

L^2 Extension and Effectiveness of Strong Openness Property

Shi Jie BAO Qi An GUAN¹⁾

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P. R. China

E-mail: bsjie@pku.edu.cn guanqian@math.pku.edu.cn

Abstract In this note, we present an L^2 extension approach to the effectiveness result of strong openness property of multiplier ideal sheaves.

Keywords Optimal L^2 extension, multiplier ideal sheaf, strong openness property

MR(2010) Subject Classification 32D15, 32E10, 32L10, 32U05, 32W0

1 Introduction

The multiplier ideal sheaf associated to a plurisubharmonic function plays an important role in several complex variables, complex geometry and algebraic geometry (see e.g. [9, 18, 24, 25]). We recall the definition of multiplier ideal sheaf as follows. Let φ be a plurisubharmonic function (see [6]) on a complex manifold X . The multiplier ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)$ is the sheaf on X whose germs are the holomorphic functions F such that $|F|^2 e^{-\varphi}$ is locally integrable.

The following strong openness property was conjectured by Demailly [7, 8] (the so-called strong openness conjecture), and proved by Guan–Zhou [12].

Strong openness property

$$\mathcal{I}_+(\varphi) = \mathcal{I}(\varphi),$$

where $\mathcal{I}_+(\varphi) := \bigcup_{p>1} \mathcal{I}(p\varphi)$.

When there is also $\mathcal{I}(\varphi) = \mathcal{O}$, the strong openness conjecture is called the openness conjecture, which was posed by Demailly–Kollár [9] and proved by Berndtsson [2].

The effectiveness of openness conjecture was established by Berndtsson [2], which implies the openness conjecture. In the proof of the strong openness conjecture, Ohsawa–Takegoshi L^2 extension was used by Guan–Zhou [12]. After that, Guan–Zhou [13] established the related effectiveness result by solving the $\bar{\partial}$ equations with L^2 estimates. It is natural to ask

Question 1.1 Can one obtain an L^2 extension approach to the effectiveness result of the strong openness property?

In the present note, we give an affirmative answer to the above question.

1.1 Optimal L^2 Extension and Guan–Zhou Method

In this section, we illustrate how to use the optimal L^2 extension and Guan–Zhou Method.

First we consider that ξ is an element in the following set

$$\ell_1 := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} : \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} |\xi_\alpha| \rho^{|\alpha|} < +\infty, \text{ for any } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

For any $F(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{z_0}$, $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we define the value that ξ acts on $F(z)$ as

$$(\xi \cdot F)(z_0) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \xi_\alpha \frac{F^{(\alpha)}(z_0)}{\alpha!},$$

for any $\xi \in \ell_1$. Then the Bergman kernel can be defined as follows.

Definition 1.2 (ξ -Bergman kernel) *For any bounded domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$, $z \in D$, we define the Bergman kernel with respect to ξ as*

$$K_{\xi,D}(z) = \sup_{F \in L^2(D) \cap \mathcal{O}(D)} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(z)|^2}{\int_D |F|^2}.$$

The so-called Guan–Zhou Method ([14], see also [21], [22]) shows that an optimal L^2 extension theorem implies the following log-plurisubharmonic variation property of $K_{\xi,D}(z)$.

Let Ω be a pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} with coordinate (z, t) , where $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$, and p is the natural projection $p(z, t) = t$ on Ω , $p(\Omega) = D$. For any $t \in D$, $\Omega_t = p^{-1}(t) \subseteq \Omega$. Let $K_{\xi,t}(z) = K_{\xi,\Omega_t}(z)$ be the Bergman kernels of the domains Ω_t with respect to $\xi \in \ell_1$.

Proposition 1.3 (see [1]) *$\log K_{\xi,t}(z)$ is a plurisubharmonic function with respect to (z, t) , for any $\xi \in \ell_1$.*

For $\xi = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$, Berndtsson [1] proved the above log-plurisubharmonicity of the Bergman kernel, which can be seen as a generalization of Maitani and Yamaguchi's result in [17].

1.2 The Effectiveness of the Strong Openness Property

In this section, we present the L^2 extension approach to the effectiveness of the strong openness property.

Proposition 1.3 gives the following estimate of the Bergman kernels on a bounded pseudoconvex domain D when $\xi \in \ell_{\mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o}$, where

$$\ell_I = \{0 \neq \xi \in \ell_1 : (\xi \cdot F)(o) = 0, \forall F \in I\},$$

I is an ideal of \mathcal{O}_o such that $I \neq \mathcal{O}_o$.

Proposition 1.4 *Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n with $o \in D$, and let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on D , such that $\varphi(o) = -\infty$, and $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o \neq \mathcal{O}_o$. Let F be a holomorphic function on D . Then for any $p > 1$, and any $\xi \in \ell_{\mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o}$, the inequality*

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\frac{\varphi}{p}} \geq \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi,D}(o)}$$

holds.

Denote that

$$B(F, I, D) := \sup_{\xi \in \ell_I} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi,D}(o)},$$

where $(F, o) \in \mathcal{O}_o$, and ideal $I \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_o$.

The separating theorem in functional analysis theory implies the following property of the above definition.

Proposition 1.5 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , with $o \in D$, and let I be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_o such that $I \neq \mathcal{O}_o$. Let $(F, o) \in \mathcal{O}_o$ such that $(F, o) \notin I$. Then*

$$B(F, I, D) > 0.$$

Recall that

$$c_o^F(\varphi) := \sup\{c \geq 0 : |F|^2 e^{-2c\varphi} \text{ is integrable near } o\}$$

is the jumping number (see [16]). When $F \equiv 1$, $c_o^F(\varphi)$ will degenerate to $c_o(\varphi)$, which is called the complex singularity exponent (or log canonical threshold) (see [9, 25]).

Using Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 1.5, we complete the L^2 extension approach to the effectiveness of the strong openness property.

Theorem 1.6 (see [10, 13]) *Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n , $o \in D$, and let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on D , such that $\varphi(o) = -\infty$. Let F be a holomorphic function on D . Assume that $\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} < +\infty$.*

Then for $p > 1$ satisfying

$$\frac{p}{p-1} > \frac{\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi}}{B(F, \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o, D)},$$

we have $|F|^2 e^{-p\varphi}$ is locally integrable near o , where $B(F, \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o, D) > 0$.

