

Boosting



- We've learned about single Decision Trees and have seeked to improve upon them with Random Forest models.
- Let's now explore another methodology of seeking to improve on the single decision tree, known as **boosting**.



- Section Overview:
 - Boosting and Meta-Learning
 - AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting) Theory
 - Example of AdaBoost
 - Gradient Boosting Theory
 - Example of Gradient Boosting



- Related Reading:
 - ISLR: Section 8.2.3
 - Relevant Wikipedia Articles:
 - wikipedia.org/wiki/Boosting_(machine_learning)
 - wikipedia.org/wiki/AdaBoost



Boosting

Motivation and History



- The concept of **boosting** is not actually a machine learning algorithm, it is methodology applied to an existing machine learning algorithm, most commonly applied to the decision tree.
- Let's explore this idea of a meta-learning algorithm by reviewing a simple application and formula.



$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x)$$



$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T \widehat{f_t(x)} \qquad \widehat{f_t(x) = lpha_t h(x)}$$



$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T \widehat{f_t(x)} \qquad \widehat{f_t(x) = lpha_t h(x)}$$

 Implies that a combination of estimators with an applied coefficient could act as an effective ensemble estimator.



$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T \widehat{f_t(x)} \qquad \widehat{igg[f_t(x) = lpha_t h(x)igg]}$$

 Note h(x) can in theory be any machine learning algorithm (estimator/learner).



$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T \widehat{f_t(x)} \qquad \widehat{igg[f_t(x) = lpha_t h(x)igg]}$$

 Can an ensemble of weak learners (very simple models) be a strong learner when combined?



$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T \widehat{f_t(x)} \qquad \widehat{igg[f_t(x) = lpha_t h(x)igg]}$$

 For decision tree models, we can use simple trees in place of h(x) and combine them with the coefficients on each model.



- The idea of **gradient boosting** originated from Leo Breiman when he observed that boosting can be interpreted as an optimization algorithm on a cost function in publications in the late 1990s.
- Later on Jerome H. Friedman and many others developed more explicit formulations of gradient boosting.



 Also in the late 1990s Yoav Freund and Robert Schapire developed the AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting) algorithm, which also combines weak learners in an ensemble to create a stronger model.



- Let's continue by focusing first on AdaBoost and building an understanding of how to combine weak learners to create a strong estimator.
- We will also explore why Decision Trees are so well suited for boosting.



AdaBoost

Intuition and Theory



- AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting) works by using an ensemble of weak learners and then combining them through the use of a weighted sum.
- Adaboost adapts by using previously created weak learners in order to adjust misclassified instances for the next created weak learner.




• What is a **weak learner**?



- What is a **weak learner**?
 - A weak model is a model that is too simple to perform well on its own.



- What is a weak learner?
 - A weak model is a model that is too simple to perform well on its own.
 - The weakest decision tree possible would be a **stump**, one node and two leaves!







- Unlike a single decision tree which fits to all the data at once (fitting the data hard), AdaBoost aggregates multiple weak learners, allowing the overall ensemble model to learn slowly from the features.
- Let's first understand how this works from a data perspective!




• Imagine a classification task:


















































 How can we combine stumps? How to improve performance with an ensemble?















AdaBoost Process: Main Formulas




$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x)$$






$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x) \qquad \boxed{f_t(x) = lpha_t h(x)}$$





$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x) \qquad f_t(x) = lpha_t h(x)$$






$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x)$$
 $f_t(x) = \alpha_t h(x)$

$$E_t = \sum_{\cdot} E[F_{t-1}(x_i) + \boxed{lpha_t} h(x_i)]$$






$$F_T(x) = \sum_{t=1}^T f_t(x) \qquad f_t(x) = lpha_t h(x)$$

$$E_t = \sum_i E[F_{t-1}(x_i) + lpha_t h(x_i)]$$




AdaBoost Process: Algorithm Steps



With:

- •Samples $x_1 \dots x_n$
- ullet Desired outputs $y_1 \dots y_n, y \in \{-1,1\}$
- ullet Initial weights $w_{1,1} \dots w_{n,1}$ set to $rac{1}{n}$
- ulletError function $E(f(x),y,i)=e^{-y_if(x_i)}$
- •Weak learners $h: x \to \{-1, 1\}$






