

Tutorial - 6

Ques 16 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ (σ^2 is known). Show that likelihood ratio test (LRT) for testing $H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$ rejects null hypothesis if $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta_0) \geq c_1$. further find the value of c_1 for $\alpha = 0.05$.

Null Hypothesis $\theta \leq \theta_0$ Alternate Hypothesis $\theta > \theta_0$

$X_i \sim N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ (σ^2 Known)

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x_i - \theta}{\sigma}\right)^2}$$

for LRT, we have $\lambda(x) = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \lambda(\theta)}{\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \lambda(\theta)}$ (Restricted MLE) (Unrestricted MLE),

Unrestricted MLE $\theta \in (-\infty, \infty)$

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_\theta(X_i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}$$

$$\log L(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log(L(\theta)) = 0 \Rightarrow \theta - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \times 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \hat{\theta}) = 0$$

(σ^2 Known)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \hat{\theta} = 0 \quad (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) - n \hat{\theta} = 0$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}}$$

we already knew this tho!

\Rightarrow Restricted MLE's $\Theta_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}$$

this would get cancelled in $L(x)$
 in Numerator & Denominator.
 since σ^2 is known.

Now, here $\theta \leq \theta_0$ and above we saw
 when θ is unrestricted $\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$

so if CASE 1 $\bar{x} \leq \theta_0$ in that case

$$\text{Unrestricted MLE}(\hat{\theta}) = \bar{x}$$

CASE 2 $\bar{x} > \theta_0$. Here we can't say \bar{x}
 would be MLE for unrestricted parameter space
 \therefore Max val you can take here is θ_0 \Rightarrow the
 only critical pt while calculating we found
 was \bar{x} which is out of range here & so

$$\hat{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} \text{ for } \theta \in \Theta_0 = \theta_0$$

$\boxed{\theta \leq \theta_0}$

You can see it this way

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n/2} \times e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2}$$

Here if you minimise $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)^2$, $L(\theta)$ is maximized.

$$\sum (x_i - \theta)^2 = \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \theta)^2$$

∴ we take $\max \rightarrow \theta_0$ so that this is minimized



$$\therefore \lambda(x) = \max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \mathcal{L}(\theta) \rightarrow \text{MLE } (\theta_0)$$

$$\overline{\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta)} \rightarrow \text{MLE } (\bar{x})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(x) &= \frac{1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}{1/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \theta_0)^2} \\ &= e^{1/2\sigma^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_0)^2 \right)} \end{aligned}$$

for the rejection space $\{x : \lambda(x) \leq c\} \quad 0 \leq c \leq 1$

$$\lambda(x) \leq c$$

$$\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \sum (x_i - \theta_0)^2 \right) \right) \leq c$$

$$\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_0)^2 \right) \leq c$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \theta)^2$$

$$-n \frac{(\bar{x} - \theta)^2}{\sigma^2} \leq c_1 \Rightarrow \sqrt{n} \frac{(\bar{x} - \theta)}{\sigma} \geq c_1$$

now

to find the value of c_1 here at $\alpha = 0.05$
we'll use power function.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta(\theta) &= P_{\theta}(x \in RR) \quad [\theta \in \Theta_0] \\
 &= P_{\theta} \left(\sqrt{n} \left(\bar{x} - \theta_0 \right) \geq c_1 \right) \quad P_{\theta}(x \in RR \mid H_0 \text{ true}) \\
 &= P_{\theta} \left(\frac{\bar{x} - \theta + \theta - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \geq c_1 \right) \quad \boxed{Z_{\text{stat}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \theta}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}} \\
 &= P_{\theta} \left(Z + \frac{\theta - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \geq c_1 \right) \\
 &= P_{\theta} \left(Z \geq c_1 - \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

We know $\alpha = \text{level of significance} = P(\text{Type I error})$

$$P_{\theta} \left(Z \geq c_1 - \left(\frac{\theta - \theta_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \right) \right) = 0.05$$

Ques 28 In general, LRT depends on a MSS. Let X_1, \dots, X_n be random sample from $\text{Bernoulli}(p)$. Then, $T = \sum X_i$ is a MSS for p . The 'T' follows $\text{Binomial}(n, p)$. Consider n is known & p is unknown, Using the likelihood function of T perform LRT for $H_0 : p \leq p_0$ vs $H_1 : p > p_0$

