

Random Forests



- Random Forests have the ability to greatly increase the performance based on expanding ideas from the Decision Tree.
- Random Forests are known as **ensemble** learners, since they rely on an ensemble of models (multiple decision trees).



- Section Overview:
 - Motivation and History
 - Hyperparameters
 - Random Forest Classification
 - Random Forest Regression
 - Comparing many regression models (SVR, DTR, etc...)



Random Forests

Theory and Intuition: Motivation and History




- Why not just continue to use Decision Trees?
- What is the motivation behind Random Forests and how do they improve on Decision Trees?
- Let's think back to the construction of a single decision tree...



• Imagine a data set with features and label:




• Imagine a data set with features and label:







Decision Tree restricted by gini impurity:






Decision Tree restricted by gini impurity:









No guarantee of using all features!









Root node will always be the same!







Root feature has huge influence over tree.






- We could try adjusting rules, such as:
 - Splitting Criterion (Information Gain)
 - Minimum Gini Impurity Decrease
 - Setting Depth Limits
 - Limits on number of terminal leaf nodes

- However even with all these added hyperparameter adjustments, the single decision tree is still limited:
 - Single feature for root node.
 - Splitting criteria can lead to some features not being used.
 - Potential for overfitting to data.





1995: Tin Kam Ho presents Random
 Decision Forests at the 3rd International
 Conference on Document Analysis and
 Recognition in Montreal.















































Create subsets of randomly picked features at each potential split











































































































- Ho and other researchers showed that adding the random feature subspace constraint could allow trees to grow much deeper without causing overfitting.
- Mathematical explanation of this was shown by Eugene Kleinberg's theory of stochastic discrimination.




- 2001: Leo Breiman publishes Random
 Forests in the journal Machine Learning.
- Introduces bootstrapping samples and out of bag error.



• 2001: Leo Breiman publishes *Random Forests* in the journal **Machine Learning**.

• Introduces bootstrapping samples and out

of bag error.



- Let's further explore how Random Forests expand on decision trees by creating an ensemble of estimators (multiple decision trees).
- We will look directly at Scikit-Learn's Random Forest class call and see what additional hyperparameters we have compared to a single decision tree.



Theory and Intuition: Hyperparameters

- Since a Random Forest is an ensemble of many decision trees, many of the hyperparameters between both models are shared.
- Let's explore the important hyperparameters unique to a Random Forest.

class sklearn.tree. **DecisionTreeClassifier**(*, criterion='gini', splitter='best', max_depth=None, min_samples_split=2, min_samples_leaf=1, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, max_features=None, random_state=None, max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, class_weight=None, ccp_alpha=0.0) \P

[source]

class sklearn.ensemble. RandomForestClassifier ($n_estimators=100$, *, criterion='gini', max_depth=None, min_samples_split=2, min_samples_leaf=1, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, max_features='auto', max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, bootstrap=True, oob_score=False, n_jobs=None, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False, class_weight=None, ccp_alpha=0.0, max_samples=None) ¶ [source]

class sklearn.tree. **DecisionTreeClassifier**(*, criterion='gini') splitter='best', max_depth=None, min_samples_split=2, min_samples_leaf=1, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, max_features=None, random_state=None, max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, class_weight=None, ccp_alpha=0.0) \P

[source]

class sklearn.ensemble. RandomForestClassifier ($n_estimators=100$, *, criterion='gini', max_depth=None, min_samples_split=2, min_samples_leaf=1, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, max_features='auto', max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, bootstrap=True, oob_score=False, n_jobs=None, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False, class_weight=None, ccp_alpha=0.0, max_samples=None) \P [source]

```
class sklearn.tree. DecisionTreeClassifier(*, criterion='gini' splitter='best', max_depth=None, min_samples_split=2, min_samples_leaf=1 min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, max_features=None_random_state=None, max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, class_weight=None, ccp_alpha=0.0) [source]
```

```
class sklearn.ensemble. RandomForestClassifier(n_estimators=100, *, criterion='gini', max_depth=None, min_samples_split=2, min_samples_leaf=1, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0, max_features='auto', max_leaf_nodes=None, min_impurity_decrease=0.0, min_impurity_split=None, bootstrap=True, oob_score=False, n_jobs=None, random_state=None, verbose=0, warm_start=False, class_weight=None ccp_alpha=0.0, max_samples=None) \( \begin{align*} \text{ (source]} \end{align*} \]
```

class sklearn.ensemble. RandomForestClassifier $[n_estimators=100]$, *, criterion='gini', max_depth=None, min_samples_split=2, min_samples_leaf=1, min_weight_fraction_leaf=0.0 $[max_estimators=100]$, $[max_estimators=100]$



