

(1) $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$; $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$.

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right]$$

We can find MLE for θ by using the th^n.

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta}(x) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}\right)^{n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu)^2\right] \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (\sum x_i^2 - \sum y_i^2) + \frac{n\mu}{2\sigma^2} (\sum x_i - \sum y_i)\right] \end{aligned}$$

The ratio does not depend on θ iff
 $\sum x_i = \sum y_i$ & $\sum x_i^2 = \sum y_i^2$

Thus $T(x) = (\sum x_i, \sum x_i^2)$ are MLE for $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$.

② $X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} U(\theta, \theta + 1)$

$$f_{\theta}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta < x_i < \theta + 1 \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

Wiy Indicator f^n method.

$$I_{\theta}(x_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta < x_i < \theta + 1 \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{\theta}(x_i)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n I_{\theta}(x_i)$$

$$= I_{\theta}(x_{(1)}) I_{\theta}(x_{(2)}) \dots I_{\theta}(x_{(n)})$$

$$= \frac{I_{\theta}(x_{(1)})}{I_{\theta}(x_{(1)}, x_{(2)})} \frac{I_{\theta}(x_{(2)})}{I_{\theta}(x_{(1)}, x_{(2)}), \dots} \dots \frac{I_{\theta}(x_{(n)})}{I_{\theta}(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(n)})}$$

$$= I_{\theta}(x_{(1)}) I_{\theta}(x_{(n)})$$

Now to find MSS, wiy th^n.

$$\frac{f_{\theta}(x)}{f_{\theta}(y)} = \frac{I_{\theta}(x_{(1)}) I_{\theta}(x_{(n)})}{I_{\theta}(y_{(1)}) I_{\theta}(y_{(n)})}$$

This ratio does not depend on θ iff
 $x_{(1)} = y_{(1)}$ & $x_{(n)} = y_{(n)}$
(In fact, the ratio then is 1).

Thus $T(X) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$ are MSS for θ .

Notice, here dim of $\theta = 1$ (i.e. one unknown parameter) but $T = 2$ (i.e. 2 statistic req. to study θ .)

(5) X_i iid $B(p)$; $T(X) = \sum X_i$

We know that here,

$T(X) = \sum X_i \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$. To verify if it is complete we will use the defⁿ of completeness.

Thus, we have to evaluate

$$E_{\theta} [g(t)] = 0 \quad \forall \theta$$

or $E_p [g(t)] = 0 \quad \forall p$

$$\Rightarrow \cancel{\sum_{t=0}^n g(t)} \binom{n}{t} p^t q^{n-t} = 0 \quad \forall p$$

$$\Rightarrow g(0) \binom{n}{0} p^0 q^{n-0} + g(1) \binom{n}{1} p^1 q^{n-1} + \dots + g(n) \binom{n}{n} p^n q^{n-n} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g^n \left[\sum_{t=0}^n \binom{n}{t} g(t) \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^t \right] = 0 \quad \forall p$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{t=0}^n g(t) \binom{n}{t} \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^t = 0 \quad \forall p$$

The LHS could be zero iff all the coeff of $\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)$ are zero

$$\Rightarrow g(t) \binom{n}{t} = 0 \quad \forall t$$

$$\Rightarrow g(t) = 0 \quad \forall t$$

or

$$g(t) = 0$$

a.e.

Thus $T = \sum X_i$ is a complete statistic or
equivalently for $T = \sum X_i \sim B(n, p)$; $0 < p < 1$. This
family of distributions is complete.

3

(cf) $x_i \sim N(\alpha\theta, \theta^2)$ α known,

$$f_\theta(x_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\theta} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{(x_i - \alpha\theta)^2}{\theta^2}\right]$$

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\theta)^n} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{x_i - \alpha\theta}{\theta}\right)^2\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi\theta)^n} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\theta^2} - n\alpha + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\theta\right]$$

$$= \exp[n\alpha] \frac{1}{(2\pi\theta^2)^n} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{\theta^2} + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\theta\right]$$

$$= h(x) g_\theta[T(x)]$$

using factorization T^n

$$T(x) = (\sum x_i^2, \sum x_i) \text{ for } \theta \quad (\text{as } \alpha \text{ is known})$$

Note here dim. of $\theta = 1$ while dim. of $T(x) = 2$.

