

**Assignment 1**

1. (1)  $f_{\text{processing}} = 0.2$   
 $f_{\text{disk}} = 0.3$   
 $f_{\text{network}} = 0.5$

Base system can handle 10,000 web page accesses/sec and costs \$5k.

**Amdahl's Law,**

$$S = \frac{1}{(1-f) + \frac{f}{k}}$$

**(a) Option 1: Replacing the disk with a disk supporting 40 Mbytes/sec with an additional cost of \$1000,**

The disk speedup,  $k_{\text{disk}} = 40/20 = 2$

Using Amdahl's law,  $S_1 = \frac{1}{(1-0.3) + \frac{0.3}{2}} = 1.176$

New performance =  $1.176 \times 10000 = 11760$  web page accesses/sec

**(b) Option 2 : Replacing the processor with an 800 MHz processor with an additional cost of \$800,**

The processor speedup,  $k_{\text{processor}} = 800/500 = 1.6$

Using Amdahl's law,  $S_2 = \frac{1}{(1-0.2) + \frac{0.2}{1.6}} = 1.081$

New performance =  $1.081 \times 10000 = 10810$  web page accesses/sec

**(c) Option 3 : Using both enhancements with an additional cost of \$1500,**

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{(1-(0.3+0.2)) + \frac{0.3}{2} + \frac{0.2}{1.6}} = 1.29$$

New performance =  $1.29 \times 10000 = 12900$  web page accesses/sec

(2) **cost-performance ratio** =  $\frac{\text{Additional cost}}{\text{Performance improvement}}$

**(a) Option 1: Replacing the disk with a disk supporting 40 Mbytes/sec with an additional cost of \$1000,**

Performance improvement =  $11760 - 10000 = 1760$

cost-performance ratio =  $\frac{1000}{1760} = 0.568$

**(b) Option 2 : Replacing the processor with an 800 MHz processor with an additional cost of \$800,**

$$\text{Performance improvement} = 10810 - 10000 = 810$$

$$\text{cost-performance ratio} = \frac{800}{810} = 0.988$$

**(c) Option 3 : Using both enhancements with an additional cost of \$1500,**

$$\text{Performance improvement} = 12900 - 10000 = 2900$$

$$\text{cost-performance ratio} = \frac{1500}{2900} = 0.517$$

Based on the cost-performance analysis, **option 3**(using both enhancements) has the lowest cost-performance ratio of **0.517**, making it the best cost-effective choice.

2.  $f$  - fraction of work performed on the enhanced component  
 $k$  - speedup factor of the enhanced component  
 $f_d$  - fraction of work performed by dependent component  
 $s_d$  - slowdown factor of the dependent component

To derive the parameterized speed-up equations for each of the given options, we'll take into account both the speedup of the enhanced component and the slowdown of the dependent component.

**Modified Amdahl's law that includes the negative impact on the dependent component,**

$$S = \frac{1}{(1-f-fd) + \frac{f}{k} + fd.sd}$$

**(1) Option A :**

Speedup of component A,  $k_A = 10$

Fraction of instructions using A,  $f_A = f$

Slowdown of component B,  $s_B = 5$

Fraction of instructions using B affected by A,  $f_B = 2 \cdot f$

The speedup equation for Option A is

$$S_A = \frac{1}{(1-f-2f) + \frac{f}{10} + 2f.5}$$

$$S_A = \frac{1}{1+6.9f}$$

**Option B :**

Speedup of component B,  $k_B = 20$

Fraction of instructions using B,  $f_B = f$

Slowdown of component A,  $s_A = 2$

Fraction of instructions using A affected by B,  $f_A = 0.5 \cdot f$

The speedup equation for Option B is

$$S_B = \frac{1}{(1-f-0.5f) + \frac{f}{20} + 0.5f \cdot 2}$$

$$S_B = \frac{1}{1-0.45f}$$

**Option C :**

Speedup of component A,  $k_A = 4$

Fraction of instructions using A,  $f_A = f$

Slowdown of component B,  $s_B = 1.8$

Fraction of instructions using B affected by A,  $f_B = f$

The speedup equation for Option C is

$$S_C = \frac{1}{(1-f-f) + \frac{f}{4} + f \cdot 1.8}$$

$$S_C = \frac{1}{1-1.55f}$$

(2) Option A :  $S_A = \frac{1}{1+6.9f}$

Option A offers a significant speed-up  $S_A$  with the speedup factor of component A being 10. However, it also imposes a slowdown factor of 5 on the dependent component B, affecting a fraction of  $2f$  of its instructions. This means that while component A sees a substantial improvement, component B experiences a considerable slowdown due to the dependency.

Option B :  $S_B = \frac{1}{1-0.45f}$

Option B offers a moderate speed-up  $S_B$  with the speedup factor of component B being 20. However, it imposes a smaller slowdown factor of 2 on the dependent component A, affecting a fraction of  $0.5f$  of its instructions.

Option C :  $S_C = \frac{1}{1-1.55f}$

Option C offers a modest speed-up  $S_C$  the speedup factor of component A being 4. It imposes a slowdown factor of 1.8 on the dependent component B, affecting the same fraction ( $f$ ) of its instructions as component A.

**Option B** may be the preferred choice for a beginner architect. It offers a significant speedup for component B while imposing a relatively small slowdown on component A. This option provides a more predictable performance improvement and is less sensitive to variations in the workload distribution between components A and B compared to the other options.

3. **Amdahl's Law,**

$$S = \frac{1}{(1-f) + \frac{f}{k}}$$

**(a) System 1,**

$$f_1 = 0.45$$

$$k_1 = \frac{\text{Execution time without enhancement}}{\text{Execution time with enhancement}} = \frac{10}{1} = 10$$

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{(1-0.45) + \frac{0.45}{10}} = 1.68$$

**System 2,**

$$f_2 = 0.35$$

$$k_2 = \frac{\text{Execution time without enhancement}}{\text{Execution time with enhancement}} = \frac{7}{2} = 3.5$$

$$S_2 = \frac{1}{(1-0.35) + \frac{0.35}{3.5}} = 1.33$$

**System 3,**

$$f_3 = 0.20$$

$$k_3 = \frac{\text{Execution time without enhancement}}{\text{Execution time with enhancement}} = \frac{5.0}{1.5} = 3.33$$

$$S_3 = \frac{1}{(1-0.20) + \frac{0.20}{3.33}} = 1.16$$

Comparing the speedups, System 1 has the highest speedup and will provide the best performance for the laboratory.

**(b) System 1:**

$$\text{Cost} = \$8000$$

$$\text{Performance Speedup } S_1 = 1.68$$

$$\text{Cost-performance ratio} = \frac{8000}{1.68} = 4761.90$$

**System 2:**

$$\text{Cost} = \$5000$$

Performance Speedup  $S_2 = 1.33$

Cost-performance ratio =  $\frac{5000}{1.33} = 3759.40$

**System 3:**

Cost = \$6500

Performance Speedup  $S_3 = 1.16$

Cost-performance ratio =  $\frac{6500}{1.16} = 5603.45$

Comparing the cost-performance ratio, **System 2** has the lowest ratio, and provides a reasonable balance between cost and performance.