



Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering  
University of Rajshahi  
www.ru.ac.bd

Dr. Shamim Ahmad

## Chapter 2: Entity-Relationship Model

- Basic Concepts
- Constraints
- Keys
- Design Issues
- E-R Diagram

2

### Basic Concepts

#### ■ Entity Sets

📌 A **database** can be modeled as:

- 📖 a collection of entities,
- 📖 relationship among entities.

📌 An **entity** is an object that exists and is distinguishable from other objects.

- 📖 Example: specific person, company, event, plant

📌 Entities have **attributes**

- 📖 Example: people have *names* and *addresses*

📌 An **entity set** is a set of entities of the same type that share the same properties.

- 📖 Example: set of all persons, companies, trees, holidays

2

### Entity Sets *customer* and *loan*

customer-id	customer-name	customer-street	customer-city	loan-number	amount
321-12-3123	Jones	Main	Harrison	L-17	1000
019-28-3746	Smith	North	Rye	L-23	2000
677-89-9011	Hayes	Main	Harrison	L-15	1500
555-55-5555	Jackson	Dupont	Woodside	L-14	1500
244-66-8800	Curry	North	Rye	L-19	500
963-96-3963	Williams	Nassau	Princeton	L-11	900
335-57-7991	Adams	Spring	Pittsfield	L-16	1300

*customer*

*loan*

2

## Basic Concepts (Cont.)

### Attributes

An entity is represented by a set of attributes, that is descriptive properties possessed by all members of an entity set.

**Domain** – the set of permitted values for each attribute

Attribute types:

Simple and composite attributes.

Single-valued and multi-valued attributes

– E.g. multivalued attribute: **phone-numbers**


Derived attributes

– Can be computed from other attributes

– E.g. **age**, **given** **date of birth**

2

## Composite Attributes



2

## Basic Concepts (Cont.)

### Relationship Sets

A **relationship** is an association among several entities

Example:

**Hayes** **depositor** **A-102**  
customer entity relationship set account entity

A **relationship set** is a mathematical relation among  $n \geq 2$  entities, each taken from entity sets

$\{(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) \mid e_1 \in E_1, e_2 \in E_2, \dots, e_n \in E_n\}$


where  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n)$  is a relationship

Example:

$(\text{Hayes}, \text{A-102}) \in \text{depositor}$

2


## Relationship Set *borrower*




2

## Basic Concepts(Cont.)

- An **attribute** can also be property of a **relationship set**.
- For instance, the *depositor* relationship set between entity sets *customer* and *account* may have the attribute **access-date**



## Recursive Relationship




## Basic Concepts(Cont.)

- **Degree of a Relationship Set**
  - 📌 Refers to number of entity sets that participate in a relationship set.
  - 📌 Relationship sets that **involve two entity sets** are **binary** (or degree two). Generally, most relationship sets in a database system are binary.
  - 📌 Relationship sets may **involve more than two** entity sets.
    - 📌 E.g. Suppose employees of a bank may have jobs (responsibilities) at multiple branches, with different jobs at different branches. Then there is a **ternary** relationship set between entity sets *employee*, *job* and *branch*.
  - 📌 Relationships between more than two entity sets are rare. Most relationships are binary. (More on this later.)

## Constraints

- **Mapping Cardinalities**
  - 📌 Express the number of entities to which another entity can be associated via a relationship set.
  - 📌 Most useful in describing binary relationship sets.
  - 📌 For a binary relationship set the mapping cardinality must be one of the following types:
    - 📌 One to one
    - 📌 One to many
    - 📌 Many to one
    - 📌 Many to many

### Constraints (Cont.)




One to one

One to many

Note: Some elements in A and B may not be mapped to any elements in the other set

2

### Constraints (Cont.)



Many to one

Many to many

Note: Some elements in A and B may not be mapped to any elements in the other set

2

### Constraints (Cont.)

#### ■ Participation Constraints

🔑 The participation of an entity set  $E$  in a relationship set  $R$  is said to be **total** if every entity in  $E$  participates in at least one relationship in  $R$ .

📖 For example, we expect every **loan entity** to be related to **at least one customer** through the borrower relationship.

🔑 If only some entities in  $E$  participate in relationship in  $R$ , the participation of entity set  $E$  in relationship  $R$  is said to be **partial**.

