
From Spatial to Spectral: An Efficient, Frequency-Guided Representation Learner for Small Object Detection

Anonymous Authors¹

Abstract

This document provides a basic paper template and submission guidelines. Abstracts must be a single paragraph, ideally between 4–6 sentences long. Gross violations will trigger corrections at the camera-ready phase.

1. Introduction

2. Related Work

We review prior work from three angles that are most relevant to our goal: (i) efficient detector architectures, (ii) small object detection strategies, and (iii) frequency-domain modeling for dense prediction.

2.1. Efficient Detector Architectures

Real-time detection has been driven by architectural efficiency in backbones, feature pyramids, and heads. One-stage YOLO-style detectors optimize the accuracy–latency trade-off through carefully designed blocks and multi-scale prediction, with recent variants continuing to improve both speed and accuracy (Wang et al., 2024; Khanam & Hussain, 2024). Lightweight enhancements for challenging regimes (e.g., cluttered scenes) often rely on stronger feature aggregation or multi-kernel perception to increase representational diversity while keeping inference efficient (Xiao et al., 2025).

In parallel, Transformer-based detectors seek end-to-end set prediction by removing hand-crafted components such as anchors (Carion et al., 2020). Subsequent work improves the practicality of DETR-like models via more efficient attention and training strategies, enabling competitive performance under constrained budgets (Zhao et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2022). Despite these advances, both CNN- and Transformer-based detectors still face a common ten-

sion for tiny/small objects: improving fine-detail sensitivity typically increases computation, memory, or architectural intrusion, making it difficult to deploy a uniformly effective solution across detector families.

2.2. Small Object Detection

Small objects are inherently information-limited: they occupy few pixels, induce weak feature responses, and are easily suppressed by downsampling and coarse fusion. Early two-stage and one-stage frameworks (e.g., Faster R-CNN and SSD) already revealed the difficulty of preserving small-object cues under feature hierarchy and stride growth (Ren et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2016). A large body of work improves small-object performance by strengthening multi-scale feature fusion (e.g., FPN and its variants) (Lin et al., 2017), introducing additional pyramid levels, and designing attention or alignment modules to enhance small-scale features.

Recent methods increasingly emphasize *detail-aware* feature enrichment. For example, HS-FPN highlights tiny objects by generating high-frequency responses as mask weights and complements this with explicit spatial dependency modeling (Shi et al., 2025). Context modeling (e.g., large receptive fields or multi-kernel designs) also helps disambiguate tiny objects from background clutter (Wang et al., 2025; Xiao et al., 2025). However, many of these approaches focus on either spatial fusion or receptive-field engineering, while the *mechanism of how fine details are suppressed and should be reconstructed* is often left implicit, and portability across heterogeneous detector designs is not always validated.

2.3. Frequency-Domain Modeling for Dense Prediction

Frequency-domain analysis offers a complementary lens to understand and manipulate representation learning. A line of work uses Fourier transforms to achieve efficient global interactions. GFNet replaces quadratic self-attention with frequency-domain filtering (FFT–filtering–IFFT), yielding log-linear complexity while maintaining global receptive fields (Rao et al., 2023). Other work links common architectural operations to spectral decomposition: FcaNet interprets channel attention as a frequency-domain compres-

¹Anonymous Institution, Anonymous City, Anonymous Region, Anonymous Country. Correspondence to: Anonymous Author <anon.email@domain.com>.

Preliminary work. Under review by the International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML). Do not distribute.

055 sion process and generalizes global pooling to multi-spectral
056 channel attention (?).

