


Chapter 5

Divide and Conquer



Slides by Kevin Wayne.
Copyright © 2005 Pearson-Addison Wesley.
All rights reserved.

Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- Break up problem into several parts.
- Solve each part recursively.
- Combine solutions to sub-problems into overall solution.

Most common usage.

- Break up problem of size n into two equal parts of size $\frac{1}{2}n$.
- Solve two parts recursively.
- Combine two solutions into overall solution in linear time.

Consequence.

- Brute force: n^2 .
- Divide-and-conquer: $n \log n$.

	n	$n \log_2 n$	n^2
$n = 10$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec
$n = 30$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec
$n = 50$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec
$n = 100$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec
$n = 1,000$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	1 sec
$n = 10,000$	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	2 min
$n = 100,000$	< 1 sec	2 sec	3 hours
$n = 1,000,000$	1 sec	20 sec	12 days

5.1 Mergesort

Sorting

Sorting. Given n elements, rearrange in ascending order.

Applications.

- Sort a list of names.
- Organize an MP3 library.
- Display Google PageRank results.
- List RSS news items in reverse chronological order.

obvious applications

- Find the median.
- Find the closest pair.
- Binary search in a database.
- Identify statistical outliers.
- Find duplicates in a mailing list.

problems become easy once
items are in sorted order

- Data compression.
- Computer graphics.
- Computational biology.
- Supply chain management.
- Book recommendations on Amazon.
- Load balancing on a parallel computer.


non-obvious applications

...


Mergesort

Mergesort.

- Divide array into two halves.
- Recursively sort each half.
- Merge two halves to make sorted whole.



Jon von Neumann (1945)



divide $O(1)$

sort $2T(n/2)$

merge $O(n)$

Merging

Merging. Combine two pre-sorted lists into a sorted whole.

How to merge efficiently?



- Linear number of comparisons.
- Use temporary array.



Challenge for the bored. In-place merge. [Kronrud, 1969]

↑
using only a constant amount of extra storage

A Useful Recurrence Relation

Def. $T(n)$ = number of comparisons to mergesort an input of size n .

Mergesort recurrence.

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n=1 \\ \underbrace{T(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}_{\text{solve left half}} + \underbrace{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}_{\text{solve right half}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + n \\ &= 2 \cdot \left(2 \cdot T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + \frac{n}{2}\right) + n \\ &= 4T\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + 2n \\ &= 2^k T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) + kn \end{aligned}$$

Solution. $T(n) = O(n \log_2 n)$.


Assorted proofs. We describe several ways to prove this recurrence.

Initially we assume n is a power of 2 and replace \leq with $=$.

$$= n + n \log n.$$

Proof by Recursion Tree

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \underbrace{2T(n/2)}_{\text{sorting both halves}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Proof by Telescoping

Claim. If $T(n)$ satisfies this recurrence, then $T(n) = n \log_2 n$.

↑
assumes n is a power of 2

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n=1 \\ \underbrace{2T(n/2)}_{\text{sorting both halves}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pf. For $n > 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T(n)}{n} &= \frac{2T(n/2)}{n} + 1 \\ &= \frac{T(n/2)}{n/2} + 1 \\ &= \frac{T(n/4)}{n/4} + 1 + 1 \\ &\dots \\ &= \frac{T(n/n)}{n/n} + \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{\log_2 n} \\ &= \log_2 n \end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned} & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & n = 2^k \quad k = \log_2 n \end{aligned}$$

Proof by Induction

Claim. If $T(n)$ satisfies this recurrence, then $T(n) = n \log_2 n$.

↑
assumes n is a power of 2

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ \underbrace{2T(n/2)}_{\text{sorting both halves}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Pf. (by induction on n)

- Base case: $n = 1$.
- Inductive hypothesis: $T(n) = n \log_2 n$.
- Goal: show that $T(2n) = 2n \log_2 (2n)$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(2n) &= 2T(n) + 2n \\ &= 2n \log_2 n + 2n \\ &= 2n(\log_2(2n) - 1) + 2n \\ &= 2n \log_2(2n) \end{aligned}$$

Analysis of Mergesort Recurrence

not a power of 2

Claim. If $T(n)$ satisfies the following recurrence, then $T(n) \leq n \lceil \lg n \rceil$.

$$T(n) \leq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n=1 \\ \underbrace{T(\lceil n/2 \rceil)}_{\text{solve left half}} + \underbrace{T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor)}_{\text{solve right half}} + \underbrace{n}_{\text{merging}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

