

# From Spatial to Spectral: An Efficient, Frequency-Guided Representation Learner for Small Object Detection

Anonymous Authors<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

This document provides a basic paper template and submission guidelines. Abstracts must be a single paragraph, ideally between 4–6 sentences long. Gross violations will trigger corrections at the camera-ready phase.

## 1. Introduction

Small object detection remains a significant challenge due to the limited spatial information they occupy in images. These objects are dominated by high-frequency cues such as edges and fine textures. However, modern detectors face three key issues: (i) Backbone downsampling, which acts as a low-pass filter, reduces these high-frequency components; (ii) Multi-scale fusion in the neck further smooths the boundaries; and (iii) The head’s regression gradients weaken once boundary evidence is diluted during the detection process. These problems make small-object localization particularly difficult.

To address these challenges, we propose a frequency-guided solution that operates on the principle of *decomposing features into low and high-frequency components, selectively enhancing them, and reconstructing or injecting the enhanced signal back into the feature stream*. This approach, encapsulated in the **Frequency-guided Decompose–Enhance–Reconstruct (DER) operator**, enables us to effectively preserve, enhance, and exploit high-frequency details across the detection pipeline.

Building on DER, we introduce three lightweight, plug-and-play components that can be inserted into the backbone, neck, and head, respectively.

These modules form a coherent frequency flow across the backbone, neck, and head, addressing the challenges posed by small-object detection with minimal computational over-

head. Our method is generalizable across different detector architectures, and we demonstrate its effectiveness through extensive experiments across multiple benchmarks.

**Contributions:** We make the following contributions:

- We propose the DER operator, which integrates frequency-domain decomposition, enhancement, and reconstruction in a unified framework.
- We introduce three novel modules (WDG, LGE, FD-Head) that instantiate the DER operator at key locations in the detection pipeline.
- We show that our method improves small-object detection performance while maintaining computational efficiency, and we validate its generalizability across different architectures.

## 2. Related Work

We review prior work from three angles that are most relevant to our goal: (i) efficient detector architectures, (ii) small object detection strategies, and (iii) frequency-domain modeling for dense prediction.

### 2.1. Efficient Detector Architectures

Real-time detection has been driven by architectural efficiency in backbones, feature pyramids, and heads. One-stage YOLO-style detectors optimize the accuracy–latency trade-off through carefully designed blocks and multi-scale prediction, with recent variants continuing to improve both speed and accuracy (Wang et al., 2024; Khanam & Hussain, 2024). Lightweight enhancements for challenging regimes (e.g., cluttered scenes) often rely on stronger feature aggregation or multi-kernel perception to increase representational diversity while keeping inference efficient (Xiao et al., 2025).

In parallel, Transformer-based detectors seek end-to-end set prediction by removing hand-crafted components such as anchors (Carion et al., 2020). Subsequent work improves the practicality of DETR-like models via more efficient attention and training strategies, enabling competitive performance under constrained budgets (Zhao et al., 2024;

<sup>1</sup>Anonymous Institution, Anonymous City, Anonymous Region, Anonymous Country. Correspondence to: Anonymous Author <anon.email@domain.com>.

Preliminary work. Under review by the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). Do not distribute.

Zhang et al., 2022). Despite these advances, both CNN- and Transformer-based detectors still face a common tension for tiny/small objects: improving fine-detail sensitivity typically increases computation, memory, or architectural intrusion, making it difficult to deploy a uniformly effective solution across detector families.

## 2.2. Small Object Detection

Small objects are inherently information-limited: they occupy few pixels, induce weak feature responses, and are easily suppressed by downsampling and coarse fusion. Early two-stage and one-stage frameworks (e.g., Faster R-CNN and SSD) already revealed the difficulty of preserving small-object cues under feature hierarchy and stride growth (Ren et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). A large body of work improves small-object performance by strengthening multi-scale feature fusion (e.g., FPN and its variants) (Lin et al., 2017), introducing additional pyramid levels, and designing attention or alignment modules to enhance small-scale features.

