Digital Logic

Lab4 Verilog

Lab4

- Verilog
  - Signed vs Unsigned
  - Practice1
- Sum of Minterms vs Product of Maxterms
  - loop in testbench: repeat, forever, for, while
  - Practice2
  - Practice3

4 bit signed representation

3: 0011

-3: 1101   反过来再加1

8 bit signed ~

3: 00000011

-3: 11111101   这里都是符号位

Signed vs Unsigned(1)

- Care should be taken when declaring the data types that may be have to do arithmetic operation on it.

default to signed	default to unsigned
integer	reg wire

```
input signed [2:0] a;
reg signed [3:0] b;
output signed [3:0] c;
wire signed [1:0] d;
```

- **Sign extension**: by increasing the number of bits of a binary number while preserving the number's sign (positive/negative) and value.

- **Zero extension**: by setting the high bits of the destination to zero, rather than setting them to a copy of the most significant bit of the source.

```
wire signed [1:0] a_signed   = 2'b11;
wire [1:0] a_unsigned = 2'b11;
wire [3:0] a_signed_extend = a_signed;
wire [3:0] a_unsigned_extend = a_unsigned;

initial begin
#10 $finish;
end
```

Name	Value	0 ns										10 ns
a_signed[1:0]	11					11						
a_unsigned[1:0]	11					11						
a_signed_extend[3:0]	1111					1111						
a_unsigned_extend[3:0]	0011					0011						

Signed vs Unsigned(2)

```verilog
wire signed [1:0] a_signed  = -1;   //2'b11
wire [1:0] a_unsigned = -1;         //2'b11
wire [3:0] a_signed_extend = a_signed;
wire [3:0] a_unsigned_extend = a_unsigned;

initial begin
#10 $finish;
end
```

1 : 0001
-1 : 1111   2's complement

认为是 0011 : 3

Name	Value	0 ns	10 ns
a_signed[1:0]	11	11	
a_unsigned[1:0]	11	11	
a_signed_extend[3:0]	1111	1111	
a_unsigned_extend[3:0]	0011	0011	

```verilog
wire signed [1:0] a_signed  = -1;   //2'b11
wire [1:0] a_unsigned = -1;         //2'b11
wire [3:0] a_signed_negative = -a_signed;
wire [3:0] a_unsigned_negative = -a_unsigned;

initial begin
#10 $finish;
end
```

→ 即 +1

无符号 a 是 3 : 0011
再取负   1101

Name	Value	0 ns	5 ns	10 ns
a_signed[1:0]	11	11		
a_unsigned[1:0]	11	11		
a_signed_negative[3:0]	0001	0001		
a_unsigned_negative[3:0]	1101	1101		

Practice 1

- We design an adder using '+' operator.
  - inputs are 4bits unsigned/signed values: a, b, a_s and b_s
  - outputs are 8bits unsigned/signed values: sum and sum_s

tb_adder


```
module SignedAdder(
    input [3:0] a,
    input [3:0] b,
    output [7:0] sum
    //complete with signed version
);

assign sum = a + b;
//complete with signed version
endmodule
```

```
`timescale 1 ns /1 ps
module tb_adder();
reg [3:0] a;
reg [3:0] b;
wire [7:0] sum;
//complete with signed version

SignedAdder DUT(
    .a(a),
    .b(b),
    .sum(sum)
    //complete with signed version
);
initial begin
    a = 0;
    b = 0;
    //complete with signed version
end

always begin
    #10
    a=a+1;
    b=b+1;
    //complete with signed version
end
endmodule
```

Practice 1

- Implement the design, run a simulation, and generate the RTL ANALYSIS schematic.
- Answer the following questions:
  - Q1: What are the exact values of a_s, b_s, and sum_s?
  - Q2: Why are they represented in the same way as a, b, and sum in default radix mode?
  - Q3: What type of extension is performed on sum and sum_s?
  - Q4: Provide your schematic and include a proof of your answer to Q3.

display in Signed Decimal mode

Sum-of-product vs Sum-of-minterms

- F(A,B,C)=A+B'C

- F(A,B,C)=AB(C'+C)+AB'(C'+C)+B'C(A'+A)=A'B'C+AB'C'+AB'C+ABC'+ABC

  =m1+m4+m5+m6+m7=∑(1,4,5,6,7)

A	B	C	F
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

Minterm	A	B	C	F
m0	0	0	0	0
m1	0	0	1	1
m2	0	1	0	0
m3	0	1	1	0
m4	1	0	0	1
m5	1	0	1	1
m6	1	1	0	1
m7	1	1	1	1