057 More recently, frequency-aware modules have been ex-
058 plored for dense prediction. FDConv observes that can-
059 didate dynamic convolution kernels often have highly similar
060 frequency responses, and proposes constructing frequency-
061 diverse weights by allocating parameters to disjoint Fourier
062 indices, together with frequency-band/spatial modulation
063 ([Chen et al., 2025](#)). Frequency-aware fusion is also studied:
064 FreqFusion explicitly introduces adaptive low-pass/high-
065 pass filtering to improve feature consistency and boundary
066 sharpness during upsampling and fusion (?). Wavelet-based
067 approaches provide multi-resolution decomposition with
068 partial spatial localization; WTConv performs convolutions
069 in wavelet sub-bands to scale receptive fields efficiently and
070 can be used as a drop-in layer in CNNs ([Finder et al., 2025](#)).
071

072 While these spectral methods demonstrate that frequency-
073 domain techniques can be integrated into modern architec-
074 tures, existing designs are often *task- or component-specific*
075 (e.g., classification backbones, fusion-only modules, or spe-
076 cific convolution families), and do not provide a unified,
077 plug-and-play operator that can be instantiated across *back-
078 bone, neck, and head* and generalize across both CNN-
079 and Transformer-style detectors. Our work fills this gap by
080 introducing a decomposition–reconstruction operator that
081 preserves and re-synthesizes discriminative spectral compo-
082 nents with minimal overhead, and systematically validating
083 its cross-architecture generality.

084 3. Method

085 3.1. Overall Framework

086 Small object detection is inherently challenging due to the
087 scarcity of spatial information, as small objects occupy few
088 pixels and are dominated by high-frequency cues such as
089 edges and fine textures. However, modern detectors suffer
090 from three key issues: (i) backbone downsampling implictly
091 acts as a low-pass filter, (ii) multi-scale fusion in the
092 neck smooths boundaries, and (iii) the head’s regression
093 gradients are weakened when boundary information is diluted.
094

095 To address these challenges, we propose a frequency-
096 domain solution based on the principle of *decomposing*
097 *features into low and high-frequency components, selec-*
098 *tively enhancing them, and reconstructing or injecting the*
099 *enhanced signals back into the feature stream*. This solution
100 can be captured by the **Frequency-guided Decompose–**
101 **Enhance–Reconstruct (DER) operator**.

102 **Frequency-guided Decompose–Enhance–Reconstruct**
103 **(DER) Operator.** Given an input feature tensor $\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$, we decompose it into low- and high-frequency
104 components using \mathcal{D} , enhance the components via \mathcal{E}_L and

105 \mathcal{E}_H , and finally reconstruct the enhanced features through
106 \mathcal{R} :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{X}_L, \mathbf{X}_H) &= \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{X}), \\ \mathbf{X}_L^+ &= \mathcal{E}_L(\mathbf{X}_L), \quad \mathbf{X}_H^+ = \mathcal{E}_H(\mathbf{X}_H), \\ \mathbf{X}^+ &= \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}_L^+, \mathbf{X}_H^+). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

107 Here \mathcal{D} extracts low-/high-frequency components (e.g., via
108 wavelet transforms), \mathcal{E}_L and \mathcal{E}_H are lightweight enhance-
109 ment functions, and \mathcal{R} reconstructs the enhanced signal
110 back into the feature stream.

111 DER Instantiations Across Backbone, Neck, and Head.

112 We instantiate DER at three locations with complementary
113 roles: WDG in the backbone preserves boundary-relevant
114 high-frequency evidence before aggressive downsampling;
115 LGE/LGE-W in the neck re-amplifies high-frequency resi-
116 duals before multi-scale fusion to prevent detail dilution; and
117 FDHead in the head converts high-frequency energy into a
118 gain factor for boundary-aligned box regression. Together,
119 they progressively preserve, enhance, and re-inject high-
120 frequency information with minimal overhead.

121 **Pipeline Overview.** Given a baseline detector with back-
122 bone \mathcal{B} , neck \mathcal{N} , and head \mathcal{H} , our framework applies the
123 DER operators as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{C}_\ell\} &= \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{I}), \quad \mathbf{C}'_\ell = \begin{cases} \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{C}_\ell), & \ell \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}}, \\ \mathbf{C}_\ell, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \\ \{\mathbf{P}_\ell\} &= \mathcal{N}(\{\mathbf{C}'_\ell\}), \quad \mathbf{P}'_\ell = \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{P}_\ell), \\ \widehat{\mathbf{Y}} &= \mathcal{H}_{\text{FD}}(\{\mathbf{P}'_\ell\}). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

124 Where \mathcal{W} , \mathcal{E} , and \mathcal{H}_{FD} represent the concrete DER instanti-
125 ations for the backbone, neck, and head, respectively, and
126 $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{B}}$ denotes the set of backbone stages where WDG is ap-
127 plied.