📖 For example, the participation of **customer** in the **borrower** relationship set is therefore set is therefore partial.

2

### Keys

#### ■ Entity Sets

■ A **super key** of an entity set is a set of one or more attributes whose values uniquely determine each entity.

■ A **candidate key** of an entity set is a minimal super key

🔑 *Customer-id* is candidate key of *customer*

🔑 *account-number* is candidate key of *account*

■ Although several candidate keys may exist, one of the candidate keys is selected to be the **primary key**.

#### ■ Relationship Sets

■ The combination of primary keys of the participating entity sets forms a super key of a relationship set.

2

## Keys (Cont.)

🔑 (customer-id, account-number) is the super key of depositor

🔑 **NOTE:** this means a pair of entity sets can have at most one relationship in a particular relationship set.

📖 E.g. if we wish to track all access-dates to each account by each customer, we cannot assume a relationship for each access. We can use a multivalued attribute though

- Must consider the mapping cardinality of the relationship set when deciding the what are the candidate keys
- Need to consider semantics of relationship set in selecting the **primary key** in case of more than one candidate key

2

## Binary Relationships


- If there are two entity types involved it is a *binary* relationship type



2

## Ternary relationship

- If there are three entity types involved it is a *ternary* relationship type



2

## Replacing ternary relationships


- When ternary relationships occurs in an ER model they should always be removed before finishing the model.
- Sometimes the relationships can be replaced by a series of binary relationships that link pairs of the original ternary relationship.

2

## Replacing ternary relationships (Cont)


This can result in the loss of some information -  
It is no longer clear which sales assistant sold a customer a particular product.

Try replacing the ternary relationship with an entity type and a **set of binary** relationships.




## Replacing ternary relationships (Cont)


- Relationships are usually verbs, so name the new entity type by the relationship verb rewritten as a noun.
- The relationship *sells* can become the entity type *sale*.
- So a sales assistant can be linked to a specific customer and both of them to the sale of a particular product.
- This process also works for higher order relationships.



## E-R Diagram with a Ternary Relationship




## E-R Diagrams




- Rectangles represent entity sets.
- Diamonds represent relationship sets.
- Lines link attributes to entity sets and entity sets to relationship sets.
- Ellipses represent attributes
  - Double ellipses represent multivalued attributes.
  - Dashed ellipses denote derived attributes.
- Underline indicates primary key attributes

### E-R Diagram With Composite, Multivalued, and Derived Attributes



2


### Relationship Sets with Attributes



2

### Degree of a Relationship


- It is also possible to have entities associated through two or more distinct relationships.



2

### Roles: Degree of a Relationship


- The labels "**manager**" and "**worker**" are called **roles**; they specify how employee entities interact via the works-for relationship set.
- Roles are indicated in E-R diagrams by labeling the lines that connect diamonds to rectangles.
- Role labels are **optional**, and are used to clarify semantics of the relationship.



2

## Cardinality Constraints


- We express cardinality constraints by drawing either a directed line ( $\rightarrow$ ), signifying "one," or an undirected line ( $-$ ), signifying "many," between the relationship set and the entity set.
- E.g.: One-to-one relationship:
  - ✦ A customer is associated with at most one loan via the relationship *borrower*
  - ✦ A loan is associated with at most one customer via *borrower*



2.

## One-To-One Relationship


- A man can only marry one woman, and a woman can only marry one man, so it is a one to one (1:1) relationship



2.

## One-To-Many Relationship


- In the one-to-many relationship a loan is associated with at most one customer via *borrower*, a customer is associated with several (including 0) loans via *borrower*



2.

## One-To-Many Relationship

- One manager manages many employees, but each employee only has one manager, so it is a one to many (1:n) relationship




2.