\uparrow
 $\log_2 n$

$$n = \lceil n/2 \rceil + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$$

Pf. (by induction on n)

- Base case: $n = 1$.
- Define $n_1 = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, $n_2 = \lceil n/2 \rceil$.
- Induction step: assume true for $1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

$$n = 2^{\log_2 n} \leq 2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &\leq T(n_1) + T(n_2) + n \\ &\leq n_1 \lceil \lg n_1 \rceil + n_2 \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n \\ &\leq n_1 \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n_2 \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n \\ &= \underbrace{n \lceil \lg n_2 \rceil + n}_{\text{arrow}} \\ &\leq n(\lceil \lg n \rceil - 1) + n \\ &= n \lceil \lg n \rceil \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_2 &= \lceil n/2 \rceil \\ &\leq \lceil 2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil} / 2 \rceil \\ &= 2^{\lceil \lg n \rceil} / 2 \\ \Rightarrow \lg n_2 &\leq \lceil \lg n \rceil - 1 \end{aligned}$$

5.3 Counting Inversions

Counting Inversions


Music site tries to match your song preferences with others.

- You rank n songs.
- Music site consults database to find people with **similar** tastes.

Similarity metric: number of inversions between two rankings.

- My rank: $1, 2, \dots, n$.
- Your rank: a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n .
- Songs i and j **inverted** if $i < j$, but $a_i > a_j$.

<i>Songs</i>					
	A	B	C	D	E
Me	1	2	3	4	5
You	1	3	4	2	5



Inversions
3-2, 4-2

Brute force: check all $\Theta(n^2)$ pairs i and j .

Applications

Applications.

- Voting theory.
- Collaborative filtering.
- Measuring the "sortedness" of an array.
- Sensitivity analysis of *Google's* ranking function.
- Rank aggregation for meta-searching on the Web.
- Nonparametric statistics (e.g., Kendall's Tau distance).

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

不要在递归方法内创数组.

Divide-and-conquer.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1	5	4	8	10	2	6	9	12	11	3	7

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- **Divide:** separate list into two pieces.

1	5	4	8	10	2	6	9	12	11	3	7
---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	---	---

Divide: $O(1)$.

1	5	4	8	10	2	6	9	12	11	3	7
---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	---	---

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- Divide: separate list into two pieces.
- **Conquer**: recursively count inversions in each half.

1	5	4	8	10	2	6	9	12	11	3	7
---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	---	---

Divide: $O(1)$.

1	5	4	8	10	2	6	9	12	11	3	7
---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	---	---

Conquer: $2T(n / 2)$

5 blue-blue inversions

8 green-green inversions

5-4, 5-2, 4-2, 8-2, 10-2

6-3, 9-3, 9-7, 12-3, 12-7, 12-11, 11-3, 11-7

Counting Inversions: Divide-and-Conquer

Divide-and-conquer.

- Divide: separate list into two pieces.
- Conquer: recursively count inversions in each half.
- **Combine**: count inversions where a_i and a_j are in different halves, and return sum of three quantities.

1	5	4	8	10	2	6	9	12	11	3	7
---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	---	---

Divide: $O(1)$.

1	5	4	8	10	2	6	9	12	11	3	7
---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	---	---

5 blue-blue inversions

8 green-green inversions

Conquer: $2T(n/2)$

9 blue-green inversions

5-3, 4-3, 8-6, 8-3, 8-7, 10-6, 10-9, 10-3, 10-7

Combine: ???

Total = $5 + 8 + 9 = 22$.

$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + ???$

Counting Inversions: Combine

Combine: count blue-green inversions

- Assume each half is **sorted**.
- Count inversions where a_i and a_j are in different halves.
- **Merge** two sorted halves into sorted whole.



to maintain sorted invariant



13 blue-green inversions: $6 + 3 + 2 + 2 + 0 + 0$

Count: $O(n)$



Merge: $O(n)$

$$T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + O(n) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n \log n)$$

Counting Inversions: Implementation

Pre-condition. [Merge-and-Count] Input: A and B are sorted.

Post-condition. [Sort-and-Count] Output: L is sorted.

```
Sort-and-Count(L) {  
    if list L has one element  
        return 0 and the list L  
  
    Divide the list into two halves A and B  
    ( $r_A$ , A)  $\leftarrow$  Sort-and-Count(A)  
    ( $r_B$ , B)  $\leftarrow$  Sort-and-Count(B)  
    ( $r$ , L)  $\leftarrow$  Merge-and-Count(A, B)  
  
    return  $r = r_A + r_B + r$  and the sorted list L  
}
```

5.4 Closest Pair of Points

Closest Pair of Points

Closest pair. Given n points in the plane, find a pair with smallest Euclidean distance between them.