The effectiveness result is sharp in some sense, which will be illustrated in the last section of this article.

2 Definition and Basic Properties of the Bergman Kernel

Firstly, we recall a linear space of sequences of complex numbers,

$$\ell_1 := \left\{ \xi = (\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} : \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} |\xi_\alpha| \rho^{|\alpha|} < +\infty, \text{ for any } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

Any element in ℓ_1 can be a linear functional over \mathcal{O}_{z_0} for any $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ as follows.

For any $F(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{z_0}$, we can write that $F(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha (z - z_0)^\alpha$ near z_0 . Then we define the value that ξ acts on $F(z)$ as

$$(\xi \cdot F)(z_0) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \xi_\alpha \frac{F^{(\alpha)}(z_0)}{\alpha!} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \xi_\alpha a_\alpha,$$

for any $\xi \in \ell_1$.

We prove that $(\xi \cdot F)(z_0)$ is well defined, which means the summation above is always absolutely convergent for all $F(z) \in \mathcal{O}_{z_0}$. In fact, there exists a polydisc $\Delta_{z_0, R}^n$ centered at z_0 with radius R , such that $F(z)$ is holomorphic on $\Delta_{z_0, R}^n$. Then according to Cauchy's inequality (see [15, Theorem 2.2.7]), there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$|a_\alpha| \leq \frac{M}{R^{|\alpha|}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

It follows from $\xi \in \ell_1$ that there exists $M'(\rho) > 0$ such that

$$|\xi_\alpha| \rho^{|\alpha|} < M'(\rho), \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

for any $\rho > 1/R$. Then

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} |\xi_\alpha a_\alpha| < \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{MM'(\rho)}{(\rho R)^{|\alpha|}} < +\infty,$$

which shows that $(\xi \cdot F)(z_0)$ is well defined.

Now the ξ -Bergman kernel can be defined as follows. For any $z \in D$, $\xi \in \ell_1$,

$$K_{\xi,D}(z) := \sup_{F \in A^2(D)} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(z)|^2}{\int_D |F|^2},$$

where D is a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , $A^2(D) = L^2(D) \cap \mathcal{O}(D)$. It can be seen that $K_{\xi,D}(z)$ is the usual Bergman kernel when $\xi = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$.

If $\xi \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$ ($\xi \neq 0$ for short), we can see that $K_{\xi,D}(z) > 0$.

Secondly, we list some properties of the ξ -Bergman kernel.

Lemma 2.1 *If $z \in D_1 \subseteq D_2$, then $K_{\xi,D_1}(z) \geq K_{\xi,D_2}(z)$, where D_1 and D_2 are bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n , $\xi \in \ell_1$.*

Proof For any $F \in A^2(D_2)$, it implies that $F \in A^2(D_1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\xi,D_2}(z) &= \sup_{F \in A^2(D_2)} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(z)|^2}{\int_{D_2} |F|^2} \leq \sup_{F \in A^2(D_2)} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(z)|^2}{\int_{D_1} |F|^2} \\ &\leq \sup_{F \in A^2(D_1)} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(z)|^2}{\int_{D_1} |F|^2} = K_{\xi,D_1}(z). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The following lemma shows that the functionals preserve the functions being holomorphic.

Lemma 2.2 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , and let F be a holomorphic function on D . Then $(\xi \cdot F)(z)$ is also a holomorphic function on D , where $\xi \in \ell_1$.*

Proof It suffices to prove that the summation

$$(\xi \cdot F)(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \xi_\alpha \frac{F^{(\alpha)}(z)}{\alpha!}$$

is absolutely and uniformly convergent on any compact subset of D .

Let K be a compact subset of D , then $|F(z)| \leq M(K)$ holds for some positive constant $M(K)$ and any $z \in \bigcup_{w \in K} \Delta_{w,d}^n$. Here $d = \text{dist}(K, D^c)/2\sqrt{n}$. Recall the Cauchy's inequality ([15]), then

$$\left| \frac{F^{(\alpha)}(z)}{\alpha!} \right| \leq \frac{M(K)}{d^{|\alpha|}}$$

holds for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $z \in K$. By the definition of $\xi \in \ell_1$, the above summation is absolutely and uniformly convergent on K , and so for any compact subset of D .

Note that $F^{(\alpha)}(z)$ is holomorphic for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then $(\xi \cdot F)(z)$ is holomorphic on D by the theorem of Weierstrass (see [20, Theorem 1.6]). \square

The following lemma shows that the Bergman kernel is finite.

Lemma 2.3 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Then $K_{\xi,D}(z_0) < +\infty$ for any $z_0 \in D$, $\xi \in \ell_1$.*

In fact, we can prove the following stronger result.

Lemma 2.4 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , and let $\xi \in \ell_1$. Then for any compact subset $K \subseteq D$, there is a finite constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|(\xi \cdot F)(z)|^2 \leq C \int_D |F|^2,$$

for any L^2 integrable holomorphic function F on D , and any $z \in K$.

Proof It is trivial when $\xi = 0$. Now we assume $\xi \neq 0$. For the compact subset K , we are able to find some $R > 0$ such that the polydisc $\Delta_{z,R}^n \subseteq D$ for any $z \in K$. Then for any nonzero holomorphic function $F(z)$ on D , if we write that $F(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha (z - z_0)^\alpha$ in $\Delta_{z_0,R}^n$ for any $z_0 \in K$, then

$$\int_D |F|^2 \geq \int_{\Delta_{z_0,R}^n} |F|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\pi^n |a_\alpha|^2}{(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_n + 1)} R^{2(|\alpha|+n)}.$$

By Cauchy–Schwarz’s inequality,

$$|(\xi \cdot F)(z_0)|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_n + 1)}{\pi^n R^{2(|\alpha|+n)}} |\xi_\alpha|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{\pi^n |a_\alpha|^2}{(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_n + 1)} R^{2(|\alpha|+n)} \right),$$

and it implies that

$$\frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(z_0)|^2}{\int_D |F|^2} \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_n + 1)}{\pi^n R^{2(|\alpha|+n)}} |\xi_\alpha|^2.$$