For t in $1 \dots T$:

- ullet Choose $h_t(x)$:
 - ullet Find weak learner $h_t(x)$ that minimizes ϵ_t , the weighted sum error for misclassified points $\epsilon_t = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{i,t}$

$$ullet$$
 Choose $lpha_t = rac{1}{2} \ln igg(rac{1-\epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}igg)$

Boosting

AdaBoost Process:




For t in $1 \dots T$:

- •Add to ensemble:
 - $ullet F_t(x) = F_{t-1}(x) + lpha_t h_t(x)$
- •Update weights:
 - $ullet w_{i,t+1} = w_{i,t} e^{-y_i lpha_t h_t(x_i)}$ for i in $1 \dots n$
 - ullet Renormalize $w_{i,t+1}$ such that

$$\sum_i w_{i,t+1} = 1$$




AdaBoost Process: Visual Walkthrough





AdaBoost Process: Visual Walkthrough




With:


- •Samples $x_1 \dots x_n$
- ullet Desired outputs $y_1 \dots y_n, y \in \{-1,1\}$
- •Initial weights $w_{1,1} \dots w_{n,1}$ set to $\frac{1}{n}$
- ulletError function $E(f(x),y,i)=e^{-y_if(x_i)}$
- •Weak learners $h: x o \{-1,1\}$




AdaBoost Process: Visual Walkthrough











- •Choose $h_t(x)$:
 - ullet Find weak learner $h_t(x)$ that minimizes ϵ_t , the weighted sum error for misclassified

points
$$\epsilon_t = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ h_t(x_i)
eq y_i}}^n w_{i,t}$$









- •Choose $h_t(x)$:
 - ullet Find weak learner $h_t(x)$ that minimizes ϵ_t , the weighted sum error for misclassified

points
$$\epsilon_t = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ h_t(x_i)
eq y_i}}^n w_{i,t}$$









- •Choose $h_t(x)$:
 - ullet Find weak learner $h_t(x)$ that minimizes ϵ_t , the weighted sum error for misclassified

points
$$\epsilon_t = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ h_t(x_i)
eq y_i}}^n w_{i,t}$$

•Choose
$$lpha_t = rac{1}{2} \ln igg(rac{1-\epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}igg)$$









- •Choose $h_t(x)$:
 - ullet Find weak learner $h_t(x)$ that minimizes ϵ_t , the weighted sum error for misclassified

points
$$\epsilon_t = \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ h_t(x_i)
eq y_i}}^n w_{i,t}$$


•Choose
$$lpha_t = rac{1}{2} \ln igg(rac{1-\epsilon_t}{\epsilon_t}igg)$$













































































$$\alpha_{t} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \end{array} \right\} \quad \alpha_{t+1} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \end{array} \right\} \quad \alpha_{t+2} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \end{array} \right\}$$





$$\alpha_{t} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \end{array} \right\} \qquad \alpha_{t+1} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \end{array} \right\} \qquad \alpha_{t+2} \approx \left\{ \begin{array}{c} x \\ \end{array} \right\}$$



- AdaBoost uses an ensemble of weak learners that learn slowly in series.
- Certain weak learners have more "say" in the final output than others due to the multiplied alpha parameter.
- Each subsequent **t** weak learner is built using a reweighted data set from the **t-1** weak learner.



- Intuition of Adaptive Boosting:
 - Each stump essentially represents the strength of a feature to predict.
 - Building these stumps in series and adding in the alpha parameter allows us to intelligently combine the importance of each feature together.



- Notes on Adaptive Boosting:
 - Unlike Random Forest, it is possible to overfit with AdaBoost, however it takes many trees to do this.
 - Usually error has already stabilized way before enough trees are added to cause overfitting.



Gradient Boosting

Theory and Intuition



- Gradient Boosting is a very similar idea to AdaBoost, where weak learners are created in series in order to produce a strong ensemble model.
- Gradient Boosting makes use of the residual error for learning.



