

Madan Lal vs Neelam Sanjeeva Reddy And Ors on 9 March, 1978

Equivalent citations: 1978 AIR 802, 1978 SCR (3) 465, AIR 1978 SUPREME COURT 802, 1978 2 SCC 348, 1978 U J (SC) 275, 1976 3 SCR 465

Author: Ranjit Singh Sarkaria

Bench: Ranjit Singh Sarkaria, N.L. Untwalia, Jaswant Singh, P.S. Kailasam, V.D. Tulzapurkar

PETITIONER:
MADAN LAL 'DHARTIPAKAR'

Vs.

RESPONDENT:
NEELAM SANJEEVA REDDY AND ORS.

DATE OF JUDGMENT 09/03/1978

BENCH:
SARKARIA, RANJIT SINGH
BENCH:
SARKARIA, RANJIT SINGH
UNTWALIA, N.L.
SINGH, JASWANT
KAILASAM, P.S.
TULZAPURKAR, V.D.

CITATION:
1978 AIR 802 1978 SCR (3) 465
1978 SCC (2) 348

ACT:
Presidential and Vice-Presidential Elections Act (Act 31), 1952, Ss. 5B, 5C, 13(a), 14A-Nomination paper filed without a Proper or a seconder from amongst elections-Locus standi to file election petition, only by a 'candidate within the meaning of S. 13(a).

HEADNOTE:
The nomination paper filed by the Petitioner on July 5, 1977 was rejected by the Returning Officer as it was not subscribed by any elector as proposer or as seconder as required by S. 5 of the Presidential and Vice-Presidential Election Act, 1952. The petitioner challenged the election of the respondent, u/s 14 of the Act.

Dismissing the petition, the Court
HELD: Since there was no compliance with the requirements of
Section 5B(1) of the Act (No. 31 of 1952), the Petitioner
was not a duly nominated 'candidate' within the meaning of
S. 13(a) of the Presidential and Vice-Presidential Elections
(Amendment) Act, 1977 and, as such, has no locus standi to
maintain the petition. [466 B-C]
Charan Lal Sahu v. Neelam Sanjeeva Reddy, [1978] 3 S.C.R., 1
Reiterated.

JUDGMENT:

ORIGINAL JURISDICTION : Election Petition No. 2 of 1977. In Person : for the Petitioner P. Rama Reddy, O. C. Mathur, C. S. Rao & A. V. V. Nair for Respondent No. 1.

S. V. Gupte, Attorney Gen., and R. N. Sachthey for Respondent No. 3.

The Judgment of the Court was delivered by SARKARIA, J.-This is a petition filed by Shri Madan Lal 'Dhartipakar' on August 19, 1977 under the Presidential and Vice-Presidential Election Act, challenging the election of Shri Neelam Sanjeeva Reddy as President of India at the Presidential Election held on July 19, 1977. The petitioner filed a nomination paper on July 5, 1977 but at nomination paper was rejected by the Returning Officer because as he admits-it was not subscribed by any elector as proposer or as seconder. He had thus admittedly not complied with the requirements of Section 5B(1) of the Act. The petitioner has, however, detailed reasons why he could not find any elector to propose or ,second his nomination papers.

When the case came up before, us today, the petitioner requested that the hearing of the Petition be postponed till after the coming Summer Vacation. We explained to him that we did not see any sufficient reason to accede to his request. The adjournment was declined. The petitioner then argued at length urging that the petition should be referred to a larger Bench for decision. We have fully heard and considered all that he had to say in this connection, and we are not persuaded to accede to his request.

Since there was no compliance with the requirements of Section 5B(1) of the Act (No. 31 of 1952), the petitioner was not a duly nominated 'candidate' within the meaning of Section 13(a) of the Presidential and Vice Presidential Elections (Amendment) Act, 1977, and, as such, has no locus standi to maintain this petition; (vide Election Petition No. 1 of 1974 decided by this Court on October 14, 1974). Accordingly we dismiss the petition, but without any order as to costs.

S. R. Petition dismissed.