Null hypothesis $H_0 : p \leq p_0$ Alt Hypo $\in H_1 : p > p_0$

$$L(p) = {}^n C_t p^t (1-p)^{n-t} \quad (\text{stat } T = \sum X_i)$$

Binomial

Unrestricted MLEs

$$T = \sum X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n | p) \quad \begin{matrix} n \rightarrow \text{known} \\ p \rightarrow \text{unknown} \end{matrix}$$

$$\log L(p) = \log {}^n C_t + t \log p + (n-t) \log (1-p)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p} \log L(p) = \frac{t}{p} - \frac{(n-t)}{1-p} = 0$$

$$t(1-\hat{p}) = \hat{p}(n-t)$$

$$t - \hat{p}t = \hat{p}n - \hat{p}t \quad (\hat{p} = t/n)$$

$$\hat{p} = \frac{\sum X_i}{n} = \bar{X}$$

Restricted MLEs $H_0 \quad \Theta_0 : p \leq p_0$

similar to previous question, our critical pt is $t[n]$ or \bar{x} , so,

CASE 1 $\hat{p}_{MLE} = t[n] \text{ or } \bar{x} \left(\frac{t}{n} \leq p_0 \right)$

CASE 2 ($t[n] > p_0$) $\hat{p}_{MLE} = p_0$

take

$$\log L(p_0) = t \log p_0 + (n-t) \log(1-p_0)$$

$$\log L(t[n]) = t \log(t[n]) + (n-t) \log(1-t[n])$$

now

$$\log L(p_0) - \log L(t[n]) = t \log p_0 + (n-t) \log(1-p_0) - t \log\left(\frac{t}{n}\right) - (n-t) \log\left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\log L(p_0) - \log L(t[n])) &= \log p_0 + (-1) \log(1-p_0) \\ &\quad - \left(\log \frac{t}{n} + \frac{n}{t} \times \frac{t}{n} \right) - \left((-1) \log\left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right) - \frac{(n-t)}{1-t/n} \times \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &= \log \left(\frac{p_0}{1-p_0} \times \frac{(1-t[n])}{t[n]} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore t[n] > p_0$ and $1-p_0 > 1-t[n]$

$$\frac{p_0 (1-t[n])}{(1-p_0) t[n]} < 1$$

$$\therefore \log(Y_1) < 0$$

∴ for $t/n > p_0$, $\log L(p_0) - \log(t/n) < 0$

so) In restricted param space, $p \leq p_0$ when $t/n > p_0$ (i.e critical pt out of space), the fn is increasing since for $t/n > p_0$

$$\log(t/n) - \log(p_0) > 0$$

∴ Increasing

& hence $\hat{P}_{\text{ME}} = p_0$

CASE 1 $\lambda(x) = 1$ CASE 2 $\frac{n! t^t (1-p_0)^{n-t}}{(t/n)^t (1 - \frac{t}{n})^{n-t}}$

Rejection Region is $\{ \lambda(x) \leq c \}$

$$\frac{p_0^t (1-p_0)^{n-t}}{\left(\frac{t}{n}\right)^t \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^{n-t}}$$

Ques 38 Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample
 $f_\theta(x) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta} \quad (0 < x < \infty) \quad (\theta > 0)$

Show Likelihood ratio test (LRT) for testing
 $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$ rejects the null hypothesis if $\bar{x} \leq c_1$ or if $\bar{x} \geq c_2$.

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x_i/\theta} = \frac{1}{\theta^n} e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum x_i}$$

Unrestricted MLE

$$\log L(\theta) = -n \log \theta - \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log L(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta} - \frac{1}{\theta^2} (\sum x_i) = 0$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}}$$

Restricted MLE if $\theta \in \Theta_0 = \{\theta_0\}$

so, straight away this would be $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \theta_0$
(because)

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\lambda(\theta_0)}{\lambda(\bar{x})} = \frac{(1/\theta_0)^n e^{-\sum x_i/\theta_0}}{(\bar{x}/\theta_0)^n e^{-\sum x_i/\bar{x}}} \\ = \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_0}\right)^n e^{\frac{\sum x_i}{\bar{x}} - \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta_0}} = \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_0}\right)^n e^{n - \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta_0}}$$

Rejection Region of X : $\lambda(x) \leq c$ $0 < c \leq 1$

$$\lambda(x) \leq c \quad \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_0}\right)^n e^{n - \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta_0}} \leq c$$

$$\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_0}\right)^n \left(e^{n - \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_0}}\right) \leq c \quad (\sum x_i = n\bar{x})$$

Both entities are true

$$\therefore \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\theta_0}\right) \leq c \quad \text{or} \quad e^{n - \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_0}} \leq c$$

$$\boxed{\bar{x} \leq c_1}$$

$$\text{or} \quad n - \frac{n\bar{x}}{\theta_0} \leq c$$

$$\boxed{\bar{x} \geq c_2}$$

Ques 4b Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$. The LRT for testing $H_0 : \theta \leq \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$ rejects Null hypothesis if $T = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta_0)}{\sigma} \geq c_1$ g $T \sim t(n-1)$. Find the value of c_1 at $\alpha = 0.05$.

$$H_0 : \boxed{\theta \leq \theta_0} \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \theta > \theta_0$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta)^2}$$

Here
both
 θ & σ^2
unknown !!

