

Emulation and Benchmarking of C Code

Kian S Frassek[†] and Cameron F Clark[‡]

EEE3096S Class of 2023

University of Cape Town

South Africa

[†]FRSKIA001 [‡]CLRCAM007

Abstract—This report details the emulation and benchmarking of C code with various compiler optimisation. Python was using as our Golden Measure, and C code with 8 threads, floats, -Os and unrolled loops being the fastest

I. INTRODUCTION

This report covers an investigation into the topics of emulation, benchmarking, and optimisation in embedded systems development. The aim is to uncover the impact of the chosen high-level language, compiler flags, bit widths, and multi-threading on a program's performance.

Emulation is a useful tool that allows the simulation of hardware and software simultaneously, and benchmarking is used to give a reference frame for all the tests. Python is chosen as our Golden Measure for these tests for its simplicity while the C code is optimised to increase the speed of the program.

Optimizations are tools used during the compiling process that are designed to improve program performance in one or more key aspects. These optimizations include changing the number of bits that the variables are stored in, adding various optimisation flags and the use of multi-threading.

II. METHODOLOGY

A. Hardware

The hardware used for this practical was a macOS laptop running Virtual Box with 4 CPU cores and 8 Gb of RAM. The Virtual Box was running Ubuntu image, which was in turn simulating a Raspberry Pi emulator. The Raspberry Pi emulator was used for the tests.

B. Implementation

The following code is the sudo code format of the two files: the python code which was used as the benchmark for the C code tests and the non-threaded C code. The third file that was used in this test was a multi-threaded version of the C code file. The 'data' and 'carrier' arrays are predefined arrays found in another file

```
# Load data arrays from external file
Load data from external file

# Define values
c = carrier array
d = data array
result = empty array

# Main function
function main():
    Display "There are " + length of c + " samples"
    Display "using type " + type of first element in data array
    Call Timing.startlog()
    for i from 0 to length of c - 1:
        Append c[i] * d[i] to result array
    Call Timing.endlog()
```

C. Experiment Procedure

The experiment start by benchmarking in Python using the following code. All tests were run 5 times and then an average was taken:

```
cd Python
for i in {1..5}; do python3 PythonHeterodyning.py; done
```

The C code was tested next starting with the non-threaded version:

```
cd ../C
make
for i in {1..5}; do make run; done
```

The threaded version of the C code was tested next, where each test changed the defined number of threads in the *CHeterodyning_threaded.h* file. The nano command was using to edit the file:

```
nano src/CHeterodyning_threaded.h
for i in {1..5}; do make run; done
```

Where the thread count was changed in the following line to the values 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 in turn:

```
#define Thread_Count 1
```

The threaded C code was then executed for each change of thread count:

```
make threaded
for i in {1..5}; do make run_threaded; done
```

The next test involved the use of compiler optimisation flags which optimisation which optimise the code for various application. One of the following were using at a time:

- -O0
- -O1
- -O2
- -O3
- -Ofast
- -Os
- -Og

They were added to the *The makefile* under the $\$(CFLAG)$ heading and then the non-threaded version was run:

```
nano makefile
```

The *-funroll-loops* flag unrolls loops into repetitive code. It can be combined with any of the flags used in the previous test, however, for efficiencies sake, it was only applied to the slowest and fastest two compiler optimisation flags.

The last test involves altering bit widths of the data arrays. This involves change the data type of the arrays in *globals.h* and the output array in *CHeterodyning_threaded.h*. The data types that were tested were

- float
- double
- __fp16

The following code quickly changes the data types in the array where *abc* and *xyz* can be substituted as to the data type in the file and the data type to change to respectively

```
sed -i -e 's/abc/xyz/g' src/globals.h
sed -i -e 's/abc/xyz/g' src/CHeterodyning.c
```

Importantly, when the data type *__fp16* is used, the compiler flag *-mfp-format=ieee*. All added compiler flags were removed before running this test, and the non-threaded version was used

Finally the best combination of the above number of threads, compiler flags including *-funroll-loops* and bit width was used to generate the fastest C code execution.

III. RESULTS

The results include 6 tables which refer back to each test performed in the Methodology above. Tests II - V have been made into graphs for easier readability.

