

## Approach

I created the program in Python and used Google Colab as my IDE. The code can be run by following the link

<https://colab.research.google.com/drive/1CsSwt3bBIScntpiKpFIKfMtVI0L2YUth?usp=sharing> or

by running the .ipynb file that was attached to this Canvas Submission. I have also attached a pdf of what the expected results should be to this Canvas submission.

I used the following Python libraries: NumPy, SciPy, and Matplotlib. I used NumPy for generating samples of random variables and storing them in an array, SciPy for generating pdf and cdf of Gaussian random variables, and Matplotlib for creating the plots.

I will outline the general approach I followed for each question:

### Question 1:

- In total, I created 4 plots
- I chose to plot the sum of  $n=100, 200, 500,$  and  $1000$  Bernoulli( $p=0.4$ ) RV with sample sizes of  $10000, 20000, 50000, 100000$  respectively
- I increased the number of samples as  $n$  increases because the variance of the PMF of the sum increases
- For each plot, I used a stem plot to represent the PMF of the sum and I overlaid the corresponding Gaussian pdf
- The Gaussian RV had a mean of  $0.4*n$  and standard deviation of  $\sqrt{0.24*n}$

### Question 2:

- I followed a similar procedure as Question 1 where I plotted the sum of  $n=100, 200, 500,$  and  $1000$  Poisson( $\lambda=5$ ) RV with sample sizes of  $10000, 20000, 50000, 100000$  respectively
- This time, I used a histogram instead of a stem plot since a stem plot would be too cluttered due to the much larger variance of sums
- The Gaussian RV had a mean of  $5*n$  and a standard deviation of  $\sqrt{5*n}$

### Question 3:

- I generated a Uniform random variable in  $[0,1]$
- I then transformed the uniform samples to those of a gaussian random variable with mean  $3$  and standard deviation  $\sqrt{2}$  using the inverse CDF
- The samples are sorted so that the empirical CDF can be generated
- The empirical and theoretical CDF are overlaid on the sample plot for comparison

### Question 4:

- I generated  $10,000$  samples of a Binomial( $n=5, p=0.4$ ) Random Variable using a for loop
- For each sample, I kept track of the running mean and stored it in an array
- I then plotted the sample means and also the true mean
- I did the same approach for  $10,000$  samples of the Bernoulli( $p=0.3$ ) random variable

Question 5:

- I created a zero-mean, unit variance Gaussian random variable
- I took 10,000 samples from this random variable and stored in a numpy array
- I calculated  $X^2$  and I obtained the estimated mean for  $X^2$

## Results

Question 1:

- As  $n$  increases, we observe that the PMF becomes closer to a Gaussian distribution as  $n$  increases
- In the beginning, we observe some outlier points in the PMF that deviate from the Gaussian curve, but the frequency of these decrease as  $n$
- As per the Central Limit Theorem, the sum of  $n$  Bernoulli( $p=0.3$ ) random variables will converge to a normal distribution of mean  $n*0.3$  and standard deviation of  $\sqrt{n*0.3*(1-0.3)}$

Question 2:

- Similar observations to Question 1 where the PMF becomes closer to a Gaussian distribution as  $n$  increases
- We notice that the PMF is definitely more “blocky” compared to the PMF in Question 1 and this can be explained by the fact that we use a histogram instead of a stem plot and also the larger variance
- Since histogram clumps values into bins, bin width has an effect on the smoothness of the PMF
- Nonetheless, as  $n$  increases, the PMF becomes less “blocky” and more smooth and will resemble a normal distribution of mean  $n*5$  and standard deviation  $\sqrt{n*5}$  which follows the Central Limit Theorem

Question 3:

- The empirical and theoretical CDF are very close to each other as expected

Question 4:

- For both plots, I observed that the sample means approach the true mean as  $n$  increases
- This is what is expected as per the law of large numbers

Question 5:

- The estimated mean for  $X^2$  is 0.9939478554328285 which is close to 1
- This aligns with what should be expected because  $\text{Var}(X) = E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 = 1$
- Since  $E[X] = 0$ , this means that  $E[X^2] = 1$