Recent methods increasingly emphasize *detail-aware* feature enrichment. For example, HS-FPN highlights tiny objects by generating high-frequency responses as mask weights and complements this with explicit spatial dependency modeling (Shi et al., 2025). Context modeling (e.g., large receptive fields or multi-kernel designs) also helps disambiguate tiny objects from background clutter (Wang et al., 2025; Xiao et al., 2025). However, many of these approaches focus on either spatial fusion or receptive-field engineering, while the *mechanism of how fine details are suppressed and should be reconstructed* is often left implicit, and portability across heterogeneous detector designs is not always validated.

## 2.3. Frequency-Domain Modeling for Dense Prediction

Frequency-domain analysis offers a complementary lens to understand and manipulate representation learning. A line of work uses Fourier transforms to achieve efficient global interactions. GFNet replaces quadratic self-attention with frequency-domain filtering (FFT-filtering-IFFT), yielding log-linear complexity while maintaining global receptive fields (Rao et al., 2023). Other work links common architectural operations to spectral decomposition: FcaNet interprets channel attention as a frequency-domain compression process and generalizes global pooling to multi-spectral channel attention (?).

More recently, frequency-aware modules have been explored for dense prediction. FDConv observes that candidate dynamic convolution kernels often have highly similar frequency responses, and proposes constructing frequency-diverse weights by allocating parameters to disjoint Fourier indices, together with frequency-band/spatial modulation (Chen et al., 2025). Frequency-aware fusion is also studied:

FreqFusion explicitly introduces adaptive low-pass/high-pass filtering to improve feature consistency and boundary sharpness during upsampling and fusion (?). Wavelet-based approaches provide multi-resolution decomposition with partial spatial localization; WTConv performs convolutions in wavelet sub-bands to scale receptive fields efficiently and can be used as a drop-in layer in CNNs (Finder et al., 2025).

While these spectral methods demonstrate that frequency-domain techniques can be integrated into modern architectures, existing designs are often *task- or component-specific* (e.g., classification backbones, fusion-only modules, or specific convolution families), and do not provide a unified, plug-and-play operator that can be instantiated across *backbone, neck, and head* and generalize across both CNN- and Transformer-style detectors. Our work fills this gap by introducing a decomposition-reconstruction operator that preserves and re-synthesizes discriminative spectral components with minimal overhead, and systematically validating its cross-architecture generality.

## 3. Method

### 3.1. Overall Framework

Small object detection is inherently challenging due to the scarcity of spatial information, as small objects occupy few pixels and are dominated by high-frequency cues such as edges and fine textures. However, modern detectors suffer from three key issues: (i) backbone downsampling implicitly acts as a low-pass filter, (ii) multi-scale fusion in the neck smooths boundaries, and (iii) the head’s regression gradients are weakened when boundary information is diluted.

To address these challenges, we propose a frequency-domain solution based on the principle of *decomposing features into low and high-frequency components, selectively enhancing them, and reconstructing or injecting the enhanced signals back into the feature stream*. This solution can be captured by the **Frequency-guided Decompose-Enhance-Reconstruct (DER) operator**.

**Frequency-guided Decompose-Enhance-Reconstruct (DER) Operator.** Given an input feature tensor  $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$ , we decompose it into low- and high-frequency components using  $\mathcal{D}$ , enhance the components via  $\mathcal{E}_L$  and  $\mathcal{E}_H$ , and finally reconstruct the enhanced features through  $\mathcal{R}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{X}_L, \mathbf{X}_H) &= \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{X}), \\ \mathbf{X}_L^+ &= \mathcal{E}_L(\mathbf{X}_L), \quad \mathbf{X}_H^+ = \mathcal{E}_H(\mathbf{X}_H), \\ \mathbf{X}^+ &= \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}_L^+, \mathbf{X}_H^+). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here  $\mathcal{D}$  extracts low-/high-frequency components (e.g., via wavelet transforms),  $\mathcal{E}_L$  and  $\mathcal{E}_H$  are lightweight enhancement functions, and  $\mathcal{R}$  reconstructs the enhanced signal back into the feature stream.