Here $T = (T_1, T_2)$
 So, if T is not complete then $E[g(T)] = 0 \forall \theta \neq g(\theta) = 0 \forall t$

$$\text{Now, } T_1 = \sum x_i^{\circ}, T_2 = \sum x_i^{\circ} ; E(T_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i^{\circ}) = n\alpha\theta$$

$$; E(T_2) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(x_i^{\circ 2}) = \sum_{i=1}^n V(x_i^{\circ}) + (E(x_i^{\circ}))^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \theta^2 + (\kappa\theta)^2$$

$$= n(\theta^2 + \kappa^2\theta^2)$$

$$= n\theta^2(1+\kappa^2)$$

$$= n\theta^2[(n\bar{x})^2] \\ = n^2 E[(\bar{x})^2]$$

$$E(T_1) = n\alpha\theta$$

$$E(T_2) = n\theta^2(1+\kappa^2)$$

$$\text{Now, } T_1^2 = (\sum x_i^{\circ})^2 \Rightarrow E(T_1^2) = E[(\sum x_i^{\circ})^2] = E[(n\bar{x})^2]$$

If $x_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) \Rightarrow \bar{x} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$ so here

$$E(\bar{x}) = \alpha\theta ; V(\bar{x}) = \theta^2/n \Rightarrow E(\bar{x}^2) = \frac{\theta^2}{n} + \theta^2\alpha^2$$

$$\Rightarrow E(T_1^2) = n^2 \left(\frac{\theta^2}{n} + \theta^2\alpha^2 \right) = \theta^2(n + n\alpha^2),$$

$$\text{Our goal is to check } g(T) = g(T_1, T_2) \text{ s.t. } E(g(T)) = 0 \forall \theta$$

$$E\left[\frac{T_1^2}{n+n\alpha^2} - \frac{T_2}{n(1+\kappa^2)}\right] = \frac{\theta^2 - \theta^2}{\theta^2} = 0 \quad \forall \theta$$

$$\Rightarrow E[g(T)] = 0 \quad \forall \theta$$

$$g(T) = \frac{T_1^2}{n+n\alpha^2} - \frac{T_2}{n(1+\kappa^2)}$$

But $g(T) \neq 0 \quad \forall t'$

$\Rightarrow T = (\sum x_i, \sum x_i^2)$ is not complete.

4

$$X_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P(\lambda)$$

$$\lambda > 0$$

Step 1. $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$.

Step 2. Using defⁿ of completeness.

$$E_0 [g(t)] = 0 \quad \forall t \Rightarrow$$

$$g(t) = 0 \quad a.e.$$

Thus, $E_0 [g(t)] = 0$

$$\Rightarrow E_\lambda [\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} g(t)] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} g(t) e^{-n\lambda} \frac{(n\lambda)^t}{t!} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{g(t)}{t!} (n\lambda)^t = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g(0) + \frac{g(1)}{1!} (n\lambda) + \frac{g(2)}{2!} (n\lambda)^2 + \dots = 0.$$

$$\text{as } n\lambda > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g(t) = 0 \quad \forall t.$$

(for the sum of the series to be zero).

$$\Rightarrow g(t) = 0 \quad a.e.$$

~~top~~ Thus ~~if~~ $T = \sum X_i$ is a complete statistic
& equivalently this family of distribution
(i.e. $X_i \sim P(\lambda) : \lambda > 0$) is complete.