Since $\xi \in \ell_1$, we can choose some ρ with $\rho > 1/R$ such that

$$|\xi_\alpha| \rho^{|\alpha|} < M, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,$$

for some positive constant M . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(z_0)|^2}{\int_D |F|^2} &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_n + 1)}{\pi^n R^{2(|\alpha|+n)}} |\xi_\alpha|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_n + 1)}{\pi^n R^{2(|\alpha|+n)}} \cdot \frac{M^2}{\rho^{2|\alpha|}} \\ &= \frac{M^2}{\pi^n R^{2n}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} (\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_n + 1) \frac{1}{(R\rho)^{2|\alpha|}} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now we choose

$$C = \frac{M^2}{\pi^n R^{2n}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} (\alpha_1 + 1) \cdots (\alpha_n + 1) \frac{1}{(R\rho)^{2|\alpha|}},$$

which is independent of the choice of $z_0 \in K$, and get the result. \square

Now let $K = \{z_0\}$, then Lemma 2.3 can be induced by Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.4 will also be used to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.5 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , and let $\{F_j\}$ be a sequence of holomorphic functions on D uniformly converging to F on every compact subset of D . Then for any $z \in D$, and $\xi \in \ell_1$, $\{(\xi \cdot F_j)(z)\}$ converges to $(\xi \cdot F)(z)$ uniformly on every compact subset of D .*

Proof For any compact set $K \subseteq D$, we can find some open set D' such that $K \subseteq D' \subset\subset D$. Then Lemma 2.4 shows that there exists a positive constant C such that $|(\xi \cdot f)(z)|^2 \leq C \int_{D'} |f|^2$ for any holomorphic function f on D and $z \in K$. Then we have

$$|(\xi \cdot F_j)(z) - (\xi \cdot F)(z)|^2 = |(\xi \cdot (F_j - F))(z)|^2 \leq C \int_{D'} |F_j - F|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad j \rightarrow +\infty,$$

for any $z \in K$. This means $\{(\xi \cdot F_j)(z)\}$ converges to $(\xi \cdot F)(z)$ uniformly on every compact subset of D . \square

Lemma 2.5 can be used to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , and let $z \in D$. Then for any $\xi \in \ell_1$, there exists a holomorphic function F_0 on D such that*

$$K_{\xi,D}(z) = \frac{|(\xi \cdot F_0)(z)|^2}{\int_D |F_0|^2}.$$

Proof It is trivial when $\xi = 0$. Now we assume $\xi \neq 0$.

By the definition of Bergman kernel, there exists a sequence of holomorphic functions $\{F_j\}$ on D such that $\int_D |F_j|^2 = 1$, and $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} |(\xi \cdot F_j)(z)|^2 = K_{\xi,D}(z)$. Then by Montel's theorem (see [20, Theorem 1.5]), there is a subsequence of $\{F_j\}$ which is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of D . Denote the limit of the subsequence by F_0 . Then Fatou's lemma and Lemma 2.5 imply that $\int_D |F_0|^2 \leq 1$, and $|(\xi \cdot F_0)(z)|^2 = K_{\xi,D}(z)$. It means that $\frac{|(\xi \cdot F_0)(z)|^2}{\int_D |F_0|^2} \geq K_{\xi,D}(z)$. Then by the definition of $K_{\xi,D}(z)$, we get $\frac{|(\xi \cdot F_0)(z)|^2}{\int_D |F_0|^2} = K_{\xi,D}(z)$. \square

Combining Lemma 2.2 with Lemma 2.5, we get the following result.

Lemma 2.7 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , and let $\{z_j\}$ be a sequence of points in D such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} z_j = z \in D$. Let $\{F_j\}$ be a sequence of holomorphic functions on D uniformly converging to F on every compact subset of D . Then for any $\xi \in \ell_1$, $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (\xi \cdot F_j)(z_j) = (\xi \cdot F)(z)$.*

Proof For any $\varepsilon > 0$, using Lemma 2.2, we can find a positive integer N_1 , such that for any $j > N_1$,

$$|(\xi \cdot F)(z_j) - (\xi \cdot F)(z)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Note that the set $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{+\infty} \cup \{z\}$ is a compact subset of D , then using Lemma 2.5, we can also find a positive integer N_2 , such that for any $j > N_2$,

$$|(\xi \cdot F_j)(z_j) - (\xi \cdot F)(z_j)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, then for any $j > N$,

$$|(\xi \cdot F_j)(z_j) - (\xi \cdot F)(z)| < \varepsilon.$$

The proof is done. \square

The following lemma shows that the Bergman kernel is continuous.

Lemma 2.8 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Then for any $\xi \in \ell_1$, $K_{\xi,D}(z)$ is a continuous function on D .*

Proof It is trivial when $\xi = 0$. Now we assume $\xi \neq 0$. By the definition of $K_{\xi,D}(z)$,

$$K_{\xi,D} = \sup \left\{ |(\xi \cdot F)(z)|^2 : \int_D |F|^2 = 1, F \in \mathcal{O}(D) \right\}.$$

Combining with Lemma 2.2, we know that $K_{\xi,D}(z)$ is lower semicontinuous.

Next we prove that $K_{\xi,D}(z)$ is also upper continuous. Let $\{z_j\}$ be a sequence of points in D such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} z_j = z_0 \in D$. And we may assume that $\{z_{k_j}\}$ is the subsequence of $\{z_j\}$

such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D}(z_{k_j}) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D}(z_j).$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we can get a sequence of holomorphic function $\{F_j\}$ on D , such that $\int_D |F_j|^2 = 1$, and $|(\xi \cdot F_j)(z_j)|^2 = K_{\xi, D}(z_j)$, for any $j \geq 1$. Then using Montel's theorem and the diagonal method, we can select a subsequence of $\{F_{k_j}\}$ which is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of D . We may denote the subsequence by $\{F_{k_j}\}$ itself, and denote the limit function by F_0 . It follows from Fatou's lemma and Lemma 2.7 that $\int_D |F_0|^2 \leq 1$, $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (\xi \cdot F_{k_j})(z_{k_j}) = (\xi \cdot F_0)(z_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\xi, D}(z_0) &\geq \frac{|(\xi \cdot F_0)(z_0)|^2}{\int_D |F_0|^2} \geq |(\xi \cdot F_0)(z_0)|^2 = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} |(\xi \cdot F_{k_j})(z_{k_j})|^2 \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D}(z_{k_j}) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D}(z_j). \end{aligned}$$

We get that $K_{\xi, D}(z)$ is upper semicontinuous.