- Random Forest Hyperparameters:
 - Number of Estimators
 - Number of Features
 - Bootstrap Samples
 - Out-of-Bag Error



- Random Forest Hyperparameters:
 - Number of Estimators
 - How many decision trees to use total in forest?
 - Number of Features
 - How many features to include in each subset?

- Random Forest Hyperparameters:
 - Bootstrap Samples
 - Allow for bootstrap sampling of each training subset of features?
 - Out-of-Bag Error
 - Calculate OOB error during training?



Theory and Intuition: Hyperparameters
Number of Estimators and Features



- Random Forest Hyperparameters:
 - Number of Estimators
 - How many decision trees to use total in forest?
 - Number of Features
 - How many features to include in each subset?



- Number of Estimators
 - Intuitively, we know the more decision trees, the more opportunities to learn from a variety of feature subset combinations.
 - Is there a limit to adding more trees?
 - Is there a danger of overfitting?

- From Leo Breiman's official page on Random Forests:
 - "Random forests does not overfit. You can run as many trees as you want. It is fast."

www.stat.berkeley.edu/~breiman/RandomForests/cc_home.htm#remarks

- How to choose number of trees?
 - Reasonable Default Value: 100
 - Publications suggest 64-128 trees.
 - Cross Validate a grid search of trees.
 - Plot Error versus number of trees (similar to elbow method of KNN).
 - Should notice diminishing error reduction after some N trees.




• Error vs. Trees

Error

Number of Estimators (Trees)



• Error vs. Trees



Number of Estimators (Trees)

- After a certain number of trees, two things that can occur:
 - Different random selections don't reveal any more information.
 - Trees become highly correlated.
 - Different random selections are simply duplicating trees that have already been created.

- This allows us to be quite lenient in setting number of estimators hyperparameters, as overfitting is of minimal concern.
- Now let's discuss how to choose the number of features to randomly select at each split.



- Random Forest Hyperparameters:
 - Number of Features
 - How many features to include in each subset when splitting at a node?



- Number of Features in Subset?
 - Original Publication suggested subset of log₂(N+1) random features in subset given a set of N total features.



- From Leo Breiman's official page on Random Forests:
 - "An interesting difference between regression and classification is that the correlation increases quite slowly as the number of features used increases."
 - www.stat.berkeley.edu/~breiman/RandomForests/cc_home.htm#remarks



- Number of Features in Subset?
 - Current suggested convention is sqrt(N) in the subset given N features.
 - Later suggestions by Breiman indicated N/3 may be more suitable for regression tasks, typically larger than sqrt(N).



- Number of Features in Subset?
 - ISLR indicates this should be treated as a tuning parameter, with sqrt(N) as a good starting point.
 - All the previous options shown were explored using empirical methods, so it is likely you will need to adjust based on your specific dataset.

- Hyperparameter Review:
 - Number of Estimators:
 - Start with 100 as default, feel free to grid search for higher values.
 - Number of Features for Selection:
 - Start with sqrt(N), grid search for other possible values (N/3).



 Now let's move on to exploring Bootstrapping and Out-of-Bag Error!



Theory and Intuition: Hyperparameters
Bootstrap Samples and OOB Error

- Random Forest Hyperparameters:
 - Bootstrap Samples
 - Allow for bootstrap sampling of each training subset of features?
 - Out-of-Bag Error
 - Calculate OOB error during training?



- Bootstrap Samples
 - Allow for bootstrap sampling of each training subset of features?
 - First, let's understand "bootstrapping" in general terms...



- What is Bootstrapping?
 - A term used to describe "random sampling with replacement".
 - Let's see a quick example given a set of letters...




A

C


D

E







































- Bootstrapping in Random Forest
 - Recall for each split we are randomly selecting a subset of features.
 - This random subset of features helps create more diverse trees that are not correlated to each other.