A. Tables

TABLE I
BENCHMARKING AND NON-THREADED TESTS AND TIMES (MS)

Test	Python	C
	Golden Measure	Non-threaded
1	403	11,1
2	412	15,1
3	406	6,12
4	367	7,07
5	383	7,65
Average	394	9,41

The Non-threaded C code ran 41 times faster compared to the python Golden Measure

TABLE II
THREADED TESTS AND TIMES (MS)

Test	Threads					
	1	2	4	8	16	32
1	11,2	1,59	17,3	8,36	1,46	3,40
2	10,5	11,2	6,71	11,3	8,90	4,88
3	25,5	31,2	22,1	10,9	26,0	24,4
4	40,4	37,5	2,59	11,3	2,07	17,8
5	14,9	52,0	7,66	1,65	24,8	29,5
Average	20,5	26,7	11,3	8,70	12,6	16,0

The test run with 8 threads was the fastest, which 44 times faster than the python Golden Measure

TABLE III
COMPILER OPTIMISATION FLAGS TESTS AND TIMES (MS)

Test	Optimisation Flags						
	-O0	-O1	-O2	-O3	-Ofast	-Os	-Og
1	30,4	14,1	28,2	28,7	7,10	21,0	32,8
2	34,7	33,3	20,9	26,9	12,3	5,78	8,92
3	8,45	8,09	36,6	6,02	19,0	5,03	21,8
4	12,1	6,19	5,31	9,71	5,87	24,2	6,22
5	35,1	48,4	7,77	53,4	29,1	5,73	25,3
Average	24,2	22,0	19,8	24,9	14,7	12,3	19,0

The test run with the *-Os* flag was the fastest, which ran 31 times faster than the python Golden Measure

TABLE IV
COFS WITH *-funroll-loops* TESTS AND TIMES (MS)

Test	Optimisation Flags			
	-O0	-O3	-Ofast	-Os
1	43,9	7,31	24,6	6,01
2	6,18	6,78	5,08	5,67
3	12,1	15,1	42,7	11,8
4	31,7	57,9	6,06	21,1
5	13,4	34,0	6,21	5,47
Average	21,5	24,2	16,9	10,0

The test run with *-Os* and *-funroll-loops* flags was the fastest, which ran 38 times faster than the python Golden Measure. The biggest improvement when adding the *-funroll-loops* flag was also the *-Os* flag, with a 23% improvement

TABLE V
C BIT WIDTHS TESTS AND TIMES (MS)

Test	Data type		
	double	float	__fp16
1	31,9	6,69	110
2	39,2	31,1	101
3	34,1	10,9	26,2
4	69,0	35,0	98,8
5	12,1	7,87	93,8
Bit widths	64	32	16
Average	37,3	18,3	86,0

The test run with *float* data type was the fastest, which ran 21 times faster than the python Golden Measure

TABLE VI
FASTEST TEST

Section	Fastest	Test	Time (ms)
Language	C	1	14,3
Threaded	True	2	1,68
Threads	8	3	14,6
Compiler Flag	-Os	4	1,90
Unroll loops	True	5	21,7
Data type	float	Average	10,8

Fig. 1. Figure name

It should be noted that the data was extremely variable throughout all the tests and an average of 5 samples was likely to few for accurate testing.

B. Figures



Fig. 2. Bar graph representing different C threading configurations.




Fig. 3. Execution time comparison using different C optimization flags




Fig. 4. Execution time comparison for C unrolled loops (unthreaded) using various optimization flags.




Fig. 5. Comparing Execution Times of C Unthreaded Code with Different Bit Widths: Double, Float, and FP16.

IV. CONCLUSION

The conclusion should provide a summary of your findings. Many people only read the introduction and conclusion of a paper. They sometimes scan the tables and figures. If the conclusion hints at interesting findings, only then will they bother to read the whole paper.

You can also include work that you intend to do in future, such as ideas for further improvements, or to make the solution more accessible to the general user-base, etc.

Publishers often charge “overlength article charges” [1], so keep within the page limit. In EEE4084F we will simulate overlength fees by means of a mark reduction at 10% per page. Late submissions will be charged at 10% per day, or part thereof.

REFERENCES

- [1] “Voluntary Page and Overlength Article Charges,” <http://www.ieee.org/advertisement/2012vpcopc.pdf>, 2014.