### DER Instantiations Across Backbone, Neck, and Head.

We instantiate DER at three locations with complementary roles: WDG in the backbone preserves boundary-relevant high-frequency evidence before aggressive downsampling; LGE/LGE-W in the neck re-amplifies high-frequency residuals before multi-scale fusion to prevent detail dilution; and FDHead in the head converts high-frequency energy into a gain factor for boundary-aligned box regression. Together, they progressively preserve, enhance, and re-inject high-frequency information with minimal overhead.

**Pipeline Overview.** Given a baseline detector with backbone  $\mathcal{B}$ , neck  $\mathcal{N}$ , and head  $\mathcal{H}$ , our framework applies the DER operators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{C}_\ell\} &= \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{I}), \quad \mathbf{C}'_\ell = \begin{cases} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{C}_\ell), & \ell \in \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{B}, \\ \mathbf{C}_\ell, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \{\mathbf{P}_\ell\} &= \mathcal{N}(\{\mathbf{C}'_\ell\}), \quad \mathbf{P}'_\ell = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{P}_\ell), \\ \hat{\mathbf{Y}} &= \mathcal{H}_{\text{FD}}(\{\mathbf{P}'_\ell\}). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Where  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{FD}}$  represent the concrete DER instantiations for the backbone, neck, and head, respectively, and  $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{B}$  denotes the set of backbone stages where WDG is applied.

### 3.2. Wavelet-Difference Gate (WDG)

We introduce Wavelet-Difference Gate (WDG), a lightweight plug-and-play bottleneck that injects frequency-aware modulation into convolutional backbones. Given an input feature map  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$ , WDG first applies a  $1 \times 1$  projection to hidden channels  $C' = \lfloor eC \rfloor$  (with expansion ratio  $e$ ) and then performs a 2D Haar discrete wavelet transform (DWT) to separate low- and high-frequency components. For simplicity, we describe the transform for even  $H, W$ ; in practice we align sizes by cropping/padding and restore the original resolution after reconstruction.

**Projection and wavelet decomposition.** We first project  $\mathbf{x}$  to a hidden space and decompose it into Haar subbands:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}' &= f_{1 \times 1}(\mathbf{x}), \\ (\mathbf{x}_{LL}, \mathbf{x}_{LH}, \mathbf{x}_{HL}, \mathbf{x}_{HH}) &= \text{DWT}(\mathbf{x}'). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here  $\mathbf{x}_{LL}$  is the low-frequency approximation, and  $\{\mathbf{x}_{LH}, \mathbf{x}_{HL}, \mathbf{x}_{HH}\}$  capture horizontal/vertical/diagonal high-frequency details. This decomposition explicitly separates coarse structures from fine details, enabling targeted refinement for small objects.

For Haar DWT/IDWT, each spatial  $2 \times 2$  block is transformed by a  $2 \times 2$  Haar matrix. For each channel  $c$  and location  $(u, v)$ , define the local block

$$\mathbf{X}_{u,v}^{(c)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{2u,2v}^{(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{2u,2v+1}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{2u+1,2v}^{(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{2u+1,2v+1}^{(c)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Then Haar DWT and IDWT are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{u,v}^{(c)} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{X}_{u,v}^{(c)} \mathbf{H}_2^\top, \\ \mathbf{X}_{u,v}^{(c)} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}_2^\top \mathbf{S}_{u,v}^{(c)} \mathbf{H}_2, \quad \mathbf{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbf{S}_{u,v}^{(c)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{LL,u,v}^{(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{LH,u,v}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{HL,u,v}^{(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{HH,u,v}^{(c)} \end{pmatrix}$  collects the four subbands. This matrix form is exactly equivalent to the element-wise expressions used in our implementation.

