

# GridTokenX

## Blockchain-Based Peer-to-Peer Solar Energy Trading Platform

White Paper v2.0

*Development of Peer-to-Peer Solar Energy Trading  
Simulation System using Solana Smart Contract  
(Anchor Framework Permissioned Environments)*

Mr. Chanthawat Kiriyaadee

Faculty of Engineering  
Computer Engineering and Artificial Intelligence  
The University of the Thai Chamber of Commerce  
Bangkok, Thailand

2410717302003@live4.utcc.ac.th

December 2025

# Contents

<b>Abstract</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>1 Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Problem Statement . . . . .	3
1.2 Proposed Solution . . . . .	3
1.3 Technical Innovation . . . . .	3
<b>2 Business Model</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Revenue Streams . . . . .	4
2.2 Compute Economics (Private Network) . . . . .	4
<b>3 System Architecture</b>	<b>5</b>
3.1 Four-Layer Model . . . . .	5
3.2 Smart Contract Programs . . . . .	5
3.3 Program Relationships . . . . .	5
<b>4 Token Economics</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1 GRID Token Specification . . . . .	6
4.2 Token Conservation Invariant . . . . .	6
4.3 VWAP Pricing Mechanism . . . . .	6
<b>5 Performance Evaluation</b>	<b>7</b>
5.1 Benchmark Methodology . . . . .	7
5.2 Results . . . . .	7
5.3 Trust Premium . . . . .	7
<b>6 Security Analysis</b>	<b>8</b>
6.1 Threat Model . . . . .	8
6.2 Access Control . . . . .	8
<b>7 Comparative Analysis</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>8 Future Roadmap</b>	<b>10</b>
8.1 Development Phases . . . . .	10
8.2 Performance Targets . . . . .	10
<b>References</b>	<b>11</b>

## Abstract

This white paper presents GridTokenX, a conceptual design for a decentralized Peer-to-Peer (P2P) solar energy trading simulation platform operating on a private Solana network. The platform enables prosumers to tokenize surplus solar energy production into GRID tokens (1 kWh = 1 GRID) and trade directly with consumers through an automated order book mechanism.

Our implementation leverages the Anchor framework to deploy five interconnected smart contracts: Registry (user/meter management), Oracle (data validation), Energy Token (SPL-compliant minting), Trading (order book settlement), and Governance (configuration). Performance benchmarks demonstrate 21,378 tpmC (356 TPS) with 11ms average latency and a “Trust Premium” of 5.67x compared to centralized databases.

The objectives of this research are: (1) to study and present the architecture of a P2P energy trading simulation using Solana in a Permissioned (PoA) environment; (2) to develop a Proof-of-Concept capable of simulating GRID Token exchange with an AMI Simulator; and (3) to evaluate the performance in terms of Throughput and Latency.

**Keywords:** Blockchain, Solana, P2P Energy Trading, Smart Contracts, Tokenization, TPC-C

# 1 Executive Summary

## 1.1 Problem Statement

The traditional energy market faces fundamental challenges limiting distributed renewable energy adoption:

- **Centralized Intermediaries:** Energy flows through utilities with high fees and limited transparency
- **Lack of Direct Trading:** Prosumers cannot sell directly to neighbors
- **Settlement Inefficiencies:** Monthly billing cycles and delayed payments
- **Trust and Verification:** Reliance on utility meters with limited audit capabilities

## 1.2 Proposed Solution

GridTokenX addresses these challenges through a blockchain-based P2P energy trading simulation platform designed for private/permissioned Solana networks:

- **Tokenization:** 1 kWh = 1 GRID token (SPL-compliant, 9 decimals)
- **Direct P2P Trading:** Order book matching without intermediaries
- **Real-time Settlement:** Atomic trade execution with instant finality
- **Verified Green Energy:** On-chain ERC certificates

## 1.3 Technical Innovation

Table 1: Platform Comparison

Criterion	Solana (Private)	Ethereum	Polygon
Transaction Speed	11ms	12-15s	2s
Cost per TX	\$0.00025	\$1-50	\$0.01
TPS Capacity	356+ (tested)	15-30	7,000
Finality	Deterministic	6 blocks	256 blocks

## 2 Business Model

### 2.1 Revenue Streams

The platform generates revenue through three primary channels:

#### 1. Transaction Fees (60% of revenue)

- Trade fee: 0.25% (split between buyer/seller)
- Settlement fee: 0.1% (seller)

#### 2. Certificate Fees (25% of revenue)

- ERC Issuance: 5 GRID per certificate
- ERC Validation: 2 GRID per approval

#### 3. Premium Services (15% of revenue)

- API Access: 100 GRID/month
- Premium Analytics: 50 GRID/month

### 2.2 Compute Economics (Private Network)

Since GridTokenX operates on a private/permissioned Solana network, compute costs differ significantly from public mainnet:

Table 2: Compute Unit Cost Breakdown

Instruction	Est. CU	Public Cost	Private Cost
<code>create_sell_order</code>	50,000	\$0.025	\$0.001
<code>create_buy_order</code>	45,000	\$0.023	\$0.001
<code>match_orders</code>	80,000	\$0.040	\$0.002
<code>submit_reading</code>	30,000	\$0.015	\$0.0005
<code>mint_tokens</code>	60,000	\$0.030	\$0.001

**Private Network Advantage:** No validator fees results in 95%+ cost reduction vs public Solana.

### 3 System Architecture

#### 3.1 Four-Layer Model

The platform architecture consists of four distinct layers:

