

This is an example of inverse design of slow light waveguides based on supercell band structure calculations. For the  $h_z$  polarization, the band structure calculation is governed by

$$\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon_r} \nabla h \right) + \left( \frac{\omega}{c} \right)^2 h = 0 \quad (1)$$


under the Floquet-Bloch wave boundary conditions as

$$h(x, a) = \exp(ika) h(x, 0) \quad h(0, y) = h(b, y). \quad (2)$$

The discrete expression obtained using the finite element method is stated as

$$(\mathbf{K}_k - \omega^2 \mathbf{M}) \mathbf{h} = 0. \quad (3)$$

The considered supercell, initial design and corresponding design domain are illustrated in the figure below.



The design problem is stated as

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\rho_j} \quad & \max_{\eta} \quad \max_{k_i} \quad f(\bar{\rho}_\eta) = \left( \frac{c(k_i - k_{i-1})}{\omega_n^\eta(k_{i-1}) - \omega_n^\eta(k_i)} - n_g^* \right)^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \left[ \mathbf{K}_k^\eta - (\omega^\eta)^2 \mathbf{M}^\eta \right] \mathbf{h}^\eta = 0 \\ & \max_{k_{ii}} \omega_{n-1}^\eta(k_{ii}) \leq a_1 \min_{k_i} \omega_n^\eta(k_i) \\ & \omega_n^\eta(0) \geq a_2 \max_{k_i} \omega_n^\eta(k_i) \\ & \min_{k_{ii}} \omega_{n+1}^\eta(k_{ii}) \geq a_2 \max_{k_i} \omega_n^\eta(k_i) \\ & f_v = \frac{\sum_j \bar{\rho}_j^\eta v_j}{\sum_j v_j} \leq 1 \\ & 0 \leq \rho_j \leq 1 \\ & j = 1, \dots, N, \quad i = 2, \dots, m, \quad a_1 < 1, \quad a_2 > 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The relevant parameters are defined below:

- Discretization:

408x40 bilinear quadrilateral elements

- Regularization:

density filter (filter radius:  $1/8a$ ) + projection

- Continuation scheme in the projection

For every 40th iteration or if (  $\{ \Delta\rho < 1e-3$  or  $\Delta f < 1e-3$  } and  $\beta < 50$ , set  $\beta = 1.3\beta$ .  
If  $\Delta\rho < 1e-4$  or  $\Delta f < 1e-4$ , terminate.

- Interpolation of the relative permittivity of element  $e$ :

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon_e^\eta} = (1 - \bar{\rho}_e^\eta) \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{air}}} + \bar{\rho}_e^\eta \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{Si}}}$$

- Robust formulation:  $\eta \in [0.35, 0.5, 0.65]$ .

- $n_g^* = 25$

- Target  $k$  points: 7 equidistant points  $k \in [0.3875, 0.4625]2\pi/a$

- $a_1 = 0.9$  and  $a_2 = 1.1$

The blue print design with  $\eta = 0.5$  obtained using the robust optimization formulation considering the parameters above and corresponding performance are shown in the figure below.