It is known that $K_{\xi, D}(z)$ is lower semicontinuous, which implies that $K_{\xi, D}(z)$ is continuous. \square

The Bergman kernel can also be approximated by those of the exhausted subdomains.

Lemma 2.9 *Let D_j and D be bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n , such that $D_j \subseteq D$ for all $j \geq 1$. Assume that for any compact subset of D denoted by K , there exists $j_K \geq 1$, such that $K \subseteq D_j$ for any $j \geq j_K$. Let $\{z_j\}$ be a sequence of points in D such that $z_j \in D_j$, $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} z_j = z \in D$. Then for any $\xi \in \ell_1$,*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D_j}(z_j) = K_{\xi, D}(z).$$

Proof On the one hand, it is clear that $K_{\xi, D_j}(z_j) \geq K_{\xi, D}(z_j)$ by Lemma 2.1, and

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D}(z_j) = K_{\xi, D}(z)$$

by Lemma 2.8. Then we have

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D_j}(z_j) \geq \liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D}(z_j) = K_{\xi, D}(z).$$

On the other hand, we may assume that $\{z_{k_j}\}$ is the subsequence of $\{z_j\}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D_{k_j}}(z_{k_j}) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D_j}(z_j).$$

And we may assume $z \in D_{j_0}$. Then using Lemma 2.6, we can get a sequence of holomorphic function $\{F_j\}$ on D_j , such that $\int_{D_j} |F_j|^2 = 1$, and $|(\xi \cdot F_j)(z_j)|^2 = K_{\xi, D_j}(z_j)$, for any $j \geq j_0$. Since for any compact subset K of D , there is $j_K \geq 1$, such that $K \subseteq D_j$ for any $j \geq j_K$, then we can use Montel's theorem and the diagonal method to get a subsequence of $\{F_{k_j}\}$ which is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of D . We may denote the subsequence by $\{F_{k_j}\}$ itself, and denote the limit function by F_0 . Fatou's lemma and Lemma 2.7 imply that $\int_D |F_0|^2 \leq 1$, and $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (\xi \cdot F_{k_j})(z_{k_j}) = (\xi \cdot F_0)(z)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\xi, D}(z) &\geq \frac{|(\xi \cdot F_0)(z)|^2}{\int_D |F_0|^2} \geq |(\xi \cdot F_0)(z)|^2 \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} |(\xi \cdot F_{k_j})(z_{k_j})|^2 = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D_{k_j}}(z_{k_j}) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D_j}(z_j). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\liminf_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D_j}(z_j) \geq K_{\xi, D}(z) \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D_j}(z_j),$$

which implies

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, D_j}(z_j) = K_{\xi, D}(z). \quad \square$$

The Bergman kernel is log-plurisubharmonic.

Lemma 2.10 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , and let $\xi \in \ell_1$. Then $\log K_{\xi, D}(z)$ is plurisubharmonic on D .*

Proof There is $\log K_{\xi, D}(z) \equiv -\infty$ when $\xi = 0$. Now we assume $\xi \neq 0$. By the definition, we have

$$\log K_{\xi, D}(z) = \sup \left\{ 2 \log |(\xi \cdot F)(z)| : \int_D |F|^2 = 1, F \in \mathcal{O}(D) \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2 shows that $(\xi \cdot F)(z)$ is holomorphic on D , when F is holomorphic on D . Then $\log |(\xi \cdot F)(z)|$ is plurisubharmonic. As $\log K_{\xi, D}(z)$ is upper semicontinuous according to Lemma 2.8, $\log K_{\xi, D}(z)$ is plurisubharmonic on D . \square

3 Optimal L^2 Extension and Guan–Zhou Method

In this section, we will recall the Guan–Zhou Method, i.e. an optimal L^2 extension approach to a log-convexity property of the fibrewised Bergman kernel.

Let Ω be a pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} with coordinate (z, t) , where $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{C}$. Let p, q be the natural projections $p(z, t) = t$, $q(z, t) = z$ on Ω , $p(\Omega) = D$. For any $t \in D$, suppose that $\Omega_t = p^{-1}(t) \subseteq \Omega$ is bounded in \mathbb{C}^n . Let $K_{\xi, t}(z) = K_{\xi, \Omega_t}(z)$ be the Bergman kernels of the domains Ω_t defined as which in the above section with respect to some fixed $\xi \in \ell_1$.

We will use the following version of the optimal L^2 extension theorem.

Lemma 3.1 (Optimal L^2 extension theorem ([4], see [21, 22])) *Let $D = \Delta_{t_0, r}$ be the disk in the complex plane centered on t_0 with radius r . Then for every holomorphic and L^2 integrable function f on Ω_{t_0} , there exists a holomorphic function F on Ω , such that $F|_{\Omega_{t_0}} = f$, and*

$$\frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Omega} |F|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |f|^2.$$

The Guan–Zhou Method shows that Lemma 3.1 implies the following

Proposition 3.2 (see [1, 14, 17]) *$\log K_{\xi, t}(z)$ is a plurisubharmonic function with respect to (z, t) , for any $\xi \in \ell_1$.*

Proof Firstly, we prove that $\log K_{\xi, t}(z)$ is upper semicontinuous on Ω . Let (z_j, t_j) be a sequence of points in Ω , such that $(z_j, t_j) \rightarrow (z_0, t_0) \in \Omega$, $j \rightarrow +\infty$. Since Ω is a domain in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , we know that for any compact subset of $q(\Omega_{t_0})$ denoted by K , there exists $j_K \geq 1$, such that $K \subseteq q(\Omega_{t_j})$ in the sense of domains in \mathbb{C}^n , for any $j \geq j_K$.