**RepCDC for low-frequency refinement.** To enhance discriminative edges while keeping computation low, we refine the approximation subband at half resolution:

$$\mathbf{y}_{LL} = f_{\text{cdc}}(\mathbf{x}_{LL}). \quad (6)$$

In our implementation,  $f_{\text{cdc}}$  is RepCDC followed by normalization and activation. RepCDC parameterizes a central-difference convolution by decreasing the center coefficient of a  $3 \times 3$  kernel with a learnable  $\theta$ . Concretely, the effective kernel is

$$\mathbf{y}_{p,q}^{(o)} = \sum_c \sum_{i=-1}^1 \sum_{j=-1}^1 \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{(o,c)} \mathbf{z}_{p+i,q+j}^{(c)} - \sum_c \theta^{(o,c)} \mathbf{z}_{p,q}^{(c)}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathbf{z}$  denotes the input to RepCDC (e.g.,  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{LL}$ ), and  $(p, q)$  indexes spatial locations. This expression is exactly equivalent to subtracting  $\theta$  from the center coefficient of a  $3 \times 3$  kernel. During deployment, the resulting kernel is fused into a single standard convolution, so RepCDC incurs no extra inference branches. Operating on  $\mathbf{x}_{LL}$  reduces spatial cost by  $4 \times$  while strengthening edge sensitivity through the difference term.

**High-frequency gated modulation.** We use high-frequency responses to predict a content-adaptive gate and modulate the refined low-frequency feature:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} &= \sigma \left( f_g(\text{Concat}(\mathbf{x}_{LH}, \mathbf{x}_{HL}, \mathbf{x}_{HH})) \right), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{LL} &= \mathbf{y}_{LL} \odot (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{g}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We use additive gating  $(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{g})$  to emphasize informative regions without suppressing the overall magnitude of  $\mathbf{y}_{LL}$ .  $f_g$  is a  $1 \times 1$  convolution followed by normalization, and  $\text{Concat}(\cdot)$  denotes channel-wise concatenation. Since the gate is predicted from high-frequency subbands, it acts as a detail-aware selector that boosts regions with strong edge/texture cues.

**Reconstruction and residual output.** Finally, we preserve the original high-frequency subbands and reconstruct the feature via inverse Haar transform:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{x}}' &= \text{IDWT}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{LL}, \mathbf{x}_{LH}, \mathbf{x}_{HL}, \mathbf{x}_{HH}), \\ \mathbf{y} &= f_{1 \times 1}^{\text{out}}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}'). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

When input/output channels match, WDG uses a residual connection  $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$ . Since the wavelet-domain refinement operates on  $H/2 \times W/2$ , WDG adds only a small overhead and can be inserted as a generic bottleneck into different backbone designs. Preserving the original high-frequency subbands avoids over-smoothing and helps retain boundary sharpness after reconstruction.

### 3.3. Log-Gabor Enhancer (LGE) and WTConv Variant (LGE-W)

We next improve the neck by introducing Log-Gabor Enhancer (LGE), a plug-and-play high-frequency refinement module applied to intermediate feature maps before multi-scale fusion. LGE is instantiated per feature level and is agnostic to the specific fusion topology (e.g., FPN/PAN/decoder-style aggregation).

**Log-Gabor filter bank (LGF).** Given a feature map  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$ , LGF applies a fixed Log-Gabor filter bank using depthwise convolutions. Let  $K$  and  $S$  denote the number of orientations and scales. For each channel  $c$ , orientation  $k$ , and scale  $s$ , we compute

$$\mathbf{h}_{s,k}^{(c)} = \mathbf{x}^{(c)} * \mathbf{g}_{s,k}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\mathbf{g}_{s,k}$  is a non-learnable Log-Gabor kernel and  $*$  is convolution. In our implementation,  $\mathbf{g}_{s,k}$  is instantiated in the spatial domain by rotating a centered coordinate grid and applying a log-normal radial envelope with a cosine angular term: @@

