

Kishori Lal vs State Of M.P on 19 June, 2007

Equivalent citations: AIR 2007 SUPREME COURT 2457, 2007 (10) SCC 797, 2007 AIR SCW 4380, (2007) 56 ALLINDCAS 251 (SC), (2007) 2 MAD LJ(CRI) 1830, 2007 (8) SCALE 591, 2007 ALL MR(CRI) 2147, 2007 (56) ALLINDCAS 251, 2007 CRILR(SC MAH GUJ) 502, (2007) 2 CRILR(RAJ) 502, 2007 (3) SCC (CRI) 701, (2007) 3 JCC 1829 (SC), (2007) 4 CTC 158 (SC), 2007 (4) CTC 158, (2007) 2 DMC 52, (2007) 37 OCR 769, (2007) 3 ALLCRILR 846, (2007) 3 JAB LJ 148, (2007) 3 RECCRIR 385, (2007) 3 ALLCRIR 3319, (2007) 58 ALLCRIC 1069, (2007) 3 CHANDCRIC 102, 2007 CRILR(SC&MP) 502, (2007) 3 KER LT 259, (2007) 3 CURCRIR 98, (2007) 4 SUPREME 674, (2007) 8 SCALE 591, 2007 (2) ALD(CRL) 727, (2008) 1 ANDHLT(CRI) 298

Author: Arijit Pasayat

Bench: Arijit Pasayat, D.K. Jain

CASE NO.:
Appeal (crl.) 1115 of 1999

PETITIONER:
Kishori Lal

RESPONDENT:
State of M.P.

DATE OF JUDGMENT: 19/06/2007

BENCH:
Dr. ARIJIT PASAYAT & D.K. JAIN

JUDGMENT:

J U D G M E N T Dr. ARIJIT PASAYAT, J.

1. Challenge in this appeal is to the order passed by the learned Single Judge of the Madhya Pradesh High Court, dismissing the appeal filed by the appellant questioning his conviction under Section 306 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (in short 'IPC') and sentencing him to undergo RI for five years.

2. The background facts in a nutshell are as follows:

Appellant was married to Rajkumari (hereinafter referred to as the 'deceased'). On 31.8.1982 she committed suicide. On the basis of information lodged by the accused investigation was undertaken. The accused was arrested for allegedly having abetted

deceased to commit suicide on 31.8.1982. According to the prosecution in the evening of 31.8.1982 the accused left for his duty leaving the deceased in the house. In the evening when he reached the house the room was found closed from inside and the deceased did not respond to his call for opening the door. Apprehending that there was something wrong, he went to Police Station and lodged the report. The police went with him and with the help of persons of the locality broke open the door and found that the deceased had committed suicide by hanging from the roof. After completion of investigation charge sheet was placed and the accused pleaded innocence.

3. Primarily relying on the evidence of PWs. 8, 10 and 11 the Trial Court came to hold that the accused had abetted suicide. Accordingly the conviction was recorded and sentence was imposed. Appeal before the High Court did not bring any relief to the appellant.

4. In support of the appeal, learned counsel for the appellant submitted that the witnesses PWs.8, 10, and 11 who are the brothers and the mother of the deceased clearly stated that after living together for long years some differences cropped up between the deceased and the accused and, therefore, she started living in the house of the parents. On the persuasions of the father-in-law and the brother-in-law she came to the accused's house about a month before the date of occurrence. There was no evidence led to show that the accused was in any manner responsible for suicide. The so-called alleged torture done by the accused as spoken by the mother of the deceased related to the alleged incident about 4- 5 years prior to the occurrence. The post-mortem also did not reveal any mark of violence. In fact, the so called marks were stated to be several days old and there was no evidence to conclude that those injuries were inflicted by the accused.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State submitted that the presumption available under Section 113A of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 (for short 'the Act') can be pressed into service. He, however, fairly conceded that the marriage was more than a decade old when the alleged occurrence took place.

6. Section 107 IPC defines abetment of a thing. The offence of abetment is a separate and distinct offence provided in the Act as an offence. A person, abets the doing of a thing when (1) he instigates any person to do that thing; or (2) engages with one or more other persons in any conspiracy for the doing of that thing; or (3) intentionally aids, by act or illegal omission, the doing of that thing. These things are essential to complete abetment as a crime. The word "instigate" literally means to provoke, incite, urge on or bring about by persuasion to do any thing. The abetment may be by instigation, conspiracy or intentional aid, as provided in the three clauses of Section

107. Section 109 provides that if the act abetted is committed in consequence of abetment and there is no provision for the punishment of such abetment, then the offender is to be punished with the punishment provided for the original offence. 'Abetted' in Section 109 means the specific offence abetted. Therefore, the offence for the abetment of which a person is charged with the abetment is normally linked with the proved offence

7. In cases of alleged abetment of suicide there must be proof of direct or indirect acts of incitement to the commission of suicide. The mere fact that the husband treated the deceased-wife with cruelty is not enough. [See Mahinder Singh v. State of M.P. (1995 AIR SCW 4570)]. Merely on the allegation of harassment conviction in terms of Section 306 IPC is not sustainable. There is ample evidence on record that the deceased was disturbed because she had not given birth to any child. PWs. 8, 10, and 11 have categorically stated that the deceased was disappointed due to the said fact and her failure to beget a child and she was upset due to this.

8. If the background facts are analysed it is crystal clear that the prosecution has failed to establish its case. That being so, the appeal deserves to be allowed, which we direct.

9. The bail bonds of the accused executed for bail on 6.1.1999 shall stand cancelled. We record our appreciation for the able assistance rendered by Shri Shankar Divate, learned amicus curiae.