We denote $q(\Omega_{t_j})$ by Ω_j . Then we may assume that $\{(z_{k_j}, t_{k_j})\}$ is the subsequence of $\{(z_j, t_j)\}$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, \Omega_{k_j}}(z_{k_j}) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, \Omega_j}(z_j),$$

and $z_0 \in \Omega_{j_0}$. Using Lemma 2.6, we can get a sequence of holomorphic function $\{F_j\}$ on Ω_j , such that $\int_{\Omega_j} |F_j|^2 = 1$, and $|(\xi \cdot F_j)(z_j)|^2 = K_{\xi, \Omega_j}(z_j)$, for any $j \geq j_0$. Since for any compact subset K of $q(\Omega_{t_0})$, there exists $j_K \geq 1$, such that $K \subseteq \Omega_j$ for any $j \geq j_K$, then we can use Montel's theorem and the diagonal method to get a subsequence of $\{F_{k_j}\}$ which is uniformly convergent on every compact subset of $q(\Omega_{t_0})$. We may denote the subsequence by $\{F_{k_j}\}$ itself, and denote the limit function by F_0 . Fatou's lemma and Lemma 2.7 imply that $\int_{q(\Omega_{t_0})} |F_0|^2 \leq 1$ and $\lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} (\xi \cdot F_{k_j})(z_{k_j}) = (\xi \cdot F_0)(z_0)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\xi, \Omega_{t_0}}(z_0) &\geq \frac{|(\xi \cdot F_0)(z_0)|^2}{\int_{q(\Omega_{t_0})} |F_0|^2} \geq |(\xi \cdot F_0)(z_0)|^2 \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} |(\xi \cdot F_{k_j})(z_{k_j})|^2 = \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, \Omega_{k_j}}(z_{k_j}) = \limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} K_{\xi, \Omega_{t_j}}(z_j), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \log K_{\xi, t_j}(z_j) \leq \log K_{\xi, t_0}(z_0).$$

Then we obtain that $\log K_{\xi, t}(z)$ is upper semicontinuous on Ω .

Secondly we need to check that for any complex line L , $\log K_{\xi, t}(z)|_L$ is subharmonic. If the complex line lies on some Ω_t for some fixed t , we know that $\log K_{\xi, t}(z)|_L$ is subharmonic using Lemma 2.10. Then without loss of generality, we assume that L is the complex line on $\{t|(z, t)\}$ and $D = \Delta_{t_0, r} = L$.

If $\log K_{\xi, t_0}(z) = -\infty$, we are done. Then we assume that there exists $f \in A^2(\Omega_{t_0})$ such that

$$K_{\xi, t_0}(z) = \frac{|(\xi \cdot f)(z)|^2}{\int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |f|^2}.$$

Using the optimal L^2 extension theorem (Lemma 3.1), we can get a holomorphic function F on Ω such that $F(z, t_0) = f(z)$ and

$$\frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Omega} |F|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |f|^2.$$

Denote that $F_t(z) = F(z, t) = F|_{\Omega_t}$. Note that the function $y = \log x$ is concave, and by Jensen's inequality, it follows from Guan-Zhou Method ([14], see also [21], [22]) that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \left(\int_{\Omega_{t_0}} |f|^2 \right) &\geq \log \left(\frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Omega} |F|^2 \right) \\ &= \log \left(\frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Delta_{t_0, r}} \int_{\Omega_t} |F_t|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Delta_{t_0, r}} \log \left(\int_{\Omega_t} |F_t|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Delta_{t_0, r}} \left(\log |(\xi \cdot F_t)(z)|^2 - \log K_{\xi, t}(z) \right) \\ &\geq \log |(\xi \cdot f)(z)|^2 - \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{\Delta_{t_0, r}} \log K_{\xi, t}(z). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality above holds, since we can prove that $\log |(\xi \cdot F_t)(z)|^2$ is subharmonic

with respect to t . In fact, if we write

$$F_t(w) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha(t)(w - z)^\alpha,$$

then all the

$$a_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{\alpha!} \frac{\partial^\alpha F(w, t)}{\partial w^\alpha}(z, t)$$

are holomorphic with respect to t . In addition, $(\xi \cdot F_t)(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \xi_\alpha a_\alpha(t)$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent on every compact subset of $\Delta_{t_0, r}$. Since for any compact subset of $\Delta_{t_0, r}$, denoted by K , we can find some $R > 0$ such that $\Delta_{z, R}^n \subseteq q(\Omega_t)$ for any $t \in K$. Combining with $\xi \in \ell_1$, we get that

$$|\xi_\alpha a_\alpha(t)| \leq \frac{MM'}{(\rho R)^{|\alpha|}}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$$

for some $M, M' > 0$, $\rho > 1/R$, and any $t \in K$. This means that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \xi_\alpha a_\alpha(t)$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent on K . Then $(\xi \cdot F_t)(z)$ is holomorphic with respect to t for any fixed z , which implies that $\log |(\xi \cdot F_t)(z)|^2$ is subharmonic with respect to t . Then

$$\log K_{\xi, t_0}(z) \leq \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{t \in \Delta_{t_0, r}} \log K_{\xi, t}(z),$$

which implies that $\log K_{\xi, t}(z)$ is plurisubharmonic with respect to (z, t) . \square

4 Effectiveness Result of Strong Openness Property

In this section, we complete the L^2 extension approach to the effectiveness of the strong openness property.

Let I be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_o such that $I \neq \mathcal{O}_o$. We consider about a subset $\ell_I \subseteq \ell_1$ such as

$$\ell_I = \{0 \neq \xi \in \ell_1 : (\xi \cdot F)(o) = 0, \forall F \in I\}.$$

It is obvious that ℓ_I is always nonempty since $\xi = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in \ell_I$ when $I \neq \mathcal{O}_o$.

Especially, we denote $\ell_{\mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o}$ by ℓ_φ .

In addition, denote that

$$D_t := \{z \in D : \varphi(z) < -t\},$$

and

$$K_\xi(t) := K_{\xi, D_t}(o),$$

for $t \in [0, +\infty)$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 (see [6, Theorem 5.13, Chapter I]) *Let $\Omega = I + i\mathbb{R}$ be a domain in \mathbb{C} with the coordinate $z = x + iy$, where I is an interval in \mathbb{R} . Let $\phi(z)$ be a subharmonic function on Ω which is independent of y . Then $\phi(x) := \phi(x + i\mathbb{R})$ is a convex function with respect to $x \in I$.*

This result is also used in [1, 3] and [17].