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &= \cos \phi_k, & s_k &= \sin \phi_k, \\ u' &= u c_k + v s_k, & v' &= -u s_k + v c_k, \\ r &= \sqrt{u'^2 + v'^2} + \varepsilon, & \theta &= \text{atan2}(v', u'), \\ \mathbf{g}_{s,k}(u, v) &= \exp\left(-\frac{\log^2(r/\rho_s)}{2 \log^2 2}\right) \cos \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $\phi_k = k\pi/K$  and  $\rho_s$  is a fixed scale parameter. This produces a set of directional subband responses that explicitly emphasize edges and fine textures while introducing no additional learnable filter parameters.

**Learnable aggregation and residual enhancement (LGE).** LGE aggregates the subbands with learnable orientation/scale importance. Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^S$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^K$  be learnable logits; we obtain normalized weights by softmax and compute the high-frequency summary

$$\mathbf{h}^{(c)} = \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{k=1}^K \text{softmax}(\alpha)_s \text{softmax}(\beta)_k \mathbf{h}_{s,k}^{(c)}. \quad (12)$$

We further apply a learnable global scale  $\gamma$  (implemented as a sigmoid-gated parameter) and a local mixing operator  $f_{\text{mix}}$ :

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_{\text{skip}} + f_{\text{mix}}(\sigma(\gamma) \mathbf{h}). \quad (13)$$

Here  $\mathbf{x}_{\text{skip}}$  is either the identity mapping (when channels match) or a  $1 \times 1$  projection. In our implementation,  $f_{\text{mix}}$  is a  $3 \times 3$  convolution (depthwise when  $C$  is preserved), so LGE adds only local mixing on top of fixed spectral decomposition while keeping a residual pathway.

**Wavelet variant (LGE-W).** LGE-W follows Eq. (10)–(13) but replaces  $f_{\text{mix}}$  with a wavelet-transform convolution (WTConv) when  $C$  is preserved. Using a fixed wavelet (Haar/db1), WTConv performs subband mixing in the wavelet domain and adds a lightweight depthwise branch: @@

$$\text{WTConv}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{S}_0 \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{z}) + \text{IDWT}(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{D}_4(\text{DWT}(\mathbf{z}))), \quad (14)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_0$  is a depthwise convolution in the spatial domain and  $\mathcal{D}_4$  denotes grouped depthwise convolutions applied over the four wavelet subbands. @@

### 3.4. Frequency-Driven Head (FDHead)

We finally introduce Frequency-Driven Head (FDHead), a frequency-aware detection head that improves small-object localization by injecting a boundary-sensitive prior into dense regression while preserving the standard anchor-free interface. FDHead is instantiated over multi-scale feature maps  $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$  (e.g., P2–P5) and shares most head parameters across levels to reduce capacity fragmentation.

**Shared prediction tower.** For each level  $i$ , FDHead first aligns channels to a hidden width  $C_h$  (Conv+GroupNorm) and then applies a shared refinement stack (DEConv + depthwise-pointwise mixing). The DEConv block aggregates multiple directional-difference operators (center/adjacent/horizontal/vertical) and a standard kernel; at inference it can be written as a single convolution with merged weights:

$$\text{DEConv}(\mathbf{u}) = \varphi\left(\left(\sum_m \mathbf{K}_m\right) * \mathbf{u} + \sum_m \mathbf{b}_m\right), \quad (15)$$

where  $m$  indexes the directional branches and  $\varphi(\cdot)$  denotes normalization and activation. This biases the shared tower toward contour-aware features that are beneficial for boundary-aligned regression.

$$\mathbf{f}_i = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_i), \quad \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{share}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{1 \times 1}. \quad (16)$$