128 3.2. Wavelet-Difference Gate (WDG)

129 We introduce Wavelet-Difference Gate (WDG), a
130 lightweight plug-and-play bottleneck that injects frequency-
131 aware modulation into convolutional backbones. Given an
132 input feature map $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$, WDG first applies a 1×1
133 projection to hidden channels $C' = \lfloor eC \rfloor$ (with expansion
134 ratio e) and then performs a 2D Haar discrete wavelet
135 transform (DWT) to separate low- and high-frequency
136 components. For simplicity, we describe the transform for
137 even H, W ; in practice we align sizes by cropping/padding
138 and restore the original resolution after reconstruction.

139 **Projection and wavelet decomposition.** We first project \mathbf{x}
140 to a hidden space and decompose it into Haar subbands:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}' &= f_{1 \times 1}(\mathbf{x}), \\ (\mathbf{x}_{LL}, \mathbf{x}_{LH}, \mathbf{x}_{HL}, \mathbf{x}_{HH}) &= \text{DWT}(\mathbf{x}'). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

110 Here \mathbf{x}_{LL} is the low-frequency approximation, and
 111 $\{\mathbf{x}_{LH}, \mathbf{x}_{HL}, \mathbf{x}_{HH}\}$ capture horizontal/vertical/diagonal
 112 high-frequency details. This decomposition explicitly sepa-
 113 rates coarse structures from fine details, enabling targeted
 114 refinement for small objects.

115 For Haar DWT/IDWT, each spatial 2×2 block is trans-
 116 formed by a 2×2 Haar matrix. For each channel c and
 117 location (u, v) , define the local block

$$\mathbf{X}_{u,v}^{(c)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{2u,2v}^{'(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{2u,2v+1}^{'(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{2u+1,2v}^{'(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{2u+1,2v+1}^{'(c)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

123 Then Haar DWT and IDWT are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{u,v}^{(c)} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{X}_{u,v}^{(c)} \mathbf{H}_2^\top, \\ \mathbf{X}_{u,v}^{(c)} &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{H}_2^\top \mathbf{S}_{u,v}^{(c)} \mathbf{H}_2, \quad \mathbf{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

129 where $\mathbf{S}_{u,v}^{(c)} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{LL,u,v}^{(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{LH,u,v}^{(c)} \\ \mathbf{x}_{HL,u,v}^{(c)} & \mathbf{x}_{HH,u,v}^{(c)} \end{pmatrix}$ collects the four sub-
 130 bands. This matrix form is exactly equivalent to the element-
 131 wise expressions used in our implementation.

134 **RepCDC for low-frequency refinement.** To enhance dis-
 135 criminative edges while keeping computation low, we refine
 136 the approximation subband at half resolution:

$$\mathbf{y}_{LL} = f_{cdc}(\mathbf{x}_{LL}). \quad (6)$$

140 In our implementation, f_{cdc} is RepCDC followed by
 141 normalization and activation. RepCDC parameterizes a central-
 142 difference convolution by decreasing the center coefficient
 143 of a 3×3 kernel with a learnable θ . Concretely, the effective
 144 kernel is

$$\mathbf{y}_{p,q}^{(o)} = \sum_c \sum_{i=-1}^1 \sum_{j=-1}^1 \mathbf{W}_{i,j}^{(o,c)} \mathbf{z}_{p+i,q+j}^{(c)} - \sum_c \theta^{(o,c)} \mathbf{z}_{p,q}^{(c)}, \quad (7)$$

149 where \mathbf{z} denotes the input to RepCDC (e.g., $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}_{LL}$), and
 150 (p, q) indexes spatial locations. This expression is exactly
 151 equivalent to subtracting θ from the center coefficient of a
 152 3×3 kernel. During deployment, the resulting kernel is
 153 fused into a single standard convolution, so RepCDC incurs
 154 no extra inference branches. Operating on \mathbf{x}_{LL} reduces spatial
 155 cost by $4 \times$ while strengthening edge sensitivity through
 156 the difference term.