Note that the domain

$$\{(z, \tau) : \varphi(z) - \operatorname{Re} \tau < 0\}$$

is pseudoconvex in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , then according to Proposition 3.2, $\log K_{\xi, \tau}(o)$ is subharmonic for $\tau \in [0, +\infty) + i\mathbb{R}$, and independent of $\operatorname{Im} \tau$. Lemma 4.1 shows that $\log K_\xi(t)$ is convex for $t \in [0, +\infty)$. This implies that $\log K_\xi^{-1}(t) + t$ is concave, which will be increasing if it has a lower bound. We state the following result.

Lemma 4.2 *Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that $o \in D$, and let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on D such that $\varphi(o) = -\infty$, and $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o \neq \mathcal{O}_o$. Then for any fixed $\xi \in \ell_\varphi$,*

$$\log K_\xi^{-1}(t) + t \geq \log K_\xi^{-1}(0), \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty).$$

Lemma 4.2 can be proved by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3 (see [19]) *Let D be a pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that $o \in D$, and let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on D such that $\varphi(o) = -\infty$. Let F be an L^2 integrable holomorphic function on $\{\varphi < -t_0\}$. Then there exists a holomorphic function F_{t_0} on D , such that*

$$(F_{t_0} - F, o) \in \mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o$$

and

$$\int_D |F_{t_0} - (1 - b_{t_0}(\varphi))F|^2 \leq C_D \int_{\{-t_0-1 < \varphi < -t_0\}} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi},$$

where $b_{t_0}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \mathbb{I}_{\{-t_0-1 < s < -t_0\}} ds$ and $t_0 \geq 0$, and here C_D is a positive constant only dependent of D .

Lemma 4.3 can also be referred to [10, 11, 13, 14].

Proof [Proof of Lemma 4.2] We only need to prove that there is a lower bound of $\log K_\xi^{-1}(t) + t$.

Lemma 2.6 shows that for any $t \in [0, +\infty)$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{O}(\{\varphi < -t\})$, such that

$$K_\xi(t) = \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{\int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} |F|^2}.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 that there exists a holomorphic function F_t on D such that

$$(F_t - F, o) \in \mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o$$

and

$$\int_D |F_t - (1 - b_t(\varphi))F|^2 \leq C_D \int_{\{-t-1 < \varphi < -t\}} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi}.$$

Note that $\xi \in \ell_\varphi$, then $(F_t - F, o) \in \mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o$ induces that $(\xi \cdot F_t)(o) = (\xi \cdot F)(o)$. On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_D |F_t - (1 - b_t(\varphi))F|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \geq \left(\int_D |F_t|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \left(\int_D |(1 - b_t(\varphi))F|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \geq K_{\xi, D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(o) |(\xi \cdot F_t)(o)| - \left(\int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} |F|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & = K_{\xi, D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(o) |(\xi \cdot F)(o)| - \left(\int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} |F|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\int_{\{-t-1 < \varphi < -t\}} |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} \leq e^{t+1} \int_{\{-t-1 < \varphi < -t\}} |F|^2 \leq e^{t+1} \int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} |F|^2.$$

Then

$$(C_D^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{t+1}{2}} + 1)^2 \int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} |F|^2 \geq K_{\xi, D}^{-1}(o) |(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2,$$

which means

$$\int_{\{\varphi < -t\}} |F|^2 \geq C e^{-t} |(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2,$$

where $C = (2(e+1)K_{\xi, D}(o) \max\{C_D, 1\})^{-1}$ is a positive constant independent of the choices of F and t .

Then we get that

$$\log K_{\xi}^{-1}(t) + t \geq \log C,$$

for any $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Then $\log K_{\xi}^{-1}(t) + t$ has a lower bound, inducing that it is increasing, and

$$\log K_{\xi}^{-1}(t) + t \geq \log K_{\xi}^{-1}(0). \quad \square$$

By Lemma 4.2, we get the following proposition.

Proposition 4.4 *Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that $o \in D$, and let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on D such that $\varphi(o) = -\infty$, and $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o \neq \mathcal{O}_o$. Let F be a holomorphic function on D . Then for any $p > 1$, and any $\xi \in \ell_{\varphi}$,*

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\frac{\varphi}{p}} \geq \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi, D}(o)}.$$

Proof It is known that

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\frac{\varphi}{p}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\{\frac{\varphi}{p} < -t\}} |F|^2 \right) e^t dt$$

(this equality can be referred in [10]). And using Lemma 4.2, we have that $K_{\xi}^{-1}(t) \geq e^{-t} K_{\xi}^{-1}(0)$ for any $t \in [0, +\infty)$ if $\xi \in \ell_{\varphi}$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\int_{\{\varphi < -pt\}} |F|^2 \right) e^t dt \\ & \geq \int_0^{+\infty} |(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2 K_{\xi}^{-1}(pt) e^t dt \\ & \geq |(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2 K_{\xi}^{-1}(0) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(1-p)t} dt = \frac{1}{p-1} \cdot \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi, D}(o)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\int_{\{\varphi < -pt\}} |F|^2 \right) e^t dt \geq \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi, D}(o)} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^t dt = \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi, D}(o)}.$$

Then

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\frac{\varphi}{p}} \geq \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi, D}(o)}. \quad \square$$

Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , and let I be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_o such that $I \neq \mathcal{O}_o$. Let (F, o) be an element in \mathcal{O}_o . Then we denote that

$$B(F, I, D) = \sup_{\xi \in \ell_I} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi, D}(o)}.$$

Especially, we denote $B(F, \mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o, D)$ by $B(F, \varphi, D)$. Then we get the following corollary of Proposition 4.4.

Corollary 4.5 *Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that $o \in D$, and let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on D such that $\varphi(o) = -\infty$, and $\mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o \neq \mathcal{O}_o$. Let F be a holomorphic function on D such that $F \notin \mathcal{I}(\varphi)_o$. Then for any $p > 1$,*

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\frac{\varphi}{p}} \geq \frac{p}{p-1} B(F, \varphi, D).$$

The following proposition shows that if $(F, o) \notin I$, then $B(F, I, D) > 0$.

