# partools: a Sensible Package for Large Data Sets

Norm Matloff, with Contributions from Alex Rumbaugh

July 15, 2015

With the advent of Big Data, the Hadoop framework became ubiquitous. Yet it was clear that Hadoop had major shortcomings, and recently these are being much more seriously discussed. This has resulted in a new platform, Spark, gaining popularity. As with Hadoop, there is an R interface available for Spark, named SparkR.

Spark overcomes one of Hadoop's major problems, which is the lack of ability to cache data in a multi-pass computation. However, Spark unfortunately retains the drawbacks of Hadoop:

- Hadoop/Spark have a complex, rather opaque infrastructure, and rely on Java/Scala. This
  makes them difficult to install, configure and use for those who are not computer systems
  experts.
- Although a major plus for Hadoop/Spark is fault tolerance, it is needed only for users working
  on extremely large clusters, consisting of hundreds or thousands of nodes. Disk failure rates
  are simply too low for fault tolerance to be an issue for many Hadoop/Snow users, who do
  not have such large systems.<sup>2</sup> Worse, the fault tolerance mechanisms, which are especially
  extensive in Spark, slow down the computation.

The one firm advantage of Hadoop/Spark is their use of distributed file systems. Under the philosophy, "Move the computation to the data, rather than *vice versa*," network traffic may be greatly reduced, thus speeding up computation.

#### Therefore:

It is desirable to have a package that retains the distributed-file nature of Hadoop/Spark while staying fully within the simple, familiar, yet powerful R framework.

The partools package is designed to meet these goals. It is intended as a simple, sensible alternative to Snow/Hadoop. Though not necessarily appropriate for all settings, for many R programmers, partools may be a much better choice than Hadoop/Snow.

Since **partools** uses the portion of the R **parallel** package derived from the package **snow**, and because it is meant as an alternative to Hadoop, we informally refer to **partools** as Snowdoop.

The package does not provide fault tolerance of its own. If this is an issue, one can provide it externally, say with the XtreemFS system.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ See for example "The Hadoop Honeymoon is Over," https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/hadoop-honeymoon-over-martyn-jones

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://wiki.apache.org/hadoop/PoweredBy

## 1 Where Is the Magic?

As you will see later, **partools** can deliver some impressive speedups. But there is nothing magical about this. Instead, the value of the package consists of just two simple sources:

- (a) The package follows a philosophy of forming distributed objects and keeping using them in distributed form throughout one's R session.
- (b) The package consists of a number of utility functions that greatly facilitate creating, storing and analyzing distributed objects.

# 2 Overview of the partools Package

The package is based on the following very simple principles, involving distributed files and distributed data frames/matrices.

- Files are stored in a distributed manner, in files with a common basename. For example, the file **x** is stored as separate files **x.01**, **x.02** etc.
- Data frames and matrices are stored in memory at the nodes in a distributed manner, with a common name. For example, the data frame **y** is stored in chunks at the cluster nodes, each chunk known as **y** at its node.

### 2.1 Package Structure

Again, in a distributed file, all the file chunks have the same prefix, and in a distributed data frame, all chunks have the same name at the various cluster nodes. This plays a key role in the software.

The package consists of three main groups of functions:

#### Distributed-file functions:

- filesplit(): Create a distributed file from monotlithic one.
- filesplitrand(): Create a distributed file from monotlithic one, but randomize the record order.
- filecat(): Create a monotlithic file from distributed one.
- fileread(): Read a distributed file into distributed data frame.
- readnscramble(): Read a distributed file into distributed data frame, but randomize the record order.
- filesave(): Write a distributed data frame to a distributed file.
- filechunkname(): For the calling cluster node, returns the full name of the file chunk, including suffix, e.g. '01', '02' etc.

#### Tabulative functions:

- distribsplit(): Create a distributed data frame/matrix from monotlithic one.
- distribcat(): Create a monotlithic data frame/matrix from distributed one.
- distribagg(): Distributed form of R's aggregate().
- distribcounts(): Wrapper for distribagg() to obtain cell counts.
- distribgetrows(): Applies an R subset() or similar filtering operation to the distributed object, and collects the results into a single object at the caller.
- distribrange(): Distributed form of R's range().