157 **High-frequency gated modulation.** We use high-frequency
 158 responses to predict a content-adaptive gate and modulate
 159 the refined low-frequency feature:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} &= \sigma(f_g(\text{Concat}(\mathbf{x}_{LH}, \mathbf{x}_{HL}, \mathbf{x}_{HH}))), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{LL} &= \mathbf{y}_{LL} \odot (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{g}). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We use additive gating $(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{g})$ to emphasize informative regions without suppressing the overall magnitude of \mathbf{y}_{LL} . f_g is a 1×1 convolution followed by normalization, and $\text{Concat}(\cdot)$ denotes channel-wise concatenation. Since the gate is predicted from high-frequency subbands, it acts as a detail-aware selector that boosts regions with strong edge/texture cues.

Reconstruction and residual output. Finally, we preserve the original high-frequency subbands and reconstruct the feature via inverse Haar transform:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{x}}' &= \text{IDWT}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{LL}, \mathbf{x}_{LH}, \mathbf{x}_{HL}, \mathbf{x}_{HH}), \\ \mathbf{y} &= f_{1 \times 1}^{\text{out}}(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}'). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

When input/output channels match, WDG uses a residual connection $\mathbf{y} \leftarrow \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}$. Since the wavelet-domain refinement operates on $H/2 \times W/2$, WDG adds only a small overhead and can be inserted as a generic bottleneck into different backbone designs. Preserving the original high-frequency subbands avoids over-smoothing and helps retain boundary sharpness after reconstruction.

3.3. Log-Gabor Enhancer (LGE) and WTConv Variant (LGE-W)

We next improve the neck by introducing Log-Gabor Enhancer (LGE), a plug-and-play high-frequency refinement module applied to intermediate feature maps before multi-scale fusion. LGE is instantiated per feature level and is agnostic to the specific fusion topology (e.g., FPN/PAN/decoder-style aggregation).

Log-Gabor filter bank (LGF). Given a feature map $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{C \times H \times W}$, LGF applies a fixed Log-Gabor filter bank using depthwise convolutions. Let K and S denote the number of orientations and scales. For each channel c , orientation k , and scale s , we compute

$$\mathbf{h}_{s,k}^{(c)} = \mathbf{x}^{(c)} * \mathbf{g}_{s,k}, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{g}_{s,k}$ is a non-learnable Log-Gabor kernel and $*$ is convolution. In our implementation, $\mathbf{g}_{s,k}$ is instantiated in the spatial domain by rotating a centered coordinate grid and applying a log-normal radial envelope with a cosine angular term: @@

$$\begin{aligned} c_k &= \cos \phi_k, & s_k &= \sin \phi_k, \\ u' &= u c_k + v s_k, & v' &= -u s_k + v c_k, \\ r &= \sqrt{u'^2 + v'^2} + \varepsilon, & \theta &= \text{atan2}(v', u'), \\ \mathbf{g}_{s,k}(u, v) &= \exp\left(-\frac{\log^2(r/\rho_s)}{2 \log^2 2}\right) \cos \theta. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\phi_k = k\pi/K$ and ρ_s is a fixed scale parameter. This produces a set of directional subband responses that explicitly emphasize edges and fine textures while introducing no additional learnable filter parameters.

165
166 **Learnable aggregation and residual enhancement (LGE).**
167 LGE aggregates the subbands with learnable orientation/
168 scale importance. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^S$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^K$ be learnable
169 logits; we obtain normalized weights by softmax and
compute the high-frequency summary

$$\mathbf{h}^{(c)} = \sum_{s=1}^S \sum_{k=1}^K \text{softmax}(\alpha)_s \text{softmax}(\beta)_k \mathbf{h}_{s,k}^{(c)}. \quad (12)$$

170
171 We further apply a learnable global scale γ (implemented
172 as a sigmoid-gated parameter) and a local mixing operator
173 f_{mix} :

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}_{\text{skip}} + f_{\text{mix}}(\sigma(\gamma) \mathbf{h}). \quad (13)$$

174
175 Here \mathbf{x}_{skip} is either the identity mapping (when channels
176 match) or a 1×1 projection. In our implementation, f_{mix}
177 is a 3×3 convolution (depthwise when C is preserved),
178 so LGE adds only local mixing on top of fixed spectral
179 decomposition while keeping a residual pathway.