## Many-To-One Relationships


- In a many-to-one relationship a loan is associated with several (including 0) customers via *borrower*, a customer is associated with at most one loan via *borrower*



2


## Many-To-One Relationships

- many students study one course. They do not study more than one course, so it is a many to one (m:1) relationship



2

## Many-To-Many Relationship




- A customer is associated with several (possibly 0) loans via borrower
- A loan is associated with several (possibly 0) customers via borrower

2

## Many-To-Many Relationship

- One lecturer teaches many students and a student is taught by many lecturers, so it is a many to many (m:n) relationship



2

## Deriving the relationship parameters

- To check we have the correct parameters (sometimes also known as the **degree**) of a **relationship**, ask two questions:
  - **One course** is studied by **how many** students? **Answer = 'zero or more'**
    - 📌 This gives us the **degree at the 'student' end**
    - 📌 The **'more'** part means that the cardinality is **'many'**
    - 📌 The **'zero'** part means that the relationship is **'optional'**. (denoted by 'O')
    - 📌 If the answer was **'one or more'**, then the relationship would be **'mandatory'**.
  - **One student** studies **how many** courses? **Answer = 'One'**
    - 📌 This gives us the **degree at the 'course' end** of the relationship.
    - 📌 The answer **'one'** means that the cardinality of this relationship is 1, and is **'mandatory'**

2

## Splitting n:m Relationships

- A many to many relationship in an ER model is not necessarily incorrect. They can be replaced using an intermediate entity. This should only be done where:
  - 📌 The m:n relationship hides an entity
  - 📌 the resulting ER diagram is easier to understand.
- **Example:** Consider the case of a car hire company.
  - 📌 Customers hire cars
  - 📌 One customer hires many cars and
  - 📌 A car is hired by many customers.

2

## Splitting n:m Relationships




- The many to many relationship can be broken down to reveal a **'hire' entity**, which contains an attribute **'date of hire'**.



2

## Participation of an Entity Set in a Relationship Set


- **Total participation** (indicated by double line): every entity in the entity set participates in at least one relationship in the relationship set
  - E.g. participation of *loan* in *borrower* is total
    - every loan must have a customer associated to it via borrower
- **Partial participation:** some entities may not participate in any relationship in the relationship set
  - E.g. participation of *customer* in *borrower* is partial



2

## Alternative Notation for Cardinality Limits

- Cardinality limits can also express participation constraints



2

## Design Issues

### ■ Use of entity sets vs. attributes

✎ A common mistake is to use the **primary key of an entity set as another entity set**, instead of using a **relationship**.

✎ Another related mistake that people sometimes make is to designate the **primary key attributes** of the related entity sets as **attributes of the relationship set**.

2

## Design Issues (Cont.)

### ■ Use of entity sets vs. relationship sets

- ✎ We assumed that a **bank loan** is modeled as an **entity**.
- ✎ An alternative is to model a loan not as an **entity**, but rather as a relationship between **customers** and **branches**,
- ✎ with **loan-number** and **amount** as **descriptive attributes**.

2

## Design Issues (Cont.)

### ■ Binary versus *n*-ary relationship sets

Some relationships that appear to be non-binary may be better represented using binary relationships

✎ E.g. A **ternary** relationship

✎ *parents*, relating a child to his/her **father** and **mother**

✎ is best replaced by **two binary relationships**, *father* and *mother*

📖 Using two binary relationships allows partial information (e.g. only mother being know)

2

## Design Issues (Cont.)

But there are some relationships that are naturally non-binary

E.g. *works-on*

In general, any non-binary relationship can be represented using **binary relationships** by creating an **artificial entity set**.

Replace **R** between **entity sets A, B and C** by an entity set **E**, and three relationship sets:

1.  $R_A$ , relating  $E$  and  $A$
2.  $R_B$ , relating  $E$  and  $B$
3.  $R_C$ , relating  $E$  and  $C$


Create a special identifying attribute for  $E$

Add any attributes of  $R$  to  $E$

For each relationship  $(a_i, b_i, c_i)$  in  $R$ , create  $e_i$

## Design Issues (Cont.)

1. a new entity  $e_i$  in the entity set  $E$
2. add  $(e_i, a_i)$  to  $R_A$
3. add  $(e_i, b_i)$  to  $R_B$
4. add  $(e_i, c_i)$  to  $R_C$



## Design Issues (Cont.)

### ■ Placement of relationship attributes

Can make **access-date** an attribute of account, instead of a **relationship attribute**, if each account can have only one customer

I.e., the relationship from **account to customer** is **many to one**, or equivalently, customer to **account** is **one to many**




Figure 2.10 E-R diagram with an attribute attached to a relationship set.

## Design Issues (Cont.)

### ■ Placement of relationship attributes

Can make **access-date** an attribute of account, instead of a **relationship attribute**, if each account can have only one customer

I.e., the relationship from **account to customer** is **many to one**, or equivalently, customer to **account** is **one to many**

