

## **K.I. Pavunny vs The Asstt Collector, Cochin on 24 February, 1998**

**Equivalent citations: 1998CRILJ4018, JT1998(2)SC533, 1998(2)SCALE354, (1998)4SCC69, 1998(1)UJ716(SC), AIR 1998 SUPREME COURT 2613**

**Bench: S. Saghir Ahmad, M. Jagannadha Rao**

### **ORDER**

1. The applicant was a practising advocate at Allahabad mainly on the taxation side and was elevated as Judge of the Allahabad High Court. He retired in July, 1992 and has since been enrolled as Senior Advocate in this Court.

2. While deciding Criminal Appeal No. 543 of 1988 some remarks came to be made against his competence as counsel. These applications have been given by him that those remarks may be deleted as he was not given an opportunity to explain his conduct before those remarks were made. It is pointed out by him in his applications as under:

"That as already stated that since the brief of the applicant consisted only of a copy of the High Court judgment and no other papers were there and judgment was reserved and the remarks against the applicant were ultimately made in the judgment dated 3rd February, 1997. The applicant did not get any opportunity to place the handicapped while arguing the case."

3. Having heard the learned counsel who is appearing in person and having perused the judgment, we allow the applications. Remarks made against the applicant personally in the judgment delivered by this Court on February 3, 1997 shall be treated to have been deleted.