Sandia National Laboratories

Exceptional service in the national interest

ME469: Common Discretization Approaches

Stefan P. Domino[1,2]

[1] Computational Thermal and Fluid Mechanics, Sandia National Laboratories

[2] Institute for Computational and Mathematical Engineering, Stanford

This presentation has been authored by an employee of National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC under Contract No. DE-NA0003525 with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). The employee owns all right, title and interest in and to the presentation and is solely responsible for its contents. The United States Government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this article or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes. The DOE will provide public access to these results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan https://www.energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan.

SAND2018-4536 PE

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

NNSA

Lecture Objectives

- The Concept of Meshing
- Why Unstructured?
- Unstructured Element Types
- Cell-centered Finite Volume (FV)
- Edge-based Vertex-Centered (EBVC)
- Control-Volume Finite Element Method (CVFEM)
- Finite Element Method (FEM)
- Staggered arrangement

Introducing a Mesh over Heart Domain, Ω

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Introducing a [Finite Difference] Mesh over Heart Domain, Ω

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Introducing a [Finite Difference] Mesh over Heart Domain, Ω

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Not impossible: Chertock, et al., "A Second-Order Finite-Difference Method for Compressible Fluids in Domains with Moving Boundaries", Commun. Comput. Phys., 2018



Introducing a [Structured Mesh] over Heart Domain, Ω;

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Introducing a [Structured Mesh] over Heart Domain, Ω;

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Introducing a [Structured Mesh] over Heart Domain, Ω;

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Structured vs Unstructured

- Many times, canonical flows of interest are represented by simplified geometries that allow for cartesian meshes – with “stair-stepping”



RANS-based backward facing step (Domino, 2012)



Re^{τ} 395 plane-channel (Jofre, Domino, Iaccarino, 2018)



https://www.itascainternational.com/software/introduction-to-meshing



Often times, not!

Example:
The Carbon-Capture Multidisciplinary Simulation Center



http://ccmsc.utah.edu/about.html

15MW coal-fired boiler volume rendered image of large (90 μm) particles

Staggered schemes have been demonstrated to support complex applications

Cut-cells and embedded approaches help

Attributes of an Element

An element consists of nodes, edges, and faces



Hex8, i.e., eight-node hexahedron

- 8 nodes
- 6 faces
- 12 edges

Examples of Various Topologies

Hex8



Tet4

Pyramid5



Wedge6

Arbitrary







Higher-order promoted elements (Hex27, Tet10, Wedge16, Hex64, etc.)

Introducing a Mesh over Heart Domain, Ω

- Elements of size 4 (Quad4) or 3 (Tri3) have been introduced
- Exterior domain is faceted
- Non-conformal interface between the Tri3 and Quad4 block
- Two types of connectivity have been presented: node:element and element:face:element
- Two types of integration: Ω^e vs Ω^{cv}





Data Structure Ramifications: A bit more complex…





- Element and associated data structures are indexed directly via **i**$^{\mathbf{th}}$ and **j**$^{\mathbf{th}}$ location, e.g., **temperature** (i,j), over the range**: temperature**(0:nX-1,0:nY-1)
- Neighbors are directly indexed, e.g,, “north” neighbor of (i,j) is (i,j+1)

- Element and associated data structures are indexed indirectly via a data structure, e.g., **temperature(k)**, over the range: **temperature(0:nElem-1)**
- Nodes of element(k) are obtained via connectivity relationship mappings
  - **std::vector<mesh_type> nodes = elem_nodes (k)**
- Nodal fields, for element k via:
  - **pressure = field_data(nodes[0,..,numElem)**

Integration Over the Domain: The "Finite" in Finite-Volume and Finite Element

- Consider a simple model equation with the heart domain in mind:

$$\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} = S$$

Where F_j is a flux and S is a source term

- Integrating over the entire domain, Ω: $\int_\Omega \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \int_\Omega S dV$



- Without loss of generality, let us define a set of subdomains, Ω_k:

$$\sum_k \int_{\Omega_k} \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \sum_k \int_{\Omega_k} S dV$$

- As present, only volumetric integrals appear

Note: The formality of Σ_k and Ω_k is implied to exist over the full domain and is often times dropped – integral type implied by dV and dS

Fundamentals of Discretization: Surface vs Volume Integrations

- Given a partial differential equation (PDE) and associated volumetric form:

$$\int \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \int S dV$$

- Applying Gauss Divergence provides the standard finite volume form for fluxes in surface integral form:

$$\int \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \int F_j n_j dS \longrightarrow \int F_j n_j dS = \int S dV$$

- We can also multiple PDE by an arbitrary test function, w, and integrate over a volume,

$$\int w \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \int w S dV$$

$$\int \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} F_j dV - \int w F_j dS = \int w S dV$$

Next, integrate by parts and apply Gauss-Divergence. Note, that test function must be differentiable – shown here, at least once..

$$\frac{\partial w F_j}{\partial x_j} = w \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} F_j$$

Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #1, Element-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #1, Element-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #1, Element-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #2, Element-based, finite element
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #2, Element-based, finite element
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



VOF Transport Discretization Nuance: Volume- or Surface-based?