180 **Wavelet variant (LGE-W).** LGE-W follows Eq. (10)–
181 (13) but replaces f_{mix} with a wavelet-transform convolution
182 (WTConv) when C is preserved. Using a fixed
183 wavelet (Haar/db1), WTConv performs subband mixing
184 in the wavelet domain and adds a lightweight depthwise
185 branch:@@

$$\text{WTConv}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathcal{S}_0 \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{z}) + \text{IDWT}(\mathcal{S} \mathcal{D}_4(\text{DWT}(\mathbf{z}))), \quad (14)$$

186 where \mathcal{D}_0 is a depthwise convolution in the spatial domain
187 and \mathcal{D}_4 denotes grouped depthwise convolutions applied
188 over the four wavelet subbands. @@

3.4. Frequency-Driven Head (FDHead)

189 We finally introduce Frequency-Driven Head (FDHead), a
190 frequency-aware detection head that improves small-object
191 localization by injecting a boundary-sensitive prior into
192 dense regression while preserving the standard anchor-free
193 interface. FDHead is instantiated over multi-scale feature
194 maps $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$ (e.g., $P2$ – $P5$) and shares most head parameters
195 across levels to reduce capacity fragmentation.

196 **Shared prediction tower.** For each level i , FDHead first
197 aligns channels to a hidden width C_h (Conv+GroupNorm)
198 and then applies a shared refinement stack (DEConv +
199 depthwise–pointwise mixing). The DEConv block ag-
200 gregates multiple directional-difference operators (cen-
201 ter/adjacent/horizontal/vertical) and a standard kernel; at
202 inference it can be written as a single convolution with
203 merged weights:

$$\text{DEConv}(\mathbf{u}) = \varphi \left(\left(\sum_m \mathbf{K}_m \right) * \mathbf{u} + \sum_m \mathbf{b}_m \right), \quad (15)$$

204 where m indexes the directional branches and $\varphi(\cdot)$ de-
205 notes normalization and activation. This biases the shared
206 prediction tower toward contour-aware features that are beneficial for
207 boundary-aligned regression.

$$\mathbf{f}_i = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_i), \quad \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_{\text{share}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{1 \times 1}. \quad (16)$$

208 **P2 high-frequency gate.** Since the finest level ($P2$) car-
209 ries the most precise spatial details, FDHead applies a
210 lightweight wavelet gate only on $i = 1$ (corresponding to
211 $P2$). Let C_f be the gated channel width (set as a fraction of
212 C_h); we split channels $\mathbf{f}_1 = [\mathbf{f}_a, \mathbf{f}_b]$ with $\mathbf{f}_a \in \mathbb{R}^{C_f \times H \times W}$.
213 Using a fixed Haar transform, we estimate high-frequency
214 energy as a softmax-weighted mixture of subband magni-
215 tudes and convert it to a channel-wise gain:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{f}_{LL}, \mathbf{f}_{LH}, \mathbf{f}_{HL}, \mathbf{f}_{HH}) &= \text{DWT}(\mathbf{f}_a), \\ \mathbf{w} &= \text{softmax}(\boldsymbol{\omega}), \\ \mathbf{h} &= w_{LH} |\mathbf{f}_{LH}| + w_{HL} |\mathbf{f}_{HL}| + w_{HH} |\mathbf{f}_{HH}|, \\ \mathbf{g} &= \text{Gate}(\text{AvgPool}(\mathbf{h})), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a &= \mathbf{f}_a \odot (1 + \alpha \mathbf{g}). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

216 Here $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ are learnable logits over $\{LH, HL, HH\}$ and α
217 controls the gate strength. $\text{Gate}(\cdot)$ is a squeeze-excitation
218 style channel MLP (two 1×1 convs with sigmoid output)
219 driven by pooled high-frequency energy. We then form
220 $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_1 = [\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_a, \mathbf{f}_b]$ and apply it only to the box branch: high-
221 frequency energy is a direct proxy for boundary sharpness
222 and thus improves offset estimation, while leaving the clas-
223 sification stream unchanged avoids over-fitting to textures
224 and background clutter. For the remaining levels $i > 1$, we
225 set $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i = \mathbf{f}_i$.