1. **Presentation Layer:** Web/Mobile clients, user interface
2. **Application Layer:** API Gateway, WebSocket server, event processors
3. **Data Layer:** PostgreSQL (off-chain), Redis (cache), Solana RPC
4. **Blockchain Layer:** Anchor programs, SPL Token, System Program

#### 3.2 Smart Contract Programs

Five interconnected Anchor programs form the blockchain layer:

Table 3: Program Architecture

Program	Purpose	Key Functions
Registry	User/Meter Management	register_user, register_meter
Oracle	Data Validation	update_price, submit_reading
Energy Token	Token Operations	mint_from_production, burn
Trading	Marketplace	create_sell_order, match_orders
Governance	Configuration	issue_erc, validate_erc

#### 3.3 Program Relationships

Programs interact through Cross-Program Invocation (CPI):

- Registry → Energy Token: Mint tokens from settled production
- Trading → SPL Token: Escrow and settlement transfers
- Oracle → Registry: Submit verified meter readings

## 4 Token Economics

### 4.1 GRID Token Specification

- **Standard:** SPL Token (Solana Program Library)
- **Decimals:** 9 (divisible to nano-tokens)
- **Backing:** 1 GRID = 1 kWh verified energy production
- **Supply:** Elastic (minted on production, burned on consumption)

### 4.2 Token Conservation Invariant

The system enforces strict conservation of energy. Tokens can only be minted when physically generated energy is mathematically settled:

$$\Delta Supply_{GRID} = \max(0, (E_{produced} - E_{consumed}) - E_{settled}) \quad (1)$$

This prevents double-spending by tracking the  $E_{settled}$  accumulator per meter.

### 4.3 VWAP Pricing Mechanism

The clearing price is calculated using Volume-Weighted Average Price:

$$P_{base} = \frac{P_{bid} + P_{ask}}{2} \quad (2)$$

$$P_{clearing} = P_{base} + \left( P_{base} \times \min \left( \frac{V_{trade}}{V_{total}}, 1.0 \right) \times 0.10 \right) \quad (3)$$

## 5 Performance Evaluation

### 5.1 Benchmark Methodology

We adapt the TPC-C benchmark for energy trading workloads:

Table 4: TPC-C to Energy Trading Mapping

TPC-C Transaction	Mix	GridTokenX Function
New Order	45%	create_sell_order / create_buy_order
Payment	43%	transfer_tokens
Order Status	4%	get_order_status
Delivery	4%	match_orders
Stock Level	4%	get_balance

### 5.2 Results

Table 5: Performance Benchmark Results (Local Validator)

Metric	Observed Value
tpmC (Transactions/min)	21,101
TPS Equivalent	352
Total Transactions	23,848
Successful Transactions	23,817
Average Latency	11.30 ms
p50 Latency	11.00 ms
p95 Latency	18.00 ms
p99 Latency	20.00 ms
Transaction Success Rate	99.9%
MVCC Conflict Rate	1.3%

### 5.3 Trust Premium

$$Trust\ Premium = \frac{Latency_{Blockchain}}{Latency_{Baseline}} = \frac{11.34ms}{2.00ms} \approx 5.67x \quad (4)$$

This overhead is negligible for energy trading where traditional settlement takes days.



## 6 Security Analysis

### 6.1 Threat Model

- **Meter Spoofing:** Mitigated by Ed25519 signature validation
- **Double Spending:** Prevented by atomic settlement and PDA ownership
- **Front Running:** Reduced by 11ms finality window
- **Oracle Manipulation:** Anomaly detection at 10x threshold

### 6.2 Access Control

Program Derived Addresses (PDAs) enforce ownership:

```
seeds = [b"order", authority.key(), market.active_orders.to_le_bytes()]
```

Only the original authority can modify or cancel their orders.

## 7 Comparative Analysis

Table 6: Platform Comparison

Platform	Avg Latency	Finality	Notes
GridTokenX	11ms	<1s	Solana PoA
Power Ledger	3-5s	10s	Custom chain
Energy Web	5s	5s	PoA chain
WePower	15s	15s	Ethereum

## 8 Future Roadmap

### 8.1 Development Phases

1. **Phase 1 (Q1 2025):** Pilot launch with 100 prosumers
2. **Phase 2 (Q2-Q4 2025):** Scale to 10,000 users
3. **Phase 3 (2026):** Southeast Asia expansion
4. **Phase 4 (2027+):** Cross-chain integration, AI optimization

### 8.2 Performance Targets

Table 7: Performance Roadmap

Metric	v1.0	v2.0	v3.0	v4.0
TPS	50	200	1,000	10,000+
Latency (p99)	20ms	15ms	10ms	5ms
Users	10K	100K	1M	10M

## References

1. Transaction Processing Performance Council (TPC), “TPC Benchmark C Standard Specification, Revision 5.11,” 2010.
2. E. Mengelkamp et al., “Designing microgrid energy markets: A case study: The Brooklyn Microgrid,” *Applied Energy*, vol. 210, pp. 870–880, 2018.
3. A. Yakovenko, “Solana: A new architecture for a high performance blockchain v0.8.13,” Solana Labs Whitepaper, 2018.
4. T. T. A. Dinh et al., “Blockbench: A framework for analyzing private blockchains,” *ACM SIGMOD*, pp. 1085–1100, 2017.
5. M. Andoni et al., “Blockchain technology in the energy sector: A systematic review,” *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, vol. 100, pp. 143–174, 2019.
6. Z. Li et al., “Consortium blockchain for secure energy trading in IIoT,” *IEEE Trans. Industrial Informatics*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 3690–3700, 2018.
7. Anchor Framework Documentation, “Building Secure Solana Programs,” Coral, 2023.