

State Of U.P. & Ors vs Vineet Singh & Ors on 1 September, 2000

Equivalent citations: AIR 2000 SUPREME COURT 2766, 2000 AIR SCW 3013, 2000 ALL. L. J. 2443, 2000 (8) SRJ 403, 2000 (7) SCC 262, (2000) 10 JT 1 (SC), (2000) 5 SERVLR 250, (2000) 3 UPLBEC 2291, (2000) 4 ALL WC 3024, (2000) 41 ALL LR 41, (2000) 4 ESC 2509, (2000) 6 SCALE 228, (2000) 4 SCT 324, (2000) 6 SUPREME 93

Bench: S. Rajendra Babu, S.N. Phukan, Shivaraj V. Patil

PETITIONER:
STATE OF U.P. & ORS.

Vs.

RESPONDENT:
VINEET SINGH & ORS.

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 01/09/2000

BENCH:
S. RAJENDRA BABU, J., S.N. PHUKAN, J. & SHIVARAJ V. PATIL, J.

JUDGMENT:

J U D G M E N T RAJENDRA BABU, J. :

Leave granted.

L...I...T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T.....T...J These appeals arise out of an order made by the High Court directing that the States, Union Territories and Universities should allow students who had passed courses outside their home State to participate in the entrance examination held in their home State irrespective of any kind of preference that may have been adopted for selection of PG Medical Course. In doing so, the High Court has followed the decision of this Court in Dr. Parag Gupta vs. University of Delhi & Ors., reported in JT 2000 (5) SC 345, without any detailed consideration of the claims. In Dr. Parag Gupta's case [supra] the controversy before this Court was in relation to students who had qualified for medical degree course got admission under All India quota of 15% and migrated to different States to pursue the course of study and who sought admission into Postgraduate courses and their grievance was that the States or concerned authorities had framed admission rules in such a way that they could neither pursue their studies in the migrated State nor in their home State.

[illegible]

accordance with law. The Writ Petition also stands disposed of.