- Gradient Boosting vs. Adaboost:
 - Larger Trees allowed in Gradient Boosting.
 - Learning Rate coefficient same for all weak learners.
 - Gradual series learning is based on training on the **residuals** of the previous model.




Gradient Boosting Regression Example

Area m ²	Bedrooms	Bathrooms	Price
200	3	2	\$500,000
190	2	1	\$462,000
230	3	3	\$565,000



• Train a decision tree on data


Area m ²	Bedrooms	Bathrooms	Price
200	3	2	\$500,000
190	2	1	\$462,000
230	3	3	\$565,000





Note - not just a stump!


Area m ²	Bedrooms	Bathrooms	Price
200	3	2	\$500,000
190	2	1	\$462,000
230	3	3	\$565,000





• Get predicted ŷ value


Area m ²	Bedrooms	Bathrooms	Price
200	3	2	\$500,000
190	2	1	\$462,000
230	3	3	\$565,000





• Get predicted ŷ value


Area m ²	Bedrooms	Bathrooms	у
200	3	2	\$500,000
190	2	1	\$462,000
230	3	3	\$565,000





• Get predicted ŷ value


у	ŷ
\$500,000	\$509,000
\$462,000	\$509,000
\$565,000	\$509,000





Calculate residual: e = y-ŷ

у	ŷ	е
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000






Create new model to predict the error

у	ŷ	е
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000



• Create new model to predict the error


у	ŷ	е
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000





Create new model to predict the error

у	ŷ	е	f1
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000	-\$8,000
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000	-\$50,000
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000	\$50,000






Create new model to predict the error

у	ŷ	е	f1
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000	-\$8,000
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000	-\$50,000
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000	\$50,000

Area m ²	Bedrooms	Bathrooms
200	3	2
190	2	1
230	3	3






• Create new model to predict the error

у	ŷ	е	f1
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000	-\$8,000
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000	-\$50,000
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000	\$50,000


Area m²	Bedrooms	Bathrooms
200	3	2
190	2	1
230	3	3





Update prediction using error prediction


у	ŷ	е	f1
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000	-\$8,000
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000	-\$50,000
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000	\$50,000





Update prediction using error prediction


У	ŷ	е	f1	F1 = ŷ + f1
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000	-\$8,000	
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000	-\$50,000	
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000	\$50,000	





Update prediction using error prediction


У	ŷ	е	f1	F1 = ŷ + f1
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000	-\$8,000	\$501,000
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000	-\$50,000	\$459,000
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000	\$50,000	\$559,000





• We can continue this process in series

у	ŷ	е	f1	F1 = ŷ + f1
\$500,000	\$509,000	-\$9,000	-\$8,000	\$501,000
\$462,000	\$509,000	-\$47,000	-\$50,000	\$459,000
\$565,000	\$509,000	\$56,000	\$50,000	\$559,000





Gradient Boosting Process

$$F_m = F_{m-1} + f_m$$



Gradient Boosting Process

$$F_m = F_{m-1} + f_m$$

$$F_m = F_{m-1} + (\text{learning rate} * f_m)$$



- Gradient Boosting Process
 - Create initial model: f_o
 - Train another model on error

$$\bullet$$
 e = y - f_o

Create new prediction

$$F_1 = f_0 + \eta f_1$$

Repeat as needed

$$F_m = f_{m-1} + \eta f_m$$



 Note, for classification we can use the logit as an error metric:

$$\hat{y} = \log\left(rac{\hat{p}}{1-\hat{p}}
ight) \qquad \hat{p} = rac{1}{1+e^{-\hat{y}}}$$



- Note, the learning rate is the same for each new model in the series, it is **not** unique to each subsequent model (unlike AdaBoost's alpha coefficient).
- Gradient Boosting is fairly robust to overfitting, allowing for the number of estimators to be set high be default (~100).



- Gradient Boosting Intuition
 - We optimize the series of trees by learning on the residuals, forcing subsequent trees to attempt to correct for the error in the previous trees.



- Gradient Boosting Intuition
 - o The trade-off is training time.
 - A learning rate is between 0-1, which means a very low value would mean each subsequent tree has little "say", meaning more trees need to be created, causing a longer computational training time.