**P2 high-frequency gate.** Since the finest level (P2) carries the most precise spatial details, FDHead applies a lightweight wavelet gate only on  $i = 1$  (corresponding to P2). Let  $C_f$  be the gated channel width (set as a fraction of  $C_h$ ); we split channels  $\mathbf{f}_1 = [\mathbf{f}_a, \mathbf{f}_b]$  with  $\mathbf{f}_a \in \mathbb{R}^{C_f \times H \times W}$ . Using a fixed Haar transform, we estimate high-frequency energy as a softmax-weighted mixture of subband magni-



tudes and convert it to a channel-wise gain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathbf{f}_{LL}, \mathbf{f}_{LH}, \mathbf{f}_{HL}, \mathbf{f}_{HH}) &= \text{DWT}(\mathbf{f}_a), \\
 \mathbf{w} &= \text{softmax}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \\
 \mathbf{h} &= w_{LH} |\mathbf{f}_{LH}| + w_{HL} |\mathbf{f}_{HL}| + w_{HH} |\mathbf{f}_{HH}|, \\
 \mathbf{g} &= \text{Gate}(\text{AvgPool}(\mathbf{h})), \\
 \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a &= \mathbf{f}_a \odot (1 + \alpha \mathbf{g}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Here  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  are learnable logits over  $\{LH, HL, HH\}$  and  $\alpha$  controls the gate strength.  $\text{Gate}(\cdot)$  is a squeeze-excitation style channel MLP (two  $1 \times 1$  convs with sigmoid output) driven by pooled high-frequency energy. We then form  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_1 = [\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a, \mathbf{f}_b]$  and apply it only to the box branch: high-frequency energy is a direct proxy for boundary sharpness and thus improves offset estimation, while leaving the classification stream unchanged avoids over-fitting to textures and background clutter. For the remaining levels  $i > 1$ , we set  $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i = \mathbf{f}_i$ .

**Box/class prediction and decoding.** FDHead predicts per-location class logits and distributional box offsets (DFL) as

$$\mathbf{b}_i = \text{Scale}_i(\mathcal{H}_{\text{box}}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i)), \quad \mathbf{p}_i = \mathcal{H}_{\text{cls}}(\mathbf{f}_i), \tag{18}$$

and decodes boxes by  $\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \text{dist2bbox}(\text{DFL}(\mathbf{b}), \mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{s}$  with anchors  $\mathbf{A}$  and strides  $\mathbf{s}$ . This design targets small objects by frequency-gating only the finest level while keeping the remaining head computation shared and lightweight.

## 4. Experiment

### 4.1. Datasets and Metrics

We evaluate our framework on four benchmarks to demonstrate its robustness and cross-domain generalization: VisDrone2019 (Du et al., 2019), TinyPerson (Yu et al., 2020), UAVDT (Du et al., 2018), and DOTA v1 (Xia et al., 2018). **VisDrone2019** is our primary benchmark and is particularly challenging due to dense small objects and severe scale variation, where most targets are smaller than  $50 \times 50$  pixels.

We report both accuracy and efficiency, including  $\text{mAP}_{50}$ , the number of parameters, GFLOPs, model size, and FPS.

### 4.2. Configuration

The experimental configuration is detailed in Table 1.

For YOLO-style architectures, models are trained for 300 epochs with an input resolution of  $640 \times 640$  and batch size 16, using SGD optimization. Unless otherwise specified, Mosaic augmentation is enabled throughout training; we use 4 dataloader workers and disable AMP.

**Table 1. Configuration of Training and Testing Experiment Environments.** Detailed hardware and software configuration used for all experiments in this study.

Environment	Parameter
CPU	Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 5218R CPU @ 2.10GHz
GPU	NVIDIA A100-PCIE-40GB
VRAM	40 GB
RAM	46 GB
Operating System	Rocky Linux 8.5 (Green Obsidian)
Language	Python 3.10.14
Frame	PyTorch 2.1.0
CUDA Version	12.6

