
  
    }  
    *dest = val  
}
```

```
/* x in %rdi */  
switch2:  
    addq    $1, %rdi  
    cmpq    $8, %rdi  
    ja      .L2  
    jmp     *.L4(, %rdi, 8)  
.L4  
    .quad   .L9  
    .quad   .L5  
    .quad   .L6  
    .quad   .L7  
    .quad   .L2  
    .quad   .L7  
    .quad   .L8  
    .quad   .L2  
    .quad   .L5
```

Practice problem 3.30

```
case -1:
    /* Code at .L9 */
case 0,7:
    /* Code at .L5 */
case 1:
    /* Code at .L6 */
case 2,4:
    /* Code at .L7 */
case 5:
    /* Code at .L8 */
case 3,6:
default:
    /* Code at .L2 */
```

Start range at -1

Top range is 7

Default goes to .L2

```
void switch2(long x, long *dest) {
    long val = 0;
    switch (x) {

    }
    *dest = val
}
```

```
/* x in %rdi */
switch2:
    addq    $1, %rdi
    cmpq    $8, %rdi
    ja      .L2
    jmp     *.L4(, %rdi, 8)
.L4:
    .quad   .L9
    .quad   .L5
    .quad   .L6
    .quad   .L7
    .quad   .L2
    .quad   .L7
    .quad   .L8
    .quad   .L2
    .quad   .L5
```

Homework A3

Extra slides

Reading Condition Codes

- **SetX Instructions**

- Set low-order byte of destination to 0 or 1 based on combinations of condition codes
- Does not alter remaining 7 bytes

SetX	Condition	Description
sete	ZF	Equal / Zero
setne	$\sim ZF$	Not Equal / Not Zero
sets	SF	Negative
setns	$\sim SF$	Nonnegative
setg	$\sim (SF \wedge OF) \ \& \ \sim ZF$	Greater (Signed)
setge	$\sim (SF \wedge OF)$	Greater or Equal (Signed)
setl	$(SF \wedge OF)$	Less (Signed)
setle	$(SF \wedge OF) \mid ZF$	Less or Equal (Signed)
seta	$\sim CF \ \& \ \sim ZF$	Above (unsigned)
setb	CF	Below (unsigned)

Reading Condition Codes (Cont.)

SetX Instructions:

- Set single byte based on combination of condition codes

One of addressable byte registers

- Does not alter remaining bytes
- Typically use `movzbl` to finish job
 - 32-bit instructions also set upper 32 bits to 0

```
int gt (long x, long y)
{
    return x > y;
}
```

Register	Use(s)
%rdi	Argument x
%rsi	Argument y
%rax	Return value

```
cmpq    %rsi, %rdi  # Compare x:y
setg     %al        # Set when >
movzbl   %al, %rax  # Zero rest of %rax
ret
```

x86 REP prefixes

Loops require decrement, comparison, and conditional branch for each iteration

Incur branch prediction penalty and overhead even for trivial loops

REP, REPE, REPNE

- **Instruction prefixes can be inserted just before some instructions (movsb, movsw, movsd, cmpsb, cmpsw, cmpsd)**
- **REP (repeat for fixed count)**
 - **Direction flag (DF) set via cld and std instructions**
 - **esi and edi contain pointers to arguments**
 - **ecx contains counts**
- **REPE (repeat until zero), REPNE (repeat until not zero)**
 - **Used in conjunction with cmpsb, cmpsw, cmpsd**

x86 REP example

`.data`

`source DWORD 20 DUP (?)`

`target DWORD 20 DUP (?)`

`.code`

`cld ; clear direction flag = forward`

`mov ecx, LENGTHOF source`

`mov esi, OFFSET source`

`mov edi, OFFSET target`

`rep movsd`

x86 SCAS

Searching

- Repeat a search until a condition is met
- SCASB SCASW SCASD
 - Search for a specific element in an array
 - Search for the first element that does not match a given value

x86 SCAS

```
.data
alpha BYTE "ABCDEFGH",0

.code
mov edi,OFFSET alpha
mov al,'F'           ; search for 'F'
mov ecx,LENGTHOF alpha
cld
repne scasb          ; repeat while not equal
jnz quit
dec edi              ; EDI points to 'F'
```

x86 LODS/STOS

Storing and loading

- Initialize array of memory or sequentially read array from memory
- Can be combined with other operations in a loop
- LODSB LODSW LODSD
 - Load values from array sequentially
- STOSB STOSW STOSD
 - Store a specific value into all entries of an array

x86 LODS/STOS

```
.data
    array DWORD 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10
    multiplier DWORD 10

.code
cld          ; direction = up
mov esi,OFFSET array      ; source index
mov edi,esi               ; destination index
mov ecx,LENGTHOF array    ; loop counter

L1: lodsd                ; copy [ESI] into EAX
    mul multiplier        ; multiply by a value
    stosd                 ; store EAX at [EDI]
    loop L1h
```