Sum-of-minterms vs Product-of-maxterms

- $F(A,B,C) = A+B'C$ = AB(C'+C)+AB'(C'+C)+B'C(A'+A)=A'B'C+AB'C'+AB'C+ABC'+ABC

  =m1+m4+m5+m6+m7=$\sum$(1,4,5,6,7)

Minterm	A	B	C	F	F'
m0	0	0	0	0	1
m1	0	0	1	1	0
m2	0	1	0	0	1
m3	0	1	1	0	1
m4	1	0	0	1	0
m5	1	0	1	1	0
m6	1	1	0	1	0
m7	1	1	1	1	0

F(A,B,C) = F(A,B,C)''

= (F(A,B,C)')'

= (($\sum$(1,4,5,6,7)')'

= (m0+m2+m3   )'

= (A'B'C' + A'BC'+A'BC)'

= (A'B'C')' . (A'BC')' . (A'BC)'

= (A+B+C) . (A+B'+C) . (A+B'+C')

= M0.M2.M3 = $\prod$(0,2,3)

Design in dataflow of VERILOG

A	B	C	F
0	0	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	1	1	0
1	0	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	1	1	1

- $z1=F(A,B,C)=$ A+B'C
- $z2=F(A,B,C)=\sum(1,4,5,6,7)$ =A'B'C+AB'C'+AB'C+ABC'+ABC
- $z3=F(A,B,C)=\prod(0,2,3)=$ (A+B+C) . (A+B'+C) . (A+B'+C')

```verilog
module sop_som_pom(input a, b, c, output z1, z2, z3);
    // a+b'c
    assign z1 = a | (~b & c);
    //m1(a'b'c) + m4(ab'c') + m5(ab'c) + m6(abc') + m7(abc)
    assign z2 = (~a & ~b & c) | (a & ~b & ~c) | (a & ~b & c) | (a & b & ~c) | (a & b & c);
    //M0(a+b+c) . M2(a+b'+c) . M3(a+b'+c')
    assign z3 = (a | b | c) & (a | ~b | c) & (a | ~b | ~c);
endmodule
```

Testbench using loop(1)

```verilog
`timescale 1ns / 1ps
//////////////////////////////////////////////////////
module sop_som_pom_sim( );
reg sa, sb, sc;
wire sz1, sz2, sz3;
sop_som_pom u1(.a(sa),.b(sb),.c(sc),.z1(sz1),.z2(sz2),.z3(sz3));
initial begin
{sa, sb, sc} = 3'b0;
forever #100 {sa, sb, sc} = {sa, sb, sc} + 1;
end
endmodule
```

一直执行

Tips on Vivado:
- Select the name of the ports you want to see in group (ctrl + select)
- Right click then choose the "New virtual Bus" to group all the selected ports, the name of the virtual bus could be edit

Testbench using loop(2)

```verilog
initial begin
    {sa, sb, sc} = 3'b0;
    repeat(7) begin
        #100 {sa, sb, sc} = {sa, sb, sc} + 1;
    end
    #100 $finish();
end
```

```verilog
initial begin
    {sa, sb, sc} = 3'b0;
    for(integer i=0;i<7;i=i+1) begin
        #100 {sa, sb, sc} = {sa, sb, sc} + 1;
    end
    #100 $finish();
end
```

```verilog
integer i=0;
initial begin
    {sa, sb, sc} = 3'b0;
    while(i<7) begin
        #100 {sa, sb, sc} = {sa, sb, sc} + 1;
        i=i+1;
    end
    #100 $finish();
end
```

Practice2

- Do the simulation on sop_som_pom_sim which is the testbench of sop_som_pom, it is found that the waveform is not in line with expectations: 1) There are just two values of sa, sb and sc in the simulation, why ?

- 2) sz1 is Z, while the state of sz2 and sz3 is X, why?

- Modify the testbench to make it workable and test the function of module sop_som_pom

```verilog
`timescale 1ns / 1ps
//////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////////
module sop_som_pom_sim( );
    reg sa, sb, sc;
    wire sz1, sz2, sz3;
    //sop_som_pom u1(.a(sa),.b(sb),.c(sc),.z1(sz1),.z2(sz2),.z3(sz3));
    sop_som_pom u1(sa, sb, sz1, sz2, sz3);
    /*...*/
    initial begin
        {sa, sb, sc} = 3'b0;
        repeat(7); begin
            #100 {sa, sb, sc} = {sa, sb, sc} + 1;
        end
        #100 $finish();
    end
    /*...*/
endmodule
```

Practice3

Implement the digtial logic circuit and test its function: F(x,y,z) = x^y^z

- Using structure or dataflow design style in verilog to implement the circuit design in Sum-of-Minterms or Product-of-Maxterms.
- Write the testbench in Verilog to verify the function of the design
- Generate the bitstream and program the device to test the function