# A Guided Tour of Chapter 4: Function Approximation and Approximate DP

Ashwin Rao

ICME, Stanford University

# From DP to Approximate ADP (abbrev. ADP)

- Dynamic Programming algorithms meant for non-large finite spaces
- DP algorithms typically sweep through all states in each iteration
- Cannot do this for large finite spaces or for infinite spaces
- Requires us to generalize to function approximation of Value Function
  - Sample an appropriate subset of states
  - Calculate the Value Function for those states (Bellman calculation)
  - Create/Update a func approx with the sampled states' calculated values
- Also, can sample transitions to estimate DP algo's Bellman update
- The fundamental structure of the algorithms is still the same
- Fundamental principles (Fixed-Point/Bellman Operators) still same
- These generalizations known as Approximate Dynamic Programming

# Theory of Function Approximations

- We work with a generic but simple setting for Function Approximation
- Predictor variable  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  (generic domain), Response variable  $y \in \mathbb{R}$
- We treat x, y as unknown random variables, and want to estimate a function approximation for  $\mathbb{P}[y|x]$  from data in the form of (x, y) pairs
- ullet We consider parameterized functions f with parameters denoted w
- Exact data type of w will depend on specific form of function approx
- Denote the estimated probability of y|x as f(x; w)(y)
- Assume given data in the form of a sequence of n(x, y) pairs:

$$[(x_i,y_i)|1\leq i\leq n]$$

• Estimating  $\mathbb{P}[y|x]$  is formalized by solving for  $w = w^*$  such that:

$$w^* = \arg\max_{w} \{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i; w)(y_i) \} = \arg\max_{w} \{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f(x_i; w)(y_i) \}$$

# Maximum Likelihood and Cross-Entropy Loss

- This is the framework of Maximum Likelihood Estimation of y|x
- Data  $[(x_i, y_i)|1 \le i \le n]$  specifies empirical probability distribution D
- Parameterized function f specifies model probability distribution M
- ullet So we are in the business of reconciling D and M
- So this is minimizing Cross-Entropy Loss between D and M

Cross-Entropy Loss 
$$\mathcal{H}(D,M) = -\mathbb{E}_D[\log M]$$

• We want to allow for incremental estimation (with data at each t):

$$[(x_{t,i}, y_{t,i})|1 \le i \le n_t]$$

- Parameters update from  $w_{t-1}$  to  $w_t$  with say gradient descent
- Allow for full batch, mini-batch or single pair (eg: SGD)
- With an estimate of f(x; w), we can predict y|x as  $\mathbb{E}_M[y|x]$ :

$$\mathbb{E}_{M}[y|x] = \mathbb{E}_{f(x;w)}[y] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y \cdot f(x;w)(y) \cdot dy$$

# The @abstractclass FunctionApprox

```
class FunctionApprox(ABC, Generic[X]):
    @abstractmethod
    def solve (
        self.
        xy_vals_seq: Iterable [Tuple [X, float]],
        error_tolerance: Optional[float] = None
    ) -> FunctionApprox[X]:
    @abstractmethod
    def evaluate(
        self.
        x_values_seq: Iterable[X]
    ) -> np.ndarray:
```

# The @abstractclass FunctionApprox

```
@abstractmethod
def update(
    self.
    xy_vals_seq: Iterable [Tuple [X, float]]
) -> FunctionApprox[X]:
    pass
def iterate_updates(
    self.
    xy_seq_stream: Iterator[Iterable[Tuple[X, floa
) -> Iterator [FunctionApprox [X]]:
    return iterate.accumulate(
        xy_seq_stream ,
        lambda fa , xy: fa .update(xy),
        initial=self
```

# Linear Function Approximation

- Define a sequence of feature functions  $\phi_j: \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}, j=1,2,\ldots,m$
- Parameters w is a weights vector  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- Linear function approximation assumes gaussian distribution for y|x

with mean 
$$=\sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(x)\cdot w_j$$
 and constant variance  $\sigma^2$ 

$$\mathbb{P}[y|x] = f(x; \boldsymbol{w})(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(y - \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(x) \cdot w_j)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

• Regularized cross-entropy loss function for data  $[x_i, y_i | 1 \le i \le n]$ :

$$\mathcal{L}(\boldsymbol{w}) = \frac{1}{2n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\sum_{j=1}^{m} \phi_j(x_i) \cdot w_j - y_i)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \lambda \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j^2$$

- ullet This ignores constants involving  $\sigma$ , and  $\lambda$  is regularization coefficient
- So  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})$  is just MSE of linear predictions  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \phi_j(x_i) \cdot w_j$