Unrestricted MLE

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \bar{X} \quad \text{&} \quad \left\{ \hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right\}$$

this is a \checkmark nuisance param
we just use this to calculate
 $\hat{\theta}_{MLE}$!!

Restricted MLE

In similar way, we will have 2 cases here &

$$\bar{X} \leq \theta_0$$

$$\bar{X} > \theta_0$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \bar{X} \Rightarrow \lambda(X) = 1$$

$$\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \theta_0$$

80)

✓

$$0 \leq c \leq 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(\theta) &= P_{\theta}(X \in RR) = P_{\theta}(\forall x: \lambda(x) \leq c) \\
 &= P_{\theta}\left(\frac{(1/2\pi\sigma^2)^n}{(1/2\pi\sigma^2)^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum (x_i - \theta_0)^2} \leq c\right) \\
 &= P_{\theta}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \sum (x_i - \theta_0)^2)} \leq c\right) \\
 &= P_{\theta}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 - n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2)} \leq c\right) \\
 &= P_{\theta}\left(e^{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} - n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2} \leq c\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note: Here σ^2 isn't just σ^2 . Since it is unknown & $\lambda(x) = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \mathcal{L}(\theta)}{\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta)}$, you've

to set the value of σ^2 to $\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2$
but it'd be same for numerator & denominator both.

$$\therefore \Theta_0 = \{ \theta \leq \theta_0, \sigma^2 > 0 \}$$

$$\Theta = \{ -\infty < \theta < \infty, \sigma^2 > 0 \}$$

$$= P_{\theta} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{2}{n} \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} - n(\bar{x} - \theta_0)^2 \leq c_1 \right)$$

$$= P_{\theta} \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{x} - \theta_0)}{S} \geq c_2 \right)$$

t-stat

$$\beta(\theta) = P(t_{(n-1)} \geq c_2) = \alpha = 0.05$$

$$c_2 = t_{n-1, 0.05}$$

Ques 5 Suppose we have 2 independent samples:

$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{exponential}(\theta)$

$Y_1, \dots, Y_m \sim \text{exponential}(\mu)$

a) Find LRT of $H_0: \theta = \mu$ vs $H_1: \theta \neq \mu$

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x_i/\theta} = \theta^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_Y(\mu) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-y_i/\mu} = \mu^{-m} e^{-\frac{1}{\mu} \sum_{i=1}^m y_i}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}(\theta, \mu) &= \mathcal{L}_X(\theta) \mathcal{L}_Y(\mu) \\ &= \theta^{-n} \mu^{-m} e^{-\frac{\sum x_i}{\theta} - \frac{\sum y_i}{\mu}}\end{aligned}$$

Now

for this likelihood function

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\theta, \mu) = -n \log \theta - m \log \mu - \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta} - \frac{\sum y_i}{\mu}$$

so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \mathcal{L}(\theta, \mu) = -\frac{n}{\theta} - \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta^2} \Rightarrow \boxed{\text{OME} = \bar{x}}$$

Similarly

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} \log L(\theta | \mu) = -\frac{n}{\mu} - \frac{\sum y_i}{\mu^2} \Rightarrow \hat{\mu}_{MLE} = \bar{y}$$

Above is the case when we have unrestricted MLE. (Refer to the Note at the end of Ques)

In case of restricted MLE i.e when $\theta = n+m$

$$L(\theta) = \theta^{-n-m} e^{-\frac{\sum x_i + \sum y_i}{\theta}}$$

$$\log L(\theta) = -(n+m) \log \theta - \left(\frac{\sum x_i + \sum y_i}{\theta} \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{(n+m)}{\theta} + \frac{1}{\theta^2} \left(\sum x_i + \sum y_i \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{\sum x_i + \sum y_i}{n+m}} = 0$$

So,

$$L(\theta)_{\text{Unrestricted}} = (\bar{x})^{-n} (\bar{y})^{-m} e^{-n} e^{-m}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\theta)_{\text{Restricted}} &= \left(\frac{n\bar{X} + m\bar{Y}}{m+n} \right)^{-m+n} e^{-n+m} \\
 \lambda(x) &= \frac{\left(\frac{n\bar{X} + m\bar{Y}}{m+n} \right)^{-m+n}}{(\bar{X})^n (\bar{Y})^m} \\
 &= \left(\frac{\sum x_i + \sum y_i}{m+n} \right)^{-m+n} \times \frac{n^{-n} m^{-m}}{(\sum x_i)^n (\sum y_i)^m} \\
 &= \frac{(m+n)^{m+n}}{m^n n^n} \times \frac{(\sum x_i)^n (\sum y_i)^m}{(\sum x_i + \sum y_i)^{n+m}}
 \end{aligned}$$

b) Show test can be based on statistic

$$T = \frac{\sum x_i}{\sum x_i + \sum y_i}$$

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{(m+n)^{m+n}}{m^m n^n} \times \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^n}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i\right)^n} \times \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^m y_i\right)^m}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^m y_i\right)^m}$$

we know $T = \frac{\sum x_i}{\sum x_i + \sum y_i}$ and $1-T = \frac{\sum y_i}{\sum x_i + \sum y_i}$