Fundamental geometric primitive.

- Graphics, computer vision, geographic information systems, molecular modeling, air traffic control.
- Special case of nearest neighbor, Euclidean MST, Voronoi.

↑
fast closest pair inspired fast algorithms for these problems

Brute force. Check all pairs of points p and q with $\Theta(n^2)$ comparisons.


1-D version. $O(n \log n)$ easy if points are on a line.

Assumption. No two points have the same x coordinate.

↑
to make presentation cleaner

Closest Pair of Points: First Attempt


Divide. Sub-divide region into 4 quadrants.



Closest Pair of Points: First Attempt

Divide. Sub-divide region into 4 quadrants.


Obstacle. Impossible to ensure $n/4$ points in each piece.



Closest Pair of Points

Algorithm.


- **Divide:** draw vertical line L so that roughly $\frac{1}{2}n$ points on each side. $O(n \log n)$



Closest Pair of Points

Algorithm.

- Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly $\frac{1}{2}n$ points on each side. $O(n \log n)$
- Conquer: find closest pair in each side recursively. $2T(n/2)$




Closest Pair of Points

Algorithm.

- Divide: draw vertical line L so that roughly $\frac{1}{2}n$ points on each side. $O(n \log n)$
- Conquer: find closest pair in each side recursively. $2T(n/2)$
- **Combine**: find closest pair with one point in each side. \leftarrow ~~seems like $\Theta(n^2)$~~
- Return best of 3 solutions.




$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n^2)$$



Closest Pair of Points


Find closest pair with one point in each side, **assuming that distance $< \delta$** .



Closest Pair of Points

Find closest pair with one point in each side, **assuming that distance $< \delta$** .

- Observation: only need to consider points within δ of line L .




Closest Pair of Points

Find closest pair with one point in each side, **assuming that distance $< \delta$** .

- Observation: only need to consider points within δ of line L .
- Sort points in 2δ -strip by their y coordinate.


排序 找到中间数确定 L $O(n)$ 找到距离 $< \delta$ 的



Closest Pair of Points

Find closest pair with one point in each side, **assuming that distance $< \delta$** .

- Observation: only need to consider points within δ of line L .
- **Sort** points in 2δ -strip by their y coordinate.
- Only check distances of those within 11 positions in sorted list!



Closest Pair of Points


Def. Let s_i be the point in the 2δ -strip, with the i^{th} smallest y -coordinate.

Claim. If $|i - j| > 11$, then the distance between s_i and s_j is at least δ .



Pf.

- No two points lie in same $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ -by- $\frac{1}{2}\delta$ box.
- Two points at least 2 rows apart have distance $\geq 2(\frac{1}{2}\delta)$. ▪


Fact. Still true if we replace 11 with 7. ★





What is the maximum number of points we can place in this 3 rows area that each pairs of 2 points in either left and right side has distance larger than δ



What is the maximum number of points we can place in this 3 rows area that each pairs of 2 points in either left and right side has distance larger than δ



What is the maximum number of points we can place in this 3 rows area that each pairs of 2 points in either left and right side has distance larger than δ



Closest Pair Algorithm

```
Closest-Pair( $p_1, \dots, p_n$ ) {
```

```
    Compute separation line  $L$  such that half the points  
    are on one side and half on the other side.
```

$O(n \log n)$

```
     $\delta_1 =$ Closest-Pair(left half)
```

```
     $\delta_2 =$ Closest-Pair(right half)
```

$2T(n / 2)$

```
     $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ 
```

```
    Delete all points further than  $\delta$  from separation line  $L$ 
```

$O(n)$

```
    Sort remaining points sorted by y-coordinate.
```

$O(n \log n)$

```
    Scan points in y-order and compare distance between  
    each point and next 11 neighbors. If any of these  
    distances is less than  $\delta$ , update  $\delta$ .
```

$O(n)$

```
    return  $\delta$ .
```

```
}
```

Closest Pair of Points: Analysis

Running time.

$$T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(n \log n) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n \log^2 n)$$

Q. Can we achieve $O(n \log n)$?

A. Yes. Don't sort points in strip from scratch each time.

- Each recursive returns two lists: all points sorted by y coordinate, and all points sorted by x coordinate.
- Sort by **merging** two pre-sorted lists.

$$T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + O(n) \Rightarrow T(n) = O(n \log n)$$