- Bootstrapping in Random Forest
 - To further differentiate trees, we could bootstrap a selection of rows for each split.
 - This results in two randomized training components:
 - Subset of Features Used
 - Bootstrapped rows of data




				Υ
0				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				





				Υ
0				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				







- Bootstrapping can be set to False during training (it is True by default).
- Bootstrapping is yet another hyperparameter meant to reduce correlation between trees, since trees are then trained on different subsets of feature columns and data rows!



- Random Forest Hyperparameters:
 - Out-of-Bag Error
 - Calculate OOB error during training?



- What is Bagging?
 - Recall to actually use a Random
 Forest, we use bootstrapped data and then calculate a prediction based on the aggregated prediction of the trees:
 - Classification: Most Voted Y Class
 - Regression: Average Predicted Ys




- What is Bagging?
 - Recall to actually use a Random
 Forest, we use bootstrapped data and
 then calculate a prediction based on
 the aggregated prediction of the
 trees:
 - Classification: Most Voted Y Class
 - Regression: Average Predicted Ys




- What is Bagging?
 - If we performed bootstrapping when building out trees, this means that for certain trees, certain rows of data were not used for training.












				Υ
0				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				













Out-of-Bag Samples


- Not used for constructing some trees.
- We could use these to get performance test metrics on trees that did not use these rows!

				Υ
0				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
























- Note that OOB Score is a hyperparameter that doesn't really affect training process.
- It is separate from bootstrapping, OOB
 Score is an optional way of measuring
 performance, an alternative to using a
 standard train/test split, since
 bootstrapping naturally results in unused
 data during training.

- Note that OOB Score is also limited to not using all the trees in the random forest, it can only be calculated on trees that did not use the OOB data!
- Due to not using the entire random forest, the default value of OOB Score hyperparameter is set to False.



- We now understand the theory and intuition behind Random Forests and the specific hyperparameters we can adjust.
- Let's move on to exploring how to use Random Forests for Classification and Regression!



Coding Classification - Part One Simple Random Forest Example



- Let's revisit our penguin data set, but now use the Random Forest classifier.
- Afterwards, we'll move on to a larger banknote authentication data set to explore hyperparameters like number of estimators and bootstrapping through a grid search.



Coding Classification - Part Two Random Forest with Grid Search

- Now we'll explore a larger data set to walk through a more realistic work flow of using Random Forest which would involve a GridSearch with Cross-Validation.
- We will use the popular banknote authentication data set from the UC Irvine ML Data Repository.



- Banknote Authentication Dataset
 - Real and fake bills scanned and a wavelet transformation was performed on the image.





- Banknote Authentication Dataset Features:
 - Variance of Wavelet Transformation
 - Skewness of Wavelet Transformation
 - Curtosis of Wavelet Transformation
 - Entropy of Image



Random Forests

Regression Lecture Series Overview



- Let's now explore a regression task (continuous label) with Random Forest.
- We will also compare Random Forest Regression with a wide variety of other regression models!



- Data Tunnel Boring
 - We will be working with some artificial data modelling X-ray signal versus rock density.
 - Let's get a quick idea of what situation this is modelling.



Boring a new tunnel into rock





Using large tunnel boring machine





 Boring machines can have different cutting shields depending on the rock density:






- Use X-ray signals to determine rock density.
- Based on a rebound signal strength in nHz, we can estimate a density of rock in kg/m³.
- We have some experimental results based on lab tests on a variety of rock samples.

Random Forests

Resulting data looks like this:






 Our goal is to build a generalized model, which we could then use to accept a rebound signal, and output an expected rock density.

Random Forests

Example model on top of data:



- This generalized model would allow us to go out in the field, take a rebound signal measurement, and then report back an expected rock density value.
- For this lecture series, we will be comparing a variety of regression models against each other.



- Models Explored:
 - Linear Regression
 - Polynomial Regression
 - KNN Regression
 - Decision Tree Regression
 - Support Vector Regression
 - Boosted Trees Regression
 - Random Forest Regression



- Things to keep in mind:
 - Similar to our first studies on Linear Regression, we simply need our model to predict output for the expected range of rebound signal.
 - We'll create prediction outputs for an expected signal range (OnHz-100nHz)



- Things to keep in mind:
 - We will be able to easily visualize model output to compare regression outputs.
 - Keep this in mind as you compare outputs (e.g. smoothed output versus step/jagged output)