226 **Box/class prediction and decoding.** FDHead predicts per-
227 location class logits and distributional box offsets (DFL)
228 as

$$\mathbf{b}_i = \text{Scale}_i(\mathcal{H}_{\text{box}}(\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i)), \quad \mathbf{p}_i = \mathcal{H}_{\text{cls}}(\mathbf{f}_i), \quad (18)$$

229 and decodes boxes by $\hat{\mathbf{B}} = \text{dist2bbox}(\text{DFL}(\mathbf{b}), \mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{s}$ with
230 anchors \mathbf{A} and strides \mathbf{s} . This design targets small objects
231 by frequency-gating only the finest level while keeping the
232 remaining head computation shared and lightweight.

4. Experiment

4.1. Datasets and Metrics

233 We evaluate our framework on four benchmarks to demon-
234 strate its robustness and cross-domain generalization: Vis-
235 Drone2019 (Du et al., 2019), TinyPerson (Yu et al., 2020),
236 UAVDT (Du et al., 2018), and DOTA v1 (Xia et al., 2018).
237 **VisDrone2019** is our primary benchmark and is particularly
238 challenging due to dense small objects and severe scale varia-
239 tion, where most targets are smaller than 50×50 pixels.

240 We report both accuracy and efficiency, including mAP₅₀,
241 the number of parameters, GFLOPs, model size, and FPS.

220 4.2. Configuration

221 The experimental configuration is detailed in Table 1.

222 **Table 1. Configuration of Training and Testing Experiment**
 223 **Environments.** Detailed hardware and software configuration
 224 used for all experiments in this study.

| 227 Environment | 228 Parameter |
|------------------------|---|
| 229 CPU | 230 Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 5218R CPU @ 2.10GHz |
| 231 GPU | 232 NVIDIA A100-PCIE-40GB |
| 233 VRAM | 234 40 GB |
| 235 RAM | 236 46 GB |
| Operating System | Rocky Linux 8.5 (Green Obsidian) |
| Language | Python 3.10.14 |
| Frame | PyTorch 2.1.0 |
| CUDA Version | 12.6 |

237 For YOLO-style architectures, models are trained for 300
 238 epochs with an input resolution of 640×640 and batch size
 239 16, using SGD optimization. Unless otherwise specified,
 240 Mosaic augmentation is enabled throughout training; we
 241 use 4 dataloader workers and disable AMP.

244 5. Main Results

246 5.1. Ablation Study on YOLO-style architectures

248 5.2. Across-architecture Study

250 5.3. Comparison with State-of-the-art

251 6. Analyses and Discussion

253 7. Conclusion

255 References

256 Carion, N., Massa, F., Synnaeve, G., Usunier, N., Kirillov,
 257 A., and Zagoruyko, S. End-to-end object detection with
 258 transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.12872*, 2020.

259 Chen, L., Gu, L., Li, L., Yan, C., and Fu, Y. Frequency
 260 dynamic convolution for dense image prediction. *arXiv*
 261 *preprint arXiv:2503.18783*, 2025.

263 Du, D., Qi, Y., Yu, H., Yang, Y., Duan, K., Li, G., Zhang,
 264 W., Huang, Q., and Tian, Q. The unmanned aerial vehicle
 265 benchmark: Object detection and tracking. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*
 266 (*ECCV*), pp. 370–386, 2018.

268 Du, D., Zhu, P., Wen, L., Bian, X., Lin, H., Hu, Q., Peng, T.,
 269 Zheng, J., Wang, X., Zhang, Y., et al. Visdrone-det2019:
 270 The vision meets drone object detection in image chal-
 271 lenge results. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Interna-*
 272 *tional Conference on Computer Vision Workshops*, pp.
 273 0–0, 2019.

