

# Information Retrieval

## Exercise Sheet 4

Najji Hendo

Armin Saur

November 24, 2019

### Exercise 1

Show that the first of *Shannons source coding theorem*  $E L(X) \geq H(X)$ , where  $X = \{1, \dots, m\}$ ,  $H(X) = -\sum_i^m p_i \cdot \log_2 p_i$  and  $E L(X) = \sum_i^m p_i \cdot L_i$ .

Under the second *central lemma* constraint  $\sum_i^m 2^{-L_i} \leq 1 \Rightarrow L_i$  is prefix-free code (PF) with length  $L_i$ .

For proofing the upper constraint we need to minimize  $E L(X)$  and can use the *central lemma*. In that case  $L_i$  is a PF.

Using the Lagrange multiplication is  $E L(X)$  the constraint and  $\sum_i^m 2^{-L_i} = s \leq 1 \iff \sum_i^m 2^{-L_i} - s = 0$  the condition. This results in the following equation:  $\mathcal{L} = \sum_i^m p_i \cdot L_i - \lambda(\sum_i^m 2^{-L_i} - s)$ .

$$\text{I } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial p_i} = L_i = 0$$

$$\text{II } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial L_i} = p_i + \lambda \cdot 2^{-L_i} = 0 \iff L_i = -\log_2\left(\frac{-p_i}{\lambda}\right)$$

$$\text{III } \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_i^m 2^{-L_i} - s = 0 \iff s = \sum_i^m 2^{-L_i}$$

In I is  $L_i = 0$ , but a code with no length is not possible with a  $i \in X$ .

#### II in III

$$s = \sum_i^m 2^{(\log_2 \frac{-p_i}{\lambda})} = \sum_i^m \frac{-p_i}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{-\lambda} \cdot \sum_i^m p_i = \frac{1}{-\lambda} \cdot 1 \iff \lambda = \frac{1}{-s}$$

#### s in II

$$L_i = \log_2\left(\frac{1}{s \cdot p_i}\right) L_i = -\log_2(s \cdot p_i), \forall i$$

**Is  $L_i = -\log_2(s \cdot p_i)$  a minimum?**

For  $s \leq 1$  is the maximum value  $s = 1$ . Let  $L_i = 3$ . If  $s \cdot p_i = 1$  and  $p_i = 1$  then all  $i$  are equal and the optimal code length must be  $L_i = 0$ .

$L_i = -\log_2 1 = 0$  So  $L_i = 3$  isn't an optimum.  $L_i = -\log_2(s \cdot p_i)$  is a minimum.

**Show  $E L(X) \geq H(X)$ :**

Let  $s = 1$  be its maximum value.

$$E L(X) = -\sum_i^m p_i \cdot \log_2(1 \cdot p_i) = H(X)$$

For  $s < 1$ ,  $E L(X) < H(X)$  qed

## Exercise 2

Show that *Golomb* is *entropy-optimal*.

A code is optimal for  $p_i \Rightarrow L_i \leq \log_2 \frac{1}{p_i} + O(1)$ , with  $p_i = (1-p)^{i-1} \cdot p$ ,  $p < 1$  and  $L_i = \lfloor \frac{i}{M} \rfloor + 1 + \lceil \log_2 M \rceil$ .

Let  $i = 1$  than  $p_i = p$  and for all  $i > 1$  is  $p_i < p$ , so  $p_i \leq p \iff \frac{1}{p} \leq \frac{1}{p_i}, \forall i$ .

$$M = \lceil \frac{1}{p} \cdot \ln 2 \rceil \leq \lceil \frac{1}{p} \cdot 1 \rceil = M'$$

$$L_i \leq \lfloor \frac{i}{M'} \rfloor + 1 + \lceil \log_2 M' \rceil \leq \frac{i}{M'} + 1 + \lceil \log_2 M' \rceil \leq \frac{i}{\lceil \frac{1}{p} \rceil} + \log_2(\lceil \frac{1}{p} \rceil) + 1 \leq \frac{i}{\frac{1}{p}+1} \log_2(\frac{1}{p}) + 2$$