Proposition 4.6 *Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n such that $o \in D$, and let I be an ideal of \mathcal{O}_o such that $I \neq \mathcal{O}_o$. Let $(F, o) \in \mathcal{O}_o$ such that $(F, o) \notin I$. Then we are able to find some $\xi \in \ell_I$ such that $(\xi \cdot F)(o) \neq 0$, or in other words, $B(F, I, D) > 0$.*

We will prove it with the separating theorem ([23, Theorem 3.5]) in functional analysis theory, which is a corollary of the Hahn–Banach theorem.

Lemma 4.7 (The separating theorem) *Let M be a subspace of a locally convex space X over the complex field, and $x_0 \in X$. If x_0 is not in the closure of M , then there exists $\Lambda \in X^*$ (the dual space of X) such that $\Lambda x_0 = 1$ but $\Lambda x = 0$ for every $x \in M$.*

We give the proof of Proposition 4.6 as follows.

Proof of Proposition 4.6 We consider the analytic Krull topology on \mathcal{O}_o , which induced by the separating family of seminorms $\sum a_\alpha z^\alpha \mapsto |a_\alpha|$. Then \mathcal{O}_o is a locally convex space under the analytic Krull topology. And the ideal I is closed in \mathcal{O}_o under the analytic Krull topology (see chapter IX of [6]).

Then using the separating theorem (Lemma 4.7), we can find some $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_o^{\text{dual}}$ such that $\eta \cdot F = 1$ and $\eta \cdot g = 0$ for every $g \in I$, since $F \notin I$. Here $\mathcal{O}_o^{\text{dual}}$ is the dual space of \mathcal{O}_o under the analytic Krull topology.

Now we prove that $\mathcal{O}_o^{\text{dual}}$ equals to ℓ_1 as sets. Suppose $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_o^{\text{dual}}$, and $\eta \cdot z^\alpha = \eta_\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Since η is linear, we have

$$\eta \cdot \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} a_\alpha z^\alpha \right) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \eta_\alpha a_\alpha.$$

And since η is continuous, we have

$$\eta \cdot \left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha z^\alpha \right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \eta_\alpha a_\alpha.$$

Then we need to ensure that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \eta_\alpha a_\alpha$ is convergent for any $g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha z^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_o$. Take

$$g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{e^{-i \arg(\eta_\alpha)}}{R^{|\alpha|}} z^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_o,$$

for any $R > 0$. Then

$$\eta \cdot g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} |\eta_\alpha| \frac{1}{R^{|\alpha|}}.$$

If we denote that $\eta^* = (\eta_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}$, then $\eta^* \in \ell_1$ by the above computation. Moreover, we have that $\eta \cdot g = (\eta^* \cdot g)(o)$. Thus each element in $\mathcal{O}_o^{\text{dual}}$ can be seen as an element in ℓ_1 .

In addition, each element in ℓ_1 can be seen as an element in $\mathcal{O}_o^{\text{dual}}$. For any $\xi = (\xi_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \in \ell_1$, and $g = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha z^\alpha$, let $\tilde{\xi} \cdot g := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} \xi_\alpha a_\alpha$, then the summation is absolutely convergent. It is also clear that $\tilde{\xi}$ is linear, and $\tilde{\xi}$ is continuous under each seminorm $\sum a_\alpha z^\alpha \mapsto |a_\alpha|$. It means that $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathcal{O}_o^{\text{dual}}$ with respect to the analytic Krull topology on \mathcal{O}_o .

Then we get that $\mathcal{O}_o^{\text{dual}}$ equals to ℓ_1 as sets. For any ideal I of \mathcal{O}_o and $(F, o) \in \mathcal{O}_o$ with $(F, o) \notin I$, since we can find some $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_o^{\text{dual}}$ such that $\eta \cdot F = 1$ and $\eta \cdot g = 0$, there exists $\xi \in \ell_1$ with $\xi \in \ell_I$ and $(\xi \cdot F)(o) \neq 0$. This means that $B(F, I, D) > 0$. \square

If F is holomorphic and L^2 integrable on D , then $B(F, I, D) \leq \int_D |F|^2$, since

$$\begin{aligned} B(F, I, D) &= \sup_{\xi \in \ell_I, (\xi \cdot F)(o) \neq 0} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi, D}(o)} \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \ell_I, (\xi \cdot F)(o) \neq 0} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2 / \int_D |F|^2} = \int_D |F|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that if there are two ideals I_1 and I_2 of \mathcal{O}_o such that $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \neq \mathcal{O}_o$, then $B(F, I_1, D) \geq B(F, I_2, D)$.

Now we prove Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6 For $p > 2c_o^F(\varphi)$, $|F|^2 e^{-p\varphi}$ is not integrable near o , and $B(F, p\varphi, D) \geq B(F, \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o, D)$. Then Corollary 4.5 shows that

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} \geq \frac{p}{p-1} B(F, \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o, D).$$

Let $p \rightarrow 2c_o^F(\varphi)^+$. The above inequality also holds for $p \geq 2c_o^F(\varphi)$. Then if $p > 1$ satisfying

$$\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} < \frac{p}{p-1} B(F, \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o, D),$$

we get $p < 2c_o^F(\varphi)$, which means that $|F|^2 e^{-p\varphi}$ is integrable near o .

Since $(F, o) \notin \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o$, we know that

$$0 < B(F, \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o, D) \leq \int_D |F|^2 < \int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi}.$$

Then the proof is done. \square

5 The Sharpness of Proposition 1.4 and Theorem 1.6

Firstly, we show that the inequality in Proposition 1.4 is sharp. Assume that D is the unit disk $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $F \equiv 1$ and $\varphi = 2 \log |z|$. Let $\xi_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in \ell_\varphi$, and for any $p > 1$,

$$\int_\Delta |F|^2 e^{-\frac{\varphi}{p}} = \frac{p}{p-1} \pi = \frac{p}{p-1} \cdot \frac{|\xi_0 \cdot F(o)|^2}{K_{\xi_0, D}(o)}.$$

This implies that Proposition 1.4 is sharp.

