

Stochastic Models and Simulation: Queueing Foundations

Lecture 2 – Birth–Death Models, M/M queues, and Beyond

Sebastian Müller

Lecture 2



From Poisson Counts to Queues

- ▶ Lecture 1: Poisson counts, thinning, simulation boilerplate.
- ▶ Today: turn arrival counts into full service systems.
- ▶ Core questions:
 - ▶ How do waiting times/queue lengths arise from stochastic primitives?
 - ▶ What closed-form results exist for Markovian queues?
 - ▶ How do we simulate when formulas are unavailable?

Building Blocks

Definition

A queueing system is described by arrivals, service mechanism, number of servers, capacity, and service discipline.

- ▶ Kendall notation $A/S/c/K/m/Z$ with defaults ∞ capacity, infinite population, FIFO.
- ▶ States often captured by customer count $N(t)$.
- ▶ Stability requires arrival rate λ smaller than total service capacity.

Little's Law

Theorem

$L = \lambda W$, holds for any stable queue in steady state.

- ▶ L : expected number in system, λ : effective arrival rate, W : expected sojourn time.
- ▶ Corollaries: $L_q = \lambda W_q$, throughput equals arrival rate when stable.
- ▶ Applies to simulations: we validate estimates by cross-checking Little's identities.

Birth–Death Chains

- ▶ Many queues map to continuous-time Markov chains with transitions $n \rightarrow n + 1$ (birth with rate λ_n) and $n \rightarrow n - 1$ (death with rate μ_n).
- ▶ Balance equations yield stationary probabilities π_n when $\sum_n \pi_n = 1$.
- ▶ For homogeneous rates: $\pi_n = \pi_0 \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{\mu_k}$.
- ▶ Convergence criterion: $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \lambda_{k-1}/\mu_k < 1$.

Interpreting State Probabilities

- ▶ π_n answers: fraction of time system holds n customers, or probability an arriving job sees n in steady state (PASTA).
- ▶ Performance measures as expectations: $L = \sum n\pi_n$, blocking probability $= \pi_K$ for finite-capacity queues.
- ▶ Insight: small changes in utilisation can dramatically shift mass toward high n when ρ close to 1.

M/M/1 Recap

- ▶ Arrival rate λ , single server with rate μ .
- ▶ Stability: $\rho = \lambda/\mu < 1$.
- ▶ Performance:

$$L = \frac{\rho}{1 - \rho}, \quad L_q = \frac{\rho^2}{1 - \rho},$$
$$W = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}, \quad W_q = \frac{\rho}{\mu - \lambda}.$$

- ▶ Queue-length distribution: geometric $\mathbb{P}[N = n] = (1 - \rho)\rho^n$.
- ▶ Time in system: exponential with mean $1/(\mu - \lambda)$.

M/M/1 Intuition

- ▶ ρ is utilisation: proportion of time server is busy.
- ▶ As $\rho \uparrow 1$, mean wait grows like $\frac{1}{1-\rho}$: diminishing returns of adding load.
- ▶ Memoryless service \Rightarrow past does not inform remaining service time (strong assumption!).
- ▶ Sensitivity analysis: 10% error in ρ translates to large swings in W_q when near saturation.

M/M/c with Infinite Buffer

- ▶ Model: M/M/c, or Erlang-C. c parallel servers, Poisson arrivals λ , exponential service μ .
- ▶ Offered load and utilisation: $\rho = \lambda/(c\mu)$; stability requires $\rho < 1$.
- ▶ Birth-death structure: $\lambda_n = \lambda$, $\mu_n = \min(n, c)\mu$.
- ▶ Erlang-C waiting probability (with $a = \lambda/\mu = c\rho$):

$$P_{\text{wait}} := C(c, \lambda/\mu) := \frac{\frac{(c\rho)^c}{c!} \frac{1}{1-\rho}}{\sum_{k=0}^{c-1} \frac{(c\rho)^k}{k!} + \frac{(c\rho)^c}{c!} \frac{1}{1-\rho}}.$$

Probability that arrival is forced to join the queue.

- ▶ Performance via Little's Law: $L_q = P_{\text{wait}} \frac{\rho}{1-\rho}$, $W_q = L_q/\lambda$,
 $W = W_q + 1/\mu$, $L = \lambda W$.

M/M/c Intuition

- ▶ Adding servers reduces wait times dramatically when ρ close to 1.
- ▶ Diminishing returns: each additional server helps less than the previous one.
- ▶ Key design question: balance cost of servers vs. cost of customer waiting.
- ▶ Example: call centers, cloud computing, hospital wards.

M/M/c/K and Blocking

- ▶ Finite capacity K : arrivals finding system full are lost.
- ▶ The M/M/c/c is known as Erlang-B model. No queueing, just blocking.