$\therefore \lambda(x) = \frac{(m+n)^{m+n}}{m^m n^n} \times T^n \times (1-T)^m$

(function of T ($m, n \rightarrow \text{constants}$))

c) Find the distribution of T when H_0 is true.

When H_0 is true $\Rightarrow \theta = \mu$

$\therefore X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$

$Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{Exponential}(\mu = \theta)$

$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \theta)$

$\sum_{i=1}^m y_i \sim \text{Gamma}(m, \theta)$

$$\therefore \frac{\sum X_i}{\sum X_i + \sum Y_i} \sim \text{Beta}(n, m)$$

Note:

In (a) part when calculating MLE of θ and μ , we can't just calculate first derivatives & deduce it local maximum.

We have to see second order derivatives too.

In case of 2 diff samples here we'll make a Hessian matrix denoted by $H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \mu^2} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \mu \partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta \partial \mu} & \frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial \theta^2} \end{bmatrix}$

$$\text{Here } H = \begin{bmatrix} -n/\bar{x}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -m/\bar{y}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Criteria is e

- 1) If the Hessian is negative definite at a point, it indicates local maximum
- 2) positive definite \rightarrow local minimum
- 3) indefinite \Rightarrow saddle point.

to check for the definite, -ve definite or indefinite | check eigenvalues or leading principal minors . (there are other ways too, these two are simpler ones!).



Ques 66 Find the LRT of $H_0: \theta \leq 0$ vs $H_1: \theta > 0$ based on a random sample X_1, \dots, X_n from a population with PDF.

$$f_{\theta, \lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{(x-\theta)}{\lambda}} I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x)$$

Here the parameters θ and λ are unknown.

$$H_0: \theta \leq 0 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1: \theta > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\lambda} e^{-\frac{(x_i-\theta)}{\lambda}} = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)} \\ &= \lambda^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)} \prod_{i=1}^n I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_i) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{now } I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_i) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_i \in (\theta, \infty) \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & x_i > \theta \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $\prod_{i=1}^n I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_i)$ to be nonzero, we must have

the smallest x_i : $\min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = X_{(1)} > \theta$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_i) = I(X_{(1)} > \theta)$$

so,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \lambda^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)} I(x_{(1)} > \theta)$$

so,

Unrestricted MLE (θ, λ) both unknown.

to maximise $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$, $x_{(1)} \geq \theta$ so that $I(\theta)$ is nonzero firstly. $(\theta \leq x_{(1)})$

If we do $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta)$ we do not get any

Critical pts. So, here if you see, at $x_{(1)}$ $\sum (x_i - \theta)$ value is min. So, on this

parameter space $\theta \leq x_{(1)}$ to be non zero $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$, $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = x_{(1)}$

now taking this $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = x_{(1)}$ we can calculate λ_{MLE} here

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \lambda^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum x_i - \theta} I(x_{(1)} > \theta)$$

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\theta) = -n \log \lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log \lambda}{\partial \lambda} = -\frac{n}{\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta_{MLE}) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_{MLE}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - X_{(1)}) = n$$

$$\boxed{\lambda_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum X_i - X_{(1)}}$$

Restricted MLE of $\Theta_0 : \theta \leq 0$

$$\lambda(\theta) = \lambda^{-n} e^{-\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \theta)} I(X_{(1)} > \theta)$$

$$\theta < X_{(1)} \text{ and } \theta \leq 0$$

$\therefore \theta_{MLE} = 0$ since it already is less than $X_{(1)}$ & if we move away from 0, $\sum (X_i - \theta)$ would increase! $\therefore \lambda(\theta)$ decrease!

\therefore Set $\theta_{MLE} = 0$ to find λ_{MLE}

$$\mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum x_i\right)$$

$$\log \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = -n \log \lambda - \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum x_i$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \log \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = -\frac{n}{\lambda} - \frac{\sum x_i}{\lambda^2} \Rightarrow \lambda_{MLE} = \bar{x}$$

so, find $\lambda(x)$

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \mathcal{L}(\theta, \lambda)}{\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta, \lambda)}$$

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{\max_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \mathcal{L}(\theta, \lambda)}{\max_{\theta \in \Theta} \mathcal{L}(\theta, \lambda)} \underset{\lambda}{\curvearrowleft} \bar{x}$$