# worksheet 4

# by Konstantin Devyatov

## Part I. Problem 1.1

See folder ../1

## Part II. Problem 1.2

See folder ../2

## Part III. Problem 1.3

### Measurements

number of threads / implementation	sequential (in s)	parallel (creation of 100K threads) (in s)	parallel (thread/100 $k$ iterations) (in s)
1	0.313,921	2.586,987	$0.326,\!875$
2	0.308,483	2.422,732	$0.167{,}585$
5	0.309,331	6.429,677	$0.169,\!293$
10	0.308,600	16.442,160	$0.169,\!071$
15	0.308,405	25.130,958	0.176,048
20	0.308,325	33.661,502	$0.172,\!082$
25	0.309,178	42.241,863	$0.166,\!965$
30	0.309,337	51.041,486	0.169,510

All tests have been performed on linux18 lab machine: centOS 6.3 x64, 3.7 GiB of RAM, dual-core 3 GHz CPU.

### **Observations**

From the measurements i was able to observe that the technology behind pthread\_create does not reap time-efficiency benefits on its own. Which means that programming ingenuity is still highly valued, especially so with the market approachign limitations of the processor clock speed.

Specifically, i saw that 2 threads provide the most time-efficient solution to this trivial problem. That is because the of two reasons:

- with the number of threads resource overhead increases and when n>2, the overhead starts to overtake the benefit gained by parallelisation
- once a thread is finished it need to wait for other threads, in arbitrarily selected order, to finish, before it could terminate; this also creates workload bubbles, decreasing overall time-efficiency

### Part IV. problem 1.4

#### Measurements

number of threads / implementation	sequential (in s)	parallel (thread_join) (in s)	parallel (using thread barrier) (in s)
1	0.314,818	0.326,875	0.352,491
2	0.312,098	0.167,585	1.297,994
5	0.318,679	0.169,293	4.411,378
10	0.317,729	0.169,071	8.804,457
15	0.313,806	0.176,048	12.240,947
20	0.313,893	0.172,082	16.227,633
25	0.322,772	0.166,965	20.788,779
30	0.318,315	0.169,510	24.481,747

### **Observations**

Introducing pthread\_barrier provides data safety: a new iteration starts only after all threads of the previous iteration have finished execution. However, this also increses execution time dramatically. Although, not as much as thread joining.