#### Statistical functions:

These all use the Software Alchemy (SA) method (Parallel Computation for Data Science, N. Matloff, Chapman and Hall, 2015) to parallelize statistical operations. The idea is simple: Apply the given estimator to each chunk in the distributed object, and average over chunks. It is proven that the resulting distributed estimator has the same statistical accuracy — the same asymptotic variance — as the original serial ones.<sup>3</sup>

- ca(): General SA algorithm.
- cabase(): Core of ca().
- caagg(): SA analog of distribagg().
- cameans(): Finds means in the specified columns.
- caquantile(): Wrapper for SA version of R's quantile().
- calm(): Wrapper for SA version of R's lm().
- caglm(): Wrapper for SA version of R's glm().
- cakm(): Wrapper for SA version of R's kmeans().
- caprcomp(): Wrapper for SA version of R's prcomp().

### Support functions:

- formrowchunks(): Form chunks of rows of a data frame/matrix.
- matrixtolist(): For a list of the rows or columns of a data frame or matrix.
- addlists(): "Add" two lists, meaning add values of elements of the same name, and copy the others.
- dbs(), dbsmsg(), etc.: Debugging aids.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>In the world of parallel computation, the standard word for nonparallel is serial.

### 3 Sample Session

Our data set, from http://stat-computing.org/dataexpo/2009/the-data.html consists of the well-known records of airline flight delay. For convenience, we'll just use the data for 2008, which consists of about 7 million records. This is large enough to illustrate speedup due to parallelism, but small enough that we won't have to wait really long amounts of time in our sample session here.

The session was run on a 16-core machine, with a 16-node **parallel** cluster. Note carefully, though, that we should not expect a 16-fold speedup. In the world of parallel computation, one usually gets of speedups of considerably less than n for a platform of n computational entities. Indeed, one is often saddened to find that the parallel version is actually *slower* than the serial one!

The file, yr2008, was first split into a distributed file, stored in yr2008r.01,...,yr2008r.16, using filesplitrand(), and then read into memory at the 16 cluster nodes using fileread():

```
> filesplitrand(cls,'yr2008','yr2008r',2,header=TRUE,sep=",")
> fileread(cls,'yr2008r','yr2008',2,header=TRUE, sep=",")
```

The call to **filesplitrand()** splits the file as described above; since these files are permanent, we can skip this step in future R sessions involving this data (if the file doesn't change). The function **filesplitrand()** was used instead of **filesplit()** to construct the distributed file, in order to randomize the placement of the records of **yr2008** across cluster nodes. Random arrangement of the rows is required for SA.

In order to run timing comparisons, the full file was also read into memory at the cluster manager:

```
> yr2008 <- read.csv("yr2008")
```

The first operation run involved the package's distributed version of R's **aggregate()**. Here we want to tabulate departure delay, arrival delay and flight time, broken down into cells according to flight origin and destination. We'll find the maximum value in each cell.

```
> system.time(print(distribagg(cls, c("DepDelay","ArrDelay","AirTime"),
   c("Origin", "Dest"), "yr2008", FUN="max")))
. . .
5193
        CDV
             YAK
                        327
                                 325
                                           54
        JNU
                        317
                                 308
                                           77
5194
             YAK
        SLC
              YKM
                        110
                                          115
5195
                                 118
5196
        IPL
             YUM
                        162
                                 163
                                           26
         system elapsed
   user
          0.084
                 15.952
  2.291
```

The serial version was much slower.

```
> system.time(print(aggregate(cbind(DepDelay,ArrDelay,AirTime) ~
    Origin+Dest,data=yr2008,FUN=max)))
...
```

5193	CDV	YAK	327	325	54
5194	JNU	YAK	317	308	77
5195	SLC	YKM	110	118	115
5196	IPL	YUM	162	163	26
user system elapsed					

0.444 249.634

249.038

So, the results of **distribagg()** did indeed match those of **aggregate()**, but did so more than 15 times faster!