Secondly, we show that the effectiveness result in Theorem 1.6 is also sharp. Assume that D is the unit disk $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $F \equiv 1$ and $\varphi = \frac{2}{p} \log |z|$, $p > 1$. Then $\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi} = \frac{p}{p-1} \pi$, and $\ell_{\mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o} = \mathbb{C}^* \xi_0$, inducing that $B(F, \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o, D) = \pi$, and

$$\frac{\int_D |F|^2 e^{-\varphi}}{B(F, \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o, D)} = \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

In addition, we know that for $q > 1$, it is equivalent to $q < p$ that $|F|^2 e^{-q\varphi}$ is locally integrable near o , and $q < p \Leftrightarrow \frac{q}{q-1} > \frac{p}{p-1}$ for $p, q > 1$. This means that Theorem 1.6 is sharp.

At last, we show that Theorem 1.6 implies the sharp effectiveness result of the openness conjecture ([10]).

Corollary 5.1 ([10]) *Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n , $o \in D$, and let φ be a negative plurisubharmonic function on D , $\varphi(o) = -\infty$. Assume that $\int_D e^{-\varphi} < +\infty$, then for $p > 1$ satisfying*

$$\frac{p}{p-1} > K_D(o) \int_D e^{-\varphi},$$

$e^{-p\varphi}$ is locally integrable near o , where K_D is the original Bergman kernel on D .

Proof Let $F \equiv 1$, and $\xi_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0, \dots) \in \ell_{\mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o}$. Then we have

$$B(F, \mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o, D) = \sup_{\xi \in \ell_{\mathcal{I}_+(2c_o^F(\varphi)\varphi)_o}} \frac{|(\xi \cdot F)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi, D}(o)} \geq \frac{|(\xi_0 \cdot 1)(o)|^2}{K_{\xi_0, D}(o)} = K_D^{-1}(o).$$

Then according to Theorem 1.6, for any $p > 1$ satisfying

$$\frac{p}{p-1} > K_D(o) \int_D e^{-\varphi},$$

$e^{-p\varphi}$ is locally integrable near o . □

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the referees for suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] Berndtsson, B.: Subharmonicity properties of the Bergman kernel and some other functions associated to pseudoconvex domains. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, **56**(6), 1633–1662 (2006)
- [2] Berndtsson, B.: The openness conjecture for plurisubharmonic functions, arXiv:1305.5781
- [3] Berndtsson, B., Lempert, L.: A proof of the Ohsawa–Takegoshi theorem with sharp estimates, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **68**(4), 1461–1472 (2016)
- [4] Blocki, Z.: Suita conjecture and the Ohsawa–Takegoshi extension theorem. *Invent. Math.*, **193**(1), 149–158 (2013)
- [5] Demailly, J. P.: Transcendental proof of a generalized Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem. In: Geometrical and Algebraical Aspects in Several Complex variables (Cetraro, 1989), Sem. Conf., Vol. 8, EditEL, Rende, 1991, 81–94
- [6] Demailly, J. P.: Complex analytic and differential geometry, electronically accessible at <https://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~demailly/manuscripts/agbook.pdf>
- [7] Demailly, J. P.: Multiplier ideal sheaves and analytic methods in algebraic geometry, In: School on Vanishing Theorems and Effective Results in Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 2000), ICTP Lect. Notes, Vol. 6, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2001, 1–148
- [8] Demailly, J. P.: Analytic methods in algebraic geometry, International Press, Somerville, MA; Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012
- [9] Demailly, J. P., Kollár, J.: Semi-continuity of complex singularity exponents and Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano orbifolds. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, **34**(4), 525–556 (2001)
- [10] Guan, Q. A.: A sharp effectiveness result of Demailly’s strong openness conjecture. *Adv. Math.*, **348**, 51–80 (2019)
- [11] Guan, Q. A., Zhou, X. Y.: Strong openness conjecture and related problems for plurisubharmonic functions. arXiv:1401.7158
- [12] Guan, Q. A., Zhou, X. Y.: A proof of Demailly’s strong openness conjecture, *Ann. of Math. (2)*, **182**(2), 605–616 (2015)
- [13] Guan, Q. A., Zhou, X. Y.: Effectiveness of Demailly’s strong openness conjecture and related problems. *Invent. Math.*, **202**(2), 635–676 (2015)

- [14] Guan, Q. A., Zhou, X. Y.: A solution of an L^2 extension problem with an optimal estimate and applications, *Ann. of Math.* (2), **181**(3), 1139–1208 (2015)
- [15] Hörmander, L.: An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables, 3rd Ed., North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990
- [16] Jonsson, M., Mustăţă, M.: An algebraic approach to the openness conjecture of Demailly and Kollár. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, **13**(1), 119–144 (2014)
- [17] Maitani, F., Yamaguchi, H.: Variation of Bergman metrics on Riemann surfaces. *Math. Ann.*, **330**(3), 477–489 (2004)
- [18] Nadel, A.: Multiplier ideal sheaves and Kähler–Einstein metrics of positive scalar curvature. *Ann. of Math.* (2), **132**(3), 549–596 (1990)
- [19] Ohsawa, T.: On the extension of L^2 holomorphic functions. V. Effects of generalization. *Nagoya Math. J.*, **161**, 1–21 (2001)
- [20] Ohsawa, T.: Analysis of Several Complex Variables, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002
- [21] Ohsawa, T.: L^2 approaches in several complex variables. Development of Oka–Cartan Theory by L^2 Estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ Operator, Springer, Tokyo, 2015
- [22] Ohsawa, T.: L^2 approaches in several complex variables. Towards the Oka–Cartan Theory with Precise Bounds, Springer, Tokyo, 2018
- [23] Rudin, W.: Functional analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York–Düsseldorf–Johannesburg, 1973
- [24] Siu, Y. T.: Multiplier ideal sheaves in complex and algebraic geometry. *Sci. China Ser. A*, **48**(suppl.), 1–31 (2005)
- [25] Tian, G.: On Kähler–Einstein metrics on certain Kähler manifolds with $C_1(M) > 0$. *Invent. Math.*, **89**(2), 225–246 (1987)