Sandia National Laboratories

Exceptional service in the national interest

ME469: Common Discretization Approaches

Stefan P. Domino[1,2]

[1] Computational Thermal and Fluid Mechanics, Sandia National Laboratories

[2] Institute for Computational and Mathematical Engineering, Stanford

SAND2018-4536 PE

Lecture Objectives

- The Concept of Meshing
- Why Unstructured?
- Unstructured Element Types
- Cell-centered Finite Volume (FV)
- Edge-based Vertex-Centered (EBVC)
- Control-Volume Finite Element Method (CVFEM)
- Finite Element Method (FEM)
- Staggered arrangement

Introducing a Mesh over Heart Domain, Ω

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Re-introducing a [Finite Difference] Mesh over Heart Domain, Ω

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Re-introducing a [Finite Difference] Mesh over Heart Domain, Ω

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Not impossible: Chertock, et al., "A Second-Order Finite-Difference Method for Compressible Fluids in Domains with Moving Boundaries", Commun. Comput. Phys., 2018



Introducing a [Structured Mesh] over Heart Domain, Ω;

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Introducing a [Structured Mesh] over Heart Domain, Ω;

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Introducing a [Structured Mesh] over Heart Domain, Ω;

Geometry is:

- Complex
- Curved
- Sharp



Structured vs Unstructured

- Many times, canonical flows of interest are represented by simplified geometries that allow for cartesian meshes – with "stair-stepping"



RANS-based backward facing step (Domino, 2012)



Re^{τ} 395 plane-channel (Jofre, Domino, Iaccarino, 2018)





Often times, not!

https://www.itascainternational.com/software/introduction-to-meshing

Example:
The Carbon-Capture Multidisciplinary Simulation Center



http://ccmsc.utah.edu/about.html

15MW coal-fired boiler volume rendered image of large (90 μm) particles

Staggered schemes have been demonstrated to support complex applications

Cut-cells and embedded approaches help

Attributes of an Element

An element consists of nodes, edges, and faces



Hex8, i.e., eight-node hexahedron
- 8 nodes
- 6 faces
- 12 edges

Examples of Various Topologies

Hex8 | Tet4 | Pyramid5 | Wedge6 | Arbitrary





Higher-order promoted elements (Hex27, Tet10, Wedge16, Hex64, etc.)

Introducing a Mesh over Heart Domain, Ω

- Elements of size 4 (Quad4) or 3 (Tri3) have been introduced
- Exterior domain is faceted
- Non-conformal interface between the Tri3 and Quad4 block
- Two types of connectivity have been presented: node:element and element:face:element
- Two types of integration: Ω^e vs Ω^{cv}





Data Structure Ramifications: A bit more complex…





- Element and associated data structures are indexed directly via **i^th^** and **j^th^** location, e.g., **temperature** (i,j), over the range**: temperature**(0:nX-1,0:nY-1)
- Neighbors are directly indexed, e.g,, "north" neighbor of (i,j) is (i,j+1)

- Element and associated data structures are indexed indirectly via a data structure, e.g., **temperature(k)**, over the range: **temperature(0:nElem-1)**
- Nodes of element(k) are obtained via connectivity relationship mappings
  - **std::vector<mesh_type> nodes = elem_nodes (k)**
- Nodal fields, for element k via:
  - **pressure = field_data(nodes[0,..,numElem)**

Integration Over the Domain: The "Finite" in Finite-Volume and Finite Element

- Consider a simple model equation with the heart domain in mind:

$$\frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} = S$$

Where F_j is a flux and S is a source term

- Integrating over the entire domain, Ω: $\displaystyle\int_\Omega \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \int_\Omega S dV$



- Without loss of generality, let us define a set of subdomains, Ω_k:

$$\sum_k \int_{\Omega_k} \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \sum_k \int_{\Omega_k} S dV$$

Note: The formality of Σ_k and Ω_k is implied to exist over the full domain and is often times dropped – integral type implied by dV and dS