274 Finder, S. E., Amoyal, R., Treister, E., and Freifeld, O.
 Wavelet convolutions for large receptive fields. In
 Leonardis, A., Ricci, E., Roth, S., Russakovsky, O., Sat-
 tler, T., and Varol, G. (eds.), *Computer Vision – ECCV*
 2024, pp. 363–380, Cham, 2025. Springer Nature Switzer-
 land. ISBN 978-3-031-72949-2.

Khanam, R. and Hussain, M. YOLOv11: An overview
 of the key architectural enhancements. *arXiv preprint*
arXiv:2410.17725, 2024.

Lin, T.-Y., Dollár, P., Girshick, R., He, K., Hariharan, B.,
 and Belongie, S. Feature pyramid networks for object
 detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on*
Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pp.
 2117–2125, 2017.

Liu, W., Anguelov, D., Erhan, D., Szegedy, C., Reed, S.,
 Fu, C.-Y., and Berg, A. C. SSD: Single shot multibox
 detector. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th Euro-
 pean Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October*
11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part I, pp. 21–37. Springer,
 2016.

Rao, Y., Zhao, W., Zhu, Z., Zhou, J., and Lu, J. GFNet:
 Global filter networks for visual recognition. *IEEE Trans-
 actions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*
(TPAMI), 45(9):10960–10973, September 2023. doi:
 10.1109/TPAMI.2023.3263824.

Ren, S., He, K., Girshick, R., and Sun, J. Faster R-CNN:
 Towards real-time object detection with region proposal
 networks. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing
 Systems (NeurIPS)*, volume 28, 2015.

Shi, Z., Hu, J., Ren, J., Ye, H., Yuan, X., Ouyang, Y., He, J.,
 Ji, B., and Guo, J. HS-FPN: High frequency and spatial
 perception fpn for tiny object detection. *arXiv preprint*
arXiv:2412.10116, 2025.

Wang, A., Chen, H., Liu, L., Chen, K., Lin, Z., Han, J.,
 and Ding, G. YOLOv10: Real-time end-to-end object
 detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.14458*, 2024.

Wang, A., Chen, H., Lin, Z., Han, J., and Ding, G. LSNet:
 See large, focus small. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF*
Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition
(CVPR), 2025.

Xia, G.-S., Bai, X., Ding, J., Zhu, Z., Belongie, S., Luo,
 J., Datcu, M., Pelillo, M., and Zhang, L. Dota: A large-
 scale dataset for object detection in aerial images. In
Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision
and pattern recognition, pp. 3974–3983, 2018.

Xiao, Y., Xu, T., Xin, Y., and Li, J. FBRT-YOLO: Faster and
 better for real-time aerial image detection. *arXiv preprint*
arXiv:2504.20670, 2025.

275 Yu, X., Gong, Y., Jiang, N., Ye, Q., and Han, Z. Scale
276 match for tiny person detection. In *Proceedings of the*
277 *IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Com-*
278 *puter Vision*, pp. 1257–1265, 2020.

279
280 Zhang, H., Li, F., Liu, S., Zhang, L., Su, H., Zhu, J., Ni,
281 L. M., and Shum, H.-Y. DINO: DETR with improved
282 denoising anchor boxes for end-to-end object detection.
283 *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.03605*, 2022.

284 Zhao, Y., Lv, W., Xu, S., Wei, J., Wang, G., Dang, Q., Liu,
285 Y., and Chen, J. Detrs beat yolos on real-time object
286 detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08069*, 2024.
287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330 **A. You *can* have an appendix here.**

331 You can have as much text here as you want. The main body must be at most 8 pages long. For the final version, one more
332 page can be added. If you want, you can use an appendix like this one.
333

334 The `\onecolumn` command above can be kept in place if you prefer a one-column appendix, or can be removed if you
335 prefer a two-column appendix. Apart from this possible change, the style (font size, spacing, margins, page numbering, etc.)
336 should be kept the same as the main body.
337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384