

Student Name: . Student ID:

CS203 Data Structure and Algorithm Analysis

Quiz 1

Note 1: Write all your solutions in the question paper directly. You can ask additional answer paper if necessary

Note 2: If a question asks you to design an algorithm, full points will be given if your algorithm runs with optimal time complexity

Note 3: If a question asks you to design an algorithm, you should **first** describe your ideas in general words, then write the pseudocode, and end with time complexity analysis.

Problem 1 [25 points, 5 per points]

1) Which of the following function is not $O(n^{2.5})$

A.
$$\frac{n^{100}}{2^n}$$

B.
$$(\log_2 n)^{98}$$

A.
$$\frac{n^{100}}{2^n}$$
 B. $(\log_2 n)^{98}$ C. $938593729n^2$ D. $n^{2.6}/\log^2 n$

D.
$$n^{2.6}/\log^2 n$$

2) Which of the following functions is $O(n \log \sqrt{n})$ ()

B.
$$n^{1.2}/\log^5 n$$

C.
$$(1.01)^n$$

D.
$$n \cdot (\log_2 n)^{1.0003}$$

3) There are 5 elements, which are pushed into the stack by the order e_1 , e_2 , e_3 , e_4 , e_5 . If e_3 is the first element be popped and e_4 is the second, in this case, please list out all the possible order of pop stack:

A. e_3 , e_4 , e_5 , e_2 , e_1 B. e_3 , e_4 , e_2 , e_5 , e_1 C. e_3 , e_4 , e_2 , e_1 , e_5 D. e_3 , e_4 , e_5 , e_1 , e_2

4) Stack s is empty, use s to convert the infix expression 6/2 + (8*9-3*5)/3 to equivalent postfix expression. In the process, when the 5 is scanned, what are the elements in the stack (from stack bottom to top)?

5) Suppose f(1) = 1; f(2) = 2; f(n) = 3 + f(n-2). f(n) = 0 (in terms of Big-O notation).



Problem 2, 25 points Let S1 be an unsorted array of n integers, and S2 is another sorted array of $\log_2 n$ integers (n is a power of 2, **S2 is in descending order**). Describe an algorithm to output the number of pairs (x, y) satisfying $x \in S1$, $y \in S2$, and $x \le y$. Your algorithm must terminate in $O(n\log\log n)$ time. For example, if S1 = {10, 7, 12, 18} and S2 = {15, 7}, then you should output 4 because 4 pairs satisfy the required conditions: (10, 15),(7, 15),(12, 15),(7, 7).

Idea: (10 marks)

For every element $x \in S1$, perform binary search on S2 to find the number t_x of elements in S2 that are larger than or equal to x. Return $\sum_{x \in S1} tx$.

Pseudocode: (10 marks)

```
Algorithm CountPairs(S1, S2)

1. n \leftarrow len(S1)

2. sum \leftarrow 0 // the total number of pairs

3. for i \leftarrow 0 to n-1

4. sum += findPairs(S1[i], S2)

5. return sum
```

Algorithm findPairs(S1[i], S2)

```
1. left \leftarrow 0, right \leftarrow len(S2)
2. repeat
3.
       mid \leftarrow (left+right)/2
4.
       if (S1[i] = S2[mid]) then
5.
               return mid
6.
       else if (S1[i] < S2[mid]) then
7.
                left \leftarrow mid + 1
8.
       else
9.
                right ← mid - 1
10. until left > right
11. if (right=0) // all values are larger than S1[i]
       return right
13. if (left=len(s2)) // all values are smaller than S1[i]
       return left
```

Time complexity analysis: (5 marks)

There are O(n) elements in S1, for each element, the binary search on S2 costs $O(\log \log n)$ time, thus, the total cost is therefore $O(n \log \log n)$.



```
Problem 3, 20 points Method B is a sorting algorithms, please answer questions. The start position of array Arr is 0. Method B: void sortB(int Arr[], int low, int high){
```

```
if(low < high){
               int pi = partition (Arr, low, high);
               print array Arr; // Line Output
               sortB( Arr, _low_, _pi-1_ );
               sortB( Arr, pi+1, high );
        }
}
int partition (int Arr[], int low, int high) {
       pivot = Arr[high];
       i = (low - 1)
       for (j = low; j \le high-1; j++) {
               if (Arr[j] \le pivot) {
                      i++;
                      swap (_Arr[i]___, __Arr[j]__)
               }
       }
       swap (Arr[i + 1], Arr[high])
       return (i+1);
}
```

- (1) Please complete the method B then it works as quick sort [6 points]
- (2) If the original sequence is "25, 40, 3, 55, 30, 26, 18, 45", after invoking Method B, please write down the outputs (Line output) step by step. [14 points]

```
[25, 40, 3, 30, 26, 18, 45, 55]
[3, 18, 25, 30, 26, 40, 45, 55]
[3, 18, 25, 30, 26, 40, 45, 55]
[3, 18, 25, 26, 30, 40, 45, 55]
```



Problem 4, 30 points Design a function to check if a linked list is a palindrome. For example:

Linked list **A**: 1->2->3 is not a palindrome, return No.

Linked list **B**: 1->2->3->2->1 is a palindrome, return Yes.

Idea: (15 marks)

We want to detect linked lists where the front half of the list is the reverse of the second half. How would we do that? By reversing the front half of the list. A stack can accomplish this.

We need to push the first half of the elements onto a stack. Since we do not know the length of the linked list, we can do like this way: we use a slow runner (go one step per iteration) and a fast runner (go two step per iteration). At each step in the loop, we push the data from the slow runner onto a stack. When the fast runner hits the end of the list, the slow runner will have reached the middle of the linked list. By this point, the stack will have all the elements from the front of the linked list, but in reverse order.

Pseudocode (10 marks)

```
Algorithm isPalindrome(LinkedListNode head) {

    LinkedListNode fast ← head;

2. LinkedListNode slow ← head;
3. Stack s \leftarrow \text{empty stack};
4. while (fast != null && fast->next != null) {
5.
       s.push(slow->data);
       slow \leftarrow slow - next;
6.
7.
       fast \leftarrow fast->next->next;
8.
9. if (fast != null) { //Has odd number of elements, so skip the middle element
10.
       slow \leftarrow slow \rightarrow next;
11. }
12. while (slow != null) {
13.
       top = s.pop()->data;
14.
       if (top!= slow.data) {
15.
               return false;
16.
17. return true
```

Time complexity analysis: (5 marks)

Since slow runner only pass the linked list once, thus the time complexity is O(n), where n is the length of given liked list.