6

for completeness we want $E_0[g(t)] = 0$

$$\Rightarrow g(0) = 0 \quad \forall t$$

(a) for α, β^n &

$$E_0[g(t)] = \sum_t g(t) P(T=t) \quad 0 < p < 1,$$

$$= g(0)p + g(1)3p + (1-4p)g(2)$$

$$\Rightarrow p[g(0) + 3g(1) - 4g(2)] + g(2) = 0.$$

Clearly when $g(2) = 0$ & $g(0) + 3g(1) - 4g(2) = 0$
i.e. $g(2) = 0$ & $g(0) = -3g(1)$

then $g(t) = 0 \quad \forall t$

But $g(t) \neq 0 \quad \forall t$.

it happens only when above conditions are satisfied

Hence, the family is not complete

$$\text{b) } E_p[g(u)] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g(0)p + g(1)p^2 + g(2)(1-p-p^2) = 0.$$

$$g(2) + [g(0) - g(2)]p + [g(1) - g(2)]p^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g(2) = 0 \quad \& \quad g(0) - g(2) = 0 \quad \& \quad g(1) - g(2) = 0$$

$$\text{or } g(2) = 0 \quad \& \quad g(0) = g(2) = 0 \quad \& \quad g(1) = g(2) = 0$$

$$\text{Thus, } E_p[g(u)] = 0 \quad \forall p$$

$$\Rightarrow g(u) = 0 \quad \forall t$$

Thus, the family is complete.

$$7 \quad f_{\theta}(x_i) = e^{-x_i \theta}$$

$$f_{\theta}(x_i) = e^{-(x_i - \theta)} \quad \text{if } 0 < x_i < \infty$$

$$f_{\theta}(x_i) = e^{-(x_i - \theta)} I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_i)$$

$$(a) L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(x_i - \theta)} I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_i)$$

$$p \vdash I_{\theta}(x_i^*) = \{ \} ! \quad \text{if } 0 < x_i^* < \infty$$

$$-\sum x_i^* + n\theta$$

$$L(\theta) = e$$

$$I_{\theta, \infty}(x_{(1)})$$

$$-T(x_i^*) \quad n\theta$$

$$= e^{\frac{-\sum x_i^*}{n\theta}}$$

$$e^{\frac{-\sum x_i^*}{n\theta}} I_{\theta, \infty}(x_{(1)})$$

$$h(x)$$

$$g_{\theta}(T(x))$$

\Rightarrow By factorization thm $T(x) = x_{(1)}$ is suff.

for θ

To get MSS.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta}(x) &= \frac{e^{-\sum x_i^* / n\theta}}{e^{-\sum y_i^* / n\theta}} \frac{I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_{(1)})}{I_{(\theta, \infty)}(y_{(1)})} \\ f_{\theta}(y) &= \frac{e^{-(\sum x_i^* - \sum y_i^*) / n\theta}}{\frac{I_{(\theta, \infty)}(x_{(1)})}{I_{(\theta, \infty)}(y_{(1)})}} \end{aligned}$$

Now, this ratio does not depend on θ . If

$x_{(1)} = y_{(1)}$
Thus, $T(x) = x_{(1)}$ is MSS for θ .

$$8' f_0(x) = \theta x^{\theta-1} e^{-x^\theta}$$

$$y = \theta \log x \Rightarrow x = \exp(y/\theta)$$

$$\frac{dx}{dy} = \exp\left[\frac{y}{\theta}\right] \frac{1}{\theta}$$

if $x > 0$ for $x < 1$

$y = y < 0$

or $y > 0$

$\Rightarrow -\infty < y < \infty$

$$f_0(y) = \theta e^{\frac{y}{\theta}(\theta-1)} e^{-(e^{\frac{y}{\theta}})^{\theta}} \cdot e^{\frac{y}{\theta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta}; -\infty < y < \infty$$

$$= e^{\frac{y}{\theta} - e^{\frac{y}{\theta}}} ; -\infty < y < \infty$$

: pdf does not depend on θ

\Rightarrow But $y = \theta \log x$ is not a statistic.

$$\text{So Consider } U = \frac{\theta \log x_1}{\theta \log x_2} = \frac{y_1}{y_2} = \frac{\log x_1}{\log x_2}$$

this will be independent of θ .

Also, the dist' will not depend on θ .

Thus $U = \frac{\log x_1}{\log x_2}$ is an ancillary statistic.