- Simple enough, define the volume (fraction) of fluid (absent evaporation): $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_j} = 0$

Option 1: volumetric-form: $\int \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} dV + \int u_j \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_j} dV = 0$



CVFEM/FEM (really, any element-based approach)
Evaluated as a volumetric-contribution (diamonds)



$$\phi_{ip} = \sum_n N_n^{ip} \phi_n$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{ip}}{\partial x_j} = \sum_n \frac{\partial N_n^{ip}}{\partial x_j} \phi_n$$

Option 2: divergence-form:

$$\int \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} dV + \int \frac{\partial \alpha u_j}{\partial x_j} dV - \int \alpha \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} dV = 0$$

Gauss-Divergence $\int \alpha u_j n_j dS$

Traditional finite volume (element, edge, cell-centered)
Evaluated as a surface integral (triangle)

Allows for a consistent advecting velocity (mass conserving) that is obtained from the continuity equation

Reality: Meshing time for complex applications remains a significant bottleneck!

- Many applications of interest contain complex geometries low-Mach fluids users interested in high-quality simulation results tend towards hexahedral-based topologies (if possible)
- However, if a scheme is "design-order" accurate, any topology may suffice as it is simply a matter of mesh size and efficiency – not unlike the active discussion on low- vs higher-order
- Sometimes, the penetration of a low-Mach fluids physics addition in common analysis is high as the meshing can be prohibitively complex





Example: Vestas V27 225 kw hybrid low-order Hex8/Tet4/Pyr5/Wedge6

Very complex world – stair-stepped!

Classic Staggered Finite Volume

- Velocity degree-of-freedom is staggered relative to pressure and other primitives, e.g., enthalpy, mixture fraction, etc.



Attributes of a Staggered Scheme

- By design, non-orthogonality is absent, however, complex geometry will be stair-stepped
- From a fluids perspective, the operators are ideal, i.e., pressure gradient for momentum is compact, e.g., $(P_E - P_W)\Delta x^{-1}$
- As will be seen in future lecture topics, the skew-adjoint nature of the Divergence operator, **D**, and Gradient operator, **G**, allows for a Laplace operator, **L** = **DG**
- Can be extended to higher-order
- Frequently, meshing complex geometries can be extremely difficult (consider our V27 example)

An Informal Survey….

- Cell-Centered: (Sometimes generalized Polyhedra)
  - Ansys Fluent, OpenFOAM (FireFOAM, NavyFOAM), CD-Adapco (Star-CCM), Soleil-X (Stanford)
- EBVC: (Most typical in the acoustically compressible space)
  - SU2, FUN3D, CHAD, Nalu-Wind (production), Nalu (option to explore discretizations)
- CVFEM
  - Fluent (originally!), CFX-TASC-FLOW, Sierra Fuego (SNL), Nalu
- FEM
  - FIDAP, COMSOL, EDDY (NASA), AcuSim, PHASTA
- Staggered
  - Arches (Utah)

An Informal Survey....

- Cell-Centered: (Sometimes generalized Polyhedra)
  - Ansys Fluent, OpenFOAM (FireFOAM, NavyFOAM), CD-Adapco (Star-CCM), Soleil-X (Stanford)
- EBVC: (Most typical in the acoustically compressible space)
  - SU2, FUN3D, CHAD, Nalu-Wind (production), Nalu (option to explore discretizations)
- CVFEM
  - Fluent (originally!), CFX-TASC-FLOW, Sierra Fuego (SNL), Nalu
- FEM
  - FIDAP, COMSOL, EDDY (NASA), AcuSim, PHASTA
- Staggered
  - Arches (Utah)

Common Water-Cooler CFD Arguments:

- Structured vs. Un-structured
- FEM vs. Finite Volume
- Node-centered vs. Cell-centered
- Monolithic vs. Operator Split
- Compressible vs. (acoustically) Incompressible
- Explicit vs. Implicit

An Informal Survey….

- Cell-Centered: (Sometimes generalized Polyhedra)
  - Ansys Fluent, OpenFOAM (FireFOAM, NavyFOAM), CD-Adapco (Star-CCM), Soleil-X (Stanford)
- EBVC: (Most typical in the acoustically compressible space)
  - SU2, FUN3D, CHAD, Nalu-Wind (production), Nalu (option to explore discretizations)
- CVFEM
  - Fluent (originally!), CFX-TASC-FLOW, Sierra Fuego (SNL), Nalu
- FEM
  - FIDAP, COMSOL, EDDY (NASA), AcuSim, PHASTA
- Staggered
  - Arches (Utah)

Common Water-Cooler CFD Arguments:

- Structured vs. Un-structured
- FEM vs. Finite Volume
- Node-centered vs. Cell-centered
- Monolithic vs. Operator Split
- Compressible vs. (acoustically) Incompressible
- Explicit vs. Implicit

Hybrid Meshes, Even for LES!



- Hybrid mesh study based on Ham and Iaccarino, *CTR Annual Brief*, 2006, found that simulations were extremely sensitive to mesh topology
- Non-symmetric time mean flow found for cell-centered; better for the CTR node-centered formulation
- Native CVFEM and EBVC are both symmetric in mean quantities







Domino, et. al, "The suitability of hybrid meshes for low-Mach large-eddy simulation" Stanford CTR Summer Program, 2018

Recent Generalized Unstructured Findings

- Domino, et. al, "*An assessment of atypical mesh topologies for low-Mach large-eddy simulation*", Comput. Fluids (2019)