M/M/c/K Details

- Let offered load $a = \lambda/\mu$ and $\rho = a/c$. Stationary probabilities:

$$\pi_n = \pi_0 \begin{cases} \frac{a^n}{n!}, & 0 \leq n \leq c, \\ \frac{a^n}{c! c^{n-c}}, & c \leq n \leq K, \end{cases} \text{ with}$$
$$\pi_0^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{c-1} \frac{a^n}{n!} + \frac{a^c}{c!} \frac{1 - \rho^{K-c+1}}{1 - \rho}.$$

- Blocking probability: $\pi_K = \frac{a^c}{c!} \pi_0 \rho^{K-c}$. Accepted arrival rate: $\lambda_a = \lambda \pi_K$.
- Exact mean times and counts:

$$W_q = \frac{\pi_0 \rho (c\rho)^c}{\lambda (1 - \rho)^2 c!}, \quad W = W_q + \frac{1}{\mu}.$$

$$L_q = \lambda_a W_q, \quad L = \lambda_a W = \frac{\lambda_a}{\mu} + L_q.$$

Case Study: ICU Triage

- ▶ Beds correspond to servers; rooms limited $\Rightarrow M/M/1/K$ (or $M/M/c/K$).
- ▶ Key decisions: number of surge beds, transfer policies, triage thresholds.
- ▶ Blocking corresponds to diverting patients; quantify expected diversions per day.
- ▶ Simulations incorporate surge arrivals, length-of-stay variance, priority rules.

Combining theory + simulation informs contingency planning with quantitative evidence.

Simulation Outputs to Track

- ▶ Time series: queue length $N(t)$, utilisation, waiting time trajectories.
- ▶ Distributional summaries: histograms, quantiles, tail probabilities.
- ▶ Diagnostics: Little's Law gaps, autocorrelation, warm-up bias detection.
- ▶ Sensitivity: rerun with perturbed λ, μ to gauge robustness.

Use these views to communicate findings to non-technical stakeholders.

When Exponentials Fail

- ▶ Real systems often exhibit general service times or bursty arrivals.
- ▶ Example: M/G/1 (Poisson arrivals, general service); closed-form results via Pollaczek–Khinchine formula.
- ▶ G/G/1: few general formulas; rely on approximations and simulation.
- ▶ Renewal theory helps when inter-arrivals have finite mean; some heavy-tail cases have infinite variance.

Why Non-Markov Models Matter

- ▶ Customer patience distribution drives abandonment behaviour (call centers, web services).
- ▶ Service-time variance dominates mean wait (cloud functions, healthcare lengths of stay).
- ▶ Regulatory/compliance constraints require tail guarantees, not just averages.
- ▶ Simulation allows scenario testing when analytic formulas break down.

Markov-Modulated Poisson Processes (MMPP)

- ▶ Arrival rate driven by a background CTMC with states $1, \dots, m$ and generator Q .
- ▶ In state i , arrivals follow a Poisson process with intensity λ_i .
- ▶ Captures burstiness/seasonality while retaining tractable structure (phase-type arrivals, matrix-analytic methods).

Useful for modelling traffic with bursts, e.g., telecom networks or demand spikes in e-commerce.

Pollaczek–Khinchine Snapshot

- ▶ For M/G/1 with service time S (mean $\mathbb{E}[S]$, variance $\text{Var}(S)$):

$$W_q = \frac{\lambda \mathbb{E}[S^2]}{2(1 - \rho)}, \quad W = W_q + \mathbb{E}[S].$$

- ▶ Reveals sensitivity to service variance; heavy-tailed S inflates W_q massively.
- ▶ Distributional results harder; simulation gives empirical quantiles/tails.

Example: Lognormal Service

- ▶ Same mean service as exponential, but lognormal with $\sigma = 0.6$ doubles $\mathbb{E}[S^2]$.
- ▶ Pollaczek–Khinchine predicts W_q nearly doubles: variability is as important as mean.
- ▶ Notebook visualises histograms, time-averages, and tail probabilities for exponential vs. lognormal.
- ▶ Use quantitative bounds (CLT/Bernstein) to report uncertainty in estimated averages.

Hands-On: Comparing Models

The accompanying notebook features:

1. Plain-Python and SimPy M/M/1 and M/M/c simulations with validation against theory.
2. Non-Markov queue example (M/G/1 with lognormal service) including:
 - ▶ Empirical waiting-time histograms vs. Pollaczek–Khinchine predictions.
 - ▶ Confidence intervals / concentration bounds for estimated means.
 - ▶ Visual comparisons of exponential vs. heavy-tail behaviour.
3. Template for students to extend to G/G/1.

Takeaways

- ▶ Birth–death models yield closed forms for many performance metrics.
- ▶ Simulations complement theory: diagnostics, sanity checks, non-Markov cases.
- ▶ Next lecture: renewal theory and regenerative processes for general systems.