## 5. Main Results

### 5.1. Ablation Study on YOLO-style architectures

### 5.2. Across-architecture Study

### 5.3. Comparison with State-of-the-art

## 6. Analyses and Discussion

## 7. Conclusion

## References

- Carion, N., Massa, F., Synnaeve, G., Usunier, N., Kirillov, A., and Zagoruyko, S. End-to-end object detection with transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.12872*, 2020.
- Chen, L., Gu, L., Li, L., Yan, C., and Fu, Y. Frequency dynamic convolution for dense image prediction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.18783*, 2025.
- Du, D., Qi, Y., Yu, H., Yang, Y., Duan, K., Li, G., Zhang, W., Huang, Q., and Tian, Q. The unmanned aerial vehicle benchmark: Object detection and tracking. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, pp. 370–386, 2018.
- Du, D., Zhu, P., Wen, L., Bian, X., Lin, H., Hu, Q., Peng, T., Zheng, J., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., et al. Visdrone-det2019: The vision meets drone object detection in image challenge results. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops*, pp. 0–0, 2019.
- Finder, S. E., Amoyal, R., Treister, E., and Freifeld, O. Wavelet convolutions for large receptive fields. In Leonardis, A., Ricci, E., Roth, S., Russakovsky, O., Sattler, T., and Varol, G. (eds.), *Computer Vision – ECCV 2024*, pp. 363–380, Cham, 2025. Springer Nature Switzerland. ISBN 978-3-031-72949-2.
- Khanam, R. and Hussain, M. YOLOv11: An overview

- of the key architectural enhancements. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.17725*, 2024.
- Lin, T.-Y., Dollár, P., Girshick, R., He, K., Hariharan, B., and Belongie, S. Feature pyramid networks for object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pp. 2117–2125, 2017.
- Liu, W., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Szegedy, C., Reed, S., Fu, C.-Y., and Berg, A. C. SSD: Single shot multibox detector. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part I*, pp. 21–37. Springer, 2016.
- Rao, Y., Zhao, W., Zhu, Z., Zhou, J., and Lu, J. GFNet: Global filter networks for visual recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence (TPAMI)*, 45(9):10960–10973, September 2023. doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2023.3263824.
- Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., and Sun, J. Faster R-CNN: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, volume 28, 2015.
- Shi, Z., Hu, J., Ren, J., Ye, H., Yuan, X., Ouyang, Y., He, J., Ji, B., and Guo, J. HS-FPN: High frequency and spatial perception fpn for tiny object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.10116*, 2025.
- Wang, A., Chen, H., Liu, L., Chen, K., Lin, Z., Han, J., and Ding, G. YOLOv10: Real-time end-to-end object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14458*, 2024.
- Wang, A., Chen, H., Lin, Z., Han, J., and Ding, G. LSNet: See large, focus small. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 2025.
- Xia, G.-S., Bai, X., Ding, J., Zhu, Z., Belongie, S., Luo, J., Datcu, M., Pelillo, M., and Zhang, L. Dota: A large-scale dataset for object detection in aerial images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pp. 3974–3983, 2018.
- Xiao, Y., Xu, T., Xin, Y., and Li, J. FBRT-YOLO: Faster and better for real-time aerial image detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2504.20670*, 2025.
- Yu, X., Gong, Y., Jiang, N., Ye, Q., and Han, Z. Scale match for tiny person detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pp. 1257–1265, 2020.
- Zhang, H., Li, F., Liu, S., Zhang, L., Su, H., Zhu, J., Ni, L. M., and Shum, H.-Y. DINO: DETR with improved denoising anchor boxes for end-to-end object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.03605*, 2022.
- Zhao, Y., Lv, W., Xu, S., Wei, J., Wang, G., Dang, Q., Liu, Y., and Chen, J. Detrs beat yolos on real-time object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08069*, 2024.

**A. You *can* have an appendix here.**

You can have as much text here as you want. The main body must be at most 8 pages long. For the final version, one more page can be added. If you want, you can use an appendix like this one.

The `\onecolumn` command above can be kept in place if you prefer a one-column appendix, or can be removed if you prefer a two-column appendix. Apart from this possible change, the style (font size, spacing, margins, page numbering, etc.) should be kept the same as the main body.