- As present, only volumetric integrals appear

Fundamentals of Discretization: Surface vs Volume Integrations

- Given a partial differential equation (PDE) and associated volumetric form:

$$\int \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \int S dV$$

- Applying Gauss Divergence provides the standard finite volume form for fluxes in surface integral form:

$$\int \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \int F_j n_j dS \longrightarrow \int F_j n_j dS = \int S dV$$

- We can also multiple PDE by an arbitrary test function, w, and integrate over a volume,

$$\int w \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} dV = \int w S dV$$

$$\int \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} F_j dV - \int w F_j dS = \int w S dV$$

Next, integrate by parts and apply Gauss-Divergence. Note, that test function must be differentiable – shown here, at least once..

$$\frac{\partial w F_j}{\partial x_j} = w \frac{\partial F_j}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} F_j$$

Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Element:Face:Element

- Cell-centered, finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom (DOF), i.e., solution, resides at the centroid of the element



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Edge:Node

- Edge-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #1, Element-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #1, Element-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #1, Element-based, vertex (or node)-centered finite volume (shaded region)
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #2, Element-based, finite element
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



Define a Stencil: Node:Element:Node

- Choice #2, Element-based, finite element
  - Degree of freedom, i.e., solution, resides at the node, or vertex



VOF Transport Discretization Nuance: Volume- or Surface-based?

- Simple enough, define the volume (fraction) of fluid (absent evaporation): $\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_j} = 0$

Option 1: volumetric-form: $\int \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} dV + \int u_j \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x_j} dV = 0$



CVFEM/FEM (really, any element-based approach)
Evaluated as a volumetric-contribution (diamonds)



$$\phi_{ip} = \sum_n N_n^{ip} \phi_n$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{ip}}{\partial x_j} = \sum_n \frac{\partial N_n^{ip}}{\partial x_j} \phi_n$$

Option 2: divergence-form:

$$\int \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial t} dV + \int \frac{\partial \alpha u_j}{\partial x_j} dV - \int \alpha \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} dV = 0$$

Gauss-Divergence $\int \alpha u_j n_j dS$

Traditional finite volume (element, edge, cell-centered)
Evaluated as a surface integral (triangle)

Allows for a consistent advecting velocity (mass conserving) that is obtained from the continuity equation

Linking to **1d_quad4_adv_diff**

Recall, the transport equation for the 1d_quad4_adv_diff laboratory exercise is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial x_j} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad q_j = -\nu \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j}$$

For now, let's focus on the advection term. We know that we can integrate over the volume and simply compute this term at the volume integration points,

$$\int u_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} dV$$

Option: **scv_advection_np**

As with the volume of fluid equation on the previous slide, we can also write this term as:

$$\int u_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} dV = \int \frac{\partial u_j \phi}{\partial x_j} dV - \int \phi \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} dV$$

that can be simplified (for constant velocity)

$$\int u_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} dV = \int u_j \phi n_j dS$$

Option: **scs_advection_np** (or **scs_upw_advection_np**)

Linking to **1d_quad4_adv_diff**

Recall, the transport equation for the 1d_quad4_adv_diff laboratory exercise is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} + u_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial q_j}{\partial x_j} = 0 \quad \text{where} \quad q_j = -\nu \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j}$$

For now, let's focus on the advection term. We know that we can integrate over the volume and simply compute this term at the volume integration points,

$$\int u_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} dV$$

Option: **scv_advection_np**

Q: Is this really simple for all of the schemes we have discussed?

As with the volume of fluid equation on the previous slide, we can also write this term as:

$$\int u_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} dV = \int \frac{\partial u_j \phi}{\partial x_j} dV - \int \phi \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} dV$$

that can be simplified (for constant velocity)

$$\int u_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} dV = \int u_j \phi n_j dS$$

Option: **scs_advection_np** (or **scs_upw_advection_np**)

Reality: Meshing time for complex applications remains a significant bottleneck!

- Many applications of interest contain complex geometries low-Mach fluids users interested in high-quality simulation results tend towards hexahedral-based topologies (if possible)
- However, if a scheme is “design-order” accurate, any topology may suffice as it is simply a matter of mesh size and efficiency – not unlike the active discussion on low- vs higher-order
- Sometimes, the penetration of a low-Mach fluids physics addition in common analysis is high as the meshing can be prohibitively complex



Example: Vestas V27 225 kw hybrid low-order Hex8/Tet4/Pyr5/Wedge6

Very complex world – stair-stepped!

