K.Jayamohan vs State Of Kerala & Anr on 25 April, 1997

Equivalent citations: AIR 1997 SUPREME COURT 2619, 1997 AIR SCW 1996, 1997 AIR SCW 2577, 1997 LAB. I. C. 2648, 1997 ALL. L. J. 1174, 1997 (4) SCALE 155, 1997 (2) SCJ 146, 1997 (5) SCC 170, 1998 (1) SERVLJ 19 SC, (1998) 1 SERVLJ 19, 1997 ALL CJ 2 1148.1, 1997 ALL CJ 2 1148.2, (1997) 3 SCR 389 (SC), 1997 (30) ALL LR 211, (1997) 3 SCR 1046 (SC), 1997 (3) SCALE 539, 1997 (3) SCR 1046, 1997 (3) SCR 389, 1997 (5) SCC 148, 1997 (1) LACC 449, 1997 (2) LANDLR 182, 1997 (2) RECCIVR 710, 1997 (3) CIV LJ 161, (1997) 2 CTC 492 (SC), (1997) 1 KER LT 91, 1997 (3) ICC 573, (1997) 2 CTC 480 (SC), (1997) 3 SUPREME 740, (1997) 5 JT 368 (SC), (1997) 4 JT 555 (SC), 1997 (4) JT 555, (1997) 4 SCALE 155, (1997) 76 FACLR 606, (1997) 3 SCT 26, (1997) 2 SCJ 36, (1997) 4 SERVLR 313, (1997) 2 CURLR 7, (1997) 4 LAB LN 504, (1997) 4 SUPREME 608, 1997 SCC (L&S) 1140

Bench: K. Ramaswamy, D.P. Wadhwa

PETITIONER: K.JAYAMOHAN	
Vs.	
RESPONDENT: STATE OF KERALA & ANR.	
DATE OF JUDGMENT:	25/04/1997
BENCH: K. RAMASWAMY, D.P. WADH	WA
ACT:	
HEADNOTE:	
JUDGMENT:	
Present:	

Hon'ble Mr.Justice K.Ramaswamy Hon'ble Mr.Justice D.P.Wadhwa T.L.V. Iyer, Sr. Adv., Ajit Pudussery, Adv. with him for the appellant Ms. Malini Poduval and N. Sudhakaran, Advs. for the Respondents O R D E R The following Order of the Court was delivered:

Leave granted. We have heard learned counsel for the parties.

This appeal by special leave arises from the judgment of the High Court of Kerala, made on 25.7.1996 in Writ Appeal No.997/96.

The admitted facts are the two posts of Lecturers in Physical Education were advertised for recruitment in the year 1988 through the Public Service Commission. Written Test and oral interviews were conducted in the year 1992 and the Select List, a long list of 10 candidates was prepared by the have already been appointed. Since there often exist some vacancies, the appellant, one of the selected candidates, made a representation to appoint him. That was rejected on the ground that pursuant to the amendment to the Kerala Collegiate Education Service Special Rues, 1994, which came into force with retrospective effect from March 13, 1990, higher qualifications were prescribed and since the appellant did not fufil the requisite qualification, he was not eligible and could not be appointed. When the appellant filed writ petition, the single Judge and on appeal the Division Bench of the High Court held that merely because he was kept in the select list, he acquired on absolute right to appointment and it is not incumbent upon the authorities to appoint him. Thus, this appeal by special leave.

Shri T.L.V. Iyer, learned senior counsel for the appellant, whether when asked to find out from page No.2 of the selection is made only to two posts or more, points out from page No.2 of the judgment of the High Court that the advertisement was restricted to the existing vacancies, namely, two appoint the candidate from the waiting list, He has no right to appointment. It is contended that such an appointment is violative of Articles 14 and 16 (1) of the Constitution of India as the candidates eligible when selection and get their rights tested.

It is settled legal position that merely because a candidate is selected and kept in the waiting list, he does not acquire any absolute right for appointment. It is open to the Government to make the appointment or not. Even if there is any vacancy, it is not incumbent upon the Government to fill up the same. But the appointing authority must give reasonable explanation for non-appointment. Equally, the Public Service Commission/recruitment agency shall prepare waiting list only to the extent of anticipated vacancies. In view of the above settled legal position, on error is found in the judgment of the High Court warranting interference.

The appeal is accordingly dismissed. No costs.