6 Assignment 6 (100 points)

6.1 Free and Bound Variables (15 points)

Is there any free variable in the following formulas? If so, please also point out which variable(s) or which occurrence(s) of a variable is free.

- 1. $\forall x (P(x) \to \exists y \neg Q(f(x), y, f(y)))$
- 2. $\forall x (\exists y R(x, f(y)) \to R(x, y))$
- 3. $\forall z (P(z) \to \exists y (\exists x Q(x, y, z) \lor Q(z, y, x)))$
- 4. $\forall z \exists u \exists y (Q(z, y, g(u, y)) \lor R(u, g(z, u)))$
- 5. $\forall z \exists x \exists y (Q(z, u, g(u, y)) \lor R(u, g(z, u)))$

6.2 Semantics I (15 points)

Define an interpretation \mathcal{I} by:

- Domain $dom(\mathcal{I}) : \{-2, 3, 6\}$
- Constant: $a^{\mathcal{I}} = 3$
- Unary predicates: $F(x)^{\mathcal{I}}: x \leq 3; G(x)^{\mathcal{I}}: x > 5; R(x)^{\mathcal{I}}: x \leq 7$

What are the values of the following formulas? In addition to the answers, please also show your steps or reasoning.

- $\forall x (F(x) \land G(x))$
- $\forall x (R(x) \to F(x)) \land G(a)$
- $\exists x (F(x) \lor G(x))$

6.3 Semantics II (20 points)

Define an interpretation \mathcal{I} by:

- Domain: \mathbb{R}
- Constant: $a^{\mathcal{I}} = 0$
- Function: f(x,y) = x y
- Predicate: P(x, y) : x < y

Define an environment E: E(x) = 0, E(y) = 1, E(z) = 2. Given \mathcal{I} and E, what are the values of the following formulas? In addition to the answers, please also show your steps or reasoning.

- $\forall x P(f(a, x), a)$
- $\forall x P(f(x,y),x) \rightarrow \exists y \neg P(x,f(y,z))$
- $\forall x (P(x,y) \to \forall y (P(y,z) \to \forall z P(x,z)))$
- $\forall x \exists y P(x, f(f(x, y), y))$

6.4 Semantic entailment (20 points)

Let ϕ be the sentence $\forall x \forall y \exists z (R(x,y) \to R(y,z))$, where R is a binary predicate.

- 1. Let $dom(\mathcal{I}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a, b, c, d\}$, and $R^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(b, c), (b, b), (b, a)\}$. Do we have $\mathcal{I} \models \phi$? Answer "yes" or "no" and also justify your answer.
- 2. Let $dom(\mathcal{I}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a,b,c\}$, and $R^{\mathcal{I}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(b,c),(a,b),(c,b)\}$. Do we have $\mathcal{I} \vDash \phi$? Answer "yes" or "no" and also justify your answer.

6.5 Formal proof (30 points)

Use the ND proof system of FOL to prove the following:

- 1. $\exists x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x) \vdash \exists x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$
- 2. $\neg \forall x \neg P(x) \vdash \exists x P(x)$
- 3. $\{ \forall x (Q(x) \to R(x)), \exists x (P(x) \land Q(x)) \} \vdash \exists x (P(x) \land R(x)) \}$
- 4. $\{ \forall x P(a, x, x), \forall x \forall y \forall z (P(x, y, z) \rightarrow P(f(x), y, f(z))) \} \vdash P(f(a), a, f(a)) \}$