Classic Staggered Finite Volume

- Velocity degree-of-freedom is staggered relative to pressure and other primitives, e.g., enthalpy, mixture fraction, etc.



Attributes of a Staggered Scheme

- By design, non-orthogonality is absent, however, complex geometry will be stair-stepped
- From a fluids perspective, the operators are ideal, i.e., pressure gradient for momentum is compact, e.g., $(P_E - P_W)\Delta x^{-1}$
- As will be seen in future lecture topics, the skew-adjoint nature of the Divergence operator, **D**, and Gradient operator, **G**, allows for a Laplace operator, **L** = **DG**
- Can be extended to higher-order
- Frequently, meshing complex geometries can be extremely difficult (consider our V27 example)

An Informal Survey….

- Cell-Centered: (Sometimes generalized Polyhedra)
  - Ansys Fluent, OpenFOAM (FireFOAM, NavyFOAM), CD-Adapco (Star-CCM), Soleil-X (Stanford)
- EBVC: (Most typical in the acoustically compressible space)
  - SU2, FUN3D, CHAD, Nalu-Wind (production), Nalu (option to explore discretizations)
- CVFEM
  - Fluent (originally!), CFX-TASC-FLOW, Sierra Fuego (SNL), Nalu
- FEM
  - FIDAP, COMSOL, EDDY (NASA), AcuSim, PHASTA
- Staggered
  - Arches (Utah)

An Informal Survey….

- Cell-Centered: (Sometimes generalized Polyhedra)
  - Ansys Fluent, OpenFOAM (FireFOAM, NavyFOAM), CD-Adapco (Star-CCM), Soleil-X (Stanford)
- EBVC: (Most typical in the acoustically compressible space)
  - SU2, FUN3D, CHAD, Nalu-Wind (production), Nalu (option to explore discretizations)
- CVFEM
  - Fluent (originally!), CFX-TASC-FLOW, Sierra Fuego (SNL), Nalu
- FEM
  - FIDAP, COMSOL, EDDY (NASA), AcuSim, PHASTA
- Staggered
  - Arches (Utah)

Common Water-Cooler CFD Arguments:

- Structured vs. Un-structured
- FEM vs. Finite Volume
- Node-centered vs. Cell-centered
- Monolithic vs. Operator Split
- Compressible vs. (acoustically) Incompressible
- Explicit vs. Implicit

An Informal Survey….

- Cell-Centered: (Sometimes generalized Polyhedra)
  - Ansys Fluent, OpenFOAM (FireFOAM, NavyFOAM), CD-Adapco (Star-CCM), Soleil-X (Stanford)
- EBVC: (Most typical in the acoustically compressible space)
  - SU2, FUN3D, CHAD, Nalu-Wind (production), Nalu (option to explore discretizations)
- CVFEM
  - Fluent (originally!), CFX-TASC-FLOW, Sierra Fuego (SNL), Nalu
- FEM
  - FIDAP, COMSOL, EDDY (NASA), AcuSim, PHASTA
- Staggered
  - Arches (Utah)

Common Water-Cooler CFD Arguments:

- Structured vs. Un-structured
- FEM vs. Finite Volume
- Node-centered vs. Cell-centered
- Monolithic vs. Operator Split
- Compressible vs. (acoustically) Incompressible
- Explicit vs. Implicit

NALU LOW-MACH

Hybrid Meshes, Even for LES!



- Hybrid mesh study based on Ham and Iaccarino, *CTR Annual Brief*, 2006, found that simulations were extremely sensitive to mesh topology
- Non-symmetric time mean flow found for cell-centered; better for the CTR node-centered formulation
- Native CVFEM and EBVC are both symmetric in mean quantities







Domino, et. al, "The suitability of hybrid meshes for low-Mach large-eddy simulation" Stanford CTR Summer Program, 2018

Recent Generalized Unstructured Findings

- Domino, et. al, "*An assessment of atypical mesh topologies for low-Mach large-eddy simulation*", Comput. Fluids (2019)