Remember, the key philosophy of **partools** is to create distributed objects and then keep using them repeatedly in distributed form. However, in some cases, we may wish to collect a distributed result into a monolithic object, especially if the result is small. This is done in the next example:

Say we wish to do a filter operation, extracting the data on all the Sunday evening flights, and collect it into one place. Here is the direct version:

```
> sundayeve <- with(yr2008,yr2008[DayOfWeek==1 & DepTime > 1800,])
```

This actually is not a time-consuming operation, but again, in typical **partools** use, we would only have the distributed version of **yr2008**. Here is how we would achieve the same effect from the distributed object:

```
> sundayeved <- distribgetrows(cls,'with(yr2008,yr2008[DayOfWeek==1 & DepTime > 1800,])')
```

As another example, say we are investigating data completeness. We may wish to flag all records having an inordinate number of NA values. As I first step, we may wish to add a column to our data frame, indicating how many NA values are in each row. If we did not have the advantage of distributed computation, here is how long it would take for our flight delay data:

```
> sumna
function(x) sum(is.na(x))
> system.time(yr2008$n1 <- apply(yr2008[,c(5,7,8,11:16,19:21)],1,sumna))
   user    system elapsed
268.463    0.773 269.542</pre>
```

But it is of course much faster on a distributed basis, using the **parallel** package function **clusterEvalQ()**:

```
> clusterExport(cls,"sumna",envir=environment())
> system.time(clusterEvalQ(cls,yr2008$n1 <- apply(yr2008[,c(5,7,8,11:16,19:21)],1,sumna)))
   user   system elapsed
   0.094   0.012   16.758</pre>
```

The speedup here was about 16, fully utilizing all 16 cores.

Ordinarily, we would continue that NA analysis on a distributed basis, in keeping with the **partools** philosophy of setting up distributed objects and then repeatedly dealing with them on a distributed

basis. If our subsequent operations continue to have time complexity linear in the number of records processes, we should continue to get speedups of about 16.

On the other hand, we may wish to gather together all the records have 8 or more NA values. In the nonparallel context, it would take some time:

```
> system.time(na8 <- yr2008[yr2008$n1 > 7,])
  user system elapsed
9.292  0.028  9.327
```

In the distributed manner, it is slightly faster:

```
> system.time(na8d <- distribgetrows(cls,'yr2008[yr2008$n1 > 7,]'))
  user system elapsed
5.524  0.160  6.584
```

The speedup is less here, as the resulting data must travel from the cluster nodes to the cluster manager. In this case, this is just a memory-to-memory transfer rather than across a network, as we are on a multicore machine, but it still takes time. If the number of records satisfying the filtering condition had been smaller than the 136246 we had here, the speedup factor would have been greater.

Now let's turn to statistical operations, starting of course with linear regression. As noted, these **partools** functions make use of Software Alchemy, which replaces the given operation by a *distributed*, statistically equivalent operation. This will often produce a significant speedup. Note again that though the result may different from the non-distributed version, say in the third significant digit, it is just as accurate statistically.

In the flight data, we predicted the arrival delay from the departure delay and distance, comparing the distributed and serial versions,

```
> system.time(print(lm(ArrDelay ~ DepDelay+Distance,data=yr2008)))
Coefficients:
(Intercept)
                DepDelay
                             Distance
  -1.061369
                1.019154
                            -0.001213
        system elapsed
  user
77.107 12.463 76.225
> system.time(print(calm(cls,'ArrDelay ~ DepDelay+Distance,data=yr2008')$tht))
 (Intercept)
                 DepDelay
                              Distance
-1.061262941 1.019150592 -0.001213252
  user system elapsed
          0.691 18.396
 13.414
```

Linear regression is very hard to parallelize, so the speedup of more than 4 here is nice. Coefficient estimates were virtually identical.

Next, principal components. Since R's **prcomp()** does not handle NA values for nonformula specifications, let's do that separately first:

```
> system.time(cc <- na.omit(yr2008[,c(12:16,19:21)]))
   user system elapsed
9.540   0.351   9.907
> system.time(clusterEvalQ(cls,cc <- na.omit(yr2008[,c(12:16,19:21)])))
   user system elapsed
0.885   0.232   2.352</pre>
```

Note that this too was faster in the distributed approach, though both times were small. And now the PCA runs:

```
> system.time(ccout <- prcomp(cc))
   user system elapsed
61.905  49.605  58.444
> ccout$sdev
[1] 5.752546e+02 5.155227e+01 2.383117e+01 1.279210e+01 9.492825e+00
[6] 5.530152e+00 1.133015e-03 6.626621e-12
> system.time(ccoutdistr <- caprcomp(cls,'cc',8))
   user system elapsed
  5.023  0.604  8.949
> ccoutdistr$sdev
[1] 5.752554e+02 5.155127e+01 2.383122e+01 1.279184e+01 9.492570e+00
[6] 5.529869e+00 9.933142e-04 8.679427e-13
```

Thus, more than a 6-fold speedup here. Agreement of the component standard deviations is good.

Next, let's find the *interquartile range* for several columns. This is a robust measure of dispersion, defined at the difference between the  $75^{th}$  and  $25^{th}$  percentiles. Here is serial code to find this:

```
# find the interquartile range for a vector x
iqr <- function(x) {tmp <- quantile(x,na.rm=T); tmp[4] - tmp[2]}
# find the interquartile range for each column of a data frame dfr
iqrm <- function(dfr) apply(dfr,2,iqr)</pre>
```

So, let's compare times. First, the serial version:

> system.time(print(iqrm(yr2008[,c(5:8,12:16,19:21)]))) DepTime CRSDepTime ArrTime CRSArrTime 800 790 802 792 ActualElapsedTime CRSElapsedTime AirTime ArrDelay 79 77 22 Distance TaxiIn TaxiOut DepDelay 629 12 4 user system elapsed 29.280 0.243 29.554

For the distributed version,

<sup>&</sup>gt; system.time(print(colMeans(distribgetrows(cls,'iqrm(yr2008[,c(5:8,12:16,19:21)])'))))

${ t DepTime}$	CRSDepTime	ArrTime	CRSArrTime
800.1250	790.0625	801.8125	791.8750
${\tt ActualElapsedTime}$	${\tt CRSElapsedTime}$	AirTime	ArrDelay
80.0000	78.9375	76.5625	22.0000
DepDelay	Distance	TaxiIn	TaxiOut
12.0000	627.6875	4.0000	9.0000
user system el	apsed		
0.009 0.002	2.587		

Here the speedup was more than 11-fold, with agreement generally to three significant digits. Once again, note that statistically speaking, both estimators have the same accuracy.

The package also includes a distributed version of k-means clustering. Here it is on the flight delay data. First, retain only the NA-free rows for the variables of interest, then run:

```
> fileread(cls,'yr2008r','yr2008',2,header=TRUE, sep=",")
> invisible(clusterEvalQ(cls,y28 <- na.omit(yr2008[,c(5:8,13:16,19:21)])))
> system.time(koutpar <- cakm(cls,'y28',3,11))
    user system elapsed
4.083    0.132    9.293</pre>
```

Compare to serial:

```
> yr2008 <- read.csv('y2008')
> y28 <- na.omit(yr2008[,c(5:8,13:16,19:21)])
> system.time(koutser <- kmeans(y28,3))
    user    system elapsed
54.394    0.558    55.032</pre>
```

So, the distributed version is about 6 times faster. Results are virtually identical:

#### > koutpar\$centers

```
[,2]
          [,1]
                             [,3]
                                       [,4]
                                                [,5]
[1,] 1741.3967 1718.0296 1876.433 1895.435 110.4398
[2,] 932.4057 936.6907 1081.743 1082.813 108.5091
[3,] 1311.2193 1308.1838 1496.267 1525.790 267.8620
          [,6]
                    [,7]
                              [,8]
                                         [,9]
                                                 [,10]
[1,] 85.25672 13.101844 14.826940 569.2534 6.742315
[2,] 84.66763 3.091913 4.517502 561.0567 6.785464
[3,] 238.93152 8.668587 11.698193 1886.8964 7.541735
        [,11]
[1,] 16.71571
[2,] 15.63046
[3,] 18.35916
> koutser$centers
    DepTime CRSDepTime ArrTime CRSArrTime
1 1741.3888 1718.0217 1876.418
                                  1895.425
2 932.3681
              936.6674 1081.737
                                  1082.809
```

- 3 1311.4436 1308.3525 1496.404 1525.905 CRSElapsedTime AirTime ArrDelay DepDelay 110.4363 85.25292 13.100842 14.826083 2 108.5151 84.67361 3.092439 4.518112 3 267.8669 238.93668 8.672439 11.701706 Distance TaxiIn TaxiOut 1 569.2226 6.742079 16.71604 2 561.1148 6.785409 15.63038
- 3 1886.9094 7.542760 18.35823