# Linear Function Approximation with Gradient Descent

• Gradient of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})$  with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  works out to:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(x_i) \cdot (\phi(x_i) \cdot \mathbf{w} - y_i)) + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{w}$$
$$\phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \dots, \phi_m(x)) \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X}$$

- Solve for  $\mathbf{w}^*$  by incremental estimation using gradient descent
- Gradient estimate  $\mathcal{G}_{(x_t,y_t)}(\mathbf{w}_t)$  for time t-data  $[(x_{t,i},y_{t,i})|1 \leq i \leq n_t]$ :

$$\mathcal{G}_{(\mathsf{x}_t, y_t)}(\mathbf{w}_t) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^{n_t} \phi(\mathsf{x}_{t,i}) \cdot (\phi(\mathsf{x}_{t,i}) \cdot \mathbf{w}_t - y_{t,i})) + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{w}_t$$

- ullet Interpreted as the weighted-mean of the feature vectors  $\phi(\mathsf{x}_{t,i})$
- Weighted by the (scalar) linear prediction errors  $\phi(x_{t,i}) \cdot \mathbf{w}_t y_{t,i}$
- ullet So the update to the weights vector  $oldsymbol{w}$  is given by:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t - \alpha_t \cdot \mathcal{G}_{(\mathbf{x}_t, \mathbf{y}_t)}(\mathbf{w}_t)$$

# Direct Solution of Linear Function Approximation

- If feature functions not too large, we can directly solve for  $w^*$
- Assume the entire provided data is  $[(x_i, y_i)|1 \le i \le n]$
- Then the gradient estimate based can be set to 0 to solve for  $w^*$

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi(x_i) \cdot (\phi(x_i) \cdot \mathbf{w}^* - y_i)\right) + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{w}^* = 0$$

- Denote  $\Phi$  as  $n \times m$  matrix:  $\Phi_{i,j} = \phi_j(x_i)$
- Denote column vector  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  defined as  $\mathbf{Y}_i = y_i$

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \cdot (\mathbf{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{w}^{*} - \mathbf{Y}) + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{w}^{*} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{\Phi} + n\lambda \cdot \mathbf{I}_{m}) \cdot \mathbf{w}^{*} = \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{w}^{*} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{\Phi} + n\lambda \cdot \mathbf{I}_{m})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{\Phi}^{T} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$$

# Deep Neural Networks (of vanilla flavor)

- Deep Neural Network (DNN) layers numbered l = 0, 1, ... L
- ullet Denote input and output to layer I as vectors  $I_I$  and  $O_I$

$$\emph{\textbf{I}}_0 = \phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m$$
 and  $\emph{\textbf{O}}_\emph{\textbf{L}} = \mathbb{E}_\emph{\textbf{M}}[y|x]$  and  $\emph{\textbf{I}}_\emph{\emph{\textbf{I}}+1} = \emph{\textbf{O}}_\emph{\emph{\textbf{I}}}$ 

- ullet Denote layer I parameters as  $|m{O_I}| imes |m{I_I}|$  matrix  $m{w_I}$
- ullet Layer I neurons define a linear transformation from  $I_I$  to  $S_I$

$$S_{I} = w_{I} \cdot I_{I}$$
 and  $O_{I} = g_{I}(S_{I})$ 

- ullet where  $g_I:\mathbb{R} o \mathbb{R}$  is the activation function for layer I
- Forward-propagation composes layers' linear and activation functions
- ullet Back-propagation calculates cross-entropy loss gradient  $abla_{oldsymbol{w_l}} \mathcal{L}$
- ullet Gradient Descent updates for weights  $oldsymbol{w_l}$  proportional to  $abla_{oldsymbol{w_l}} \mathcal{L}$

# Back-prop as Recursive Gradient Calculation

ullet Loss gradient can be reduced to calculating  $oldsymbol{P_I} = 
abla_{oldsymbol{S_I}} \mathcal{L}$ 

$$\nabla_{w_{\boldsymbol{l}}}\mathcal{L} = (\nabla_{\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{l}}}\mathcal{L})^T \cdot \nabla_{w_{\boldsymbol{l}}}\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{l}} = \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{l}}^T \cdot \nabla_{w_{\boldsymbol{l}}}\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{l}} = \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{l}} \cdot \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{l}}^T = \boldsymbol{P}_{\boldsymbol{l}} \otimes \boldsymbol{I}_{\boldsymbol{l}}$$

• Including  $L^2$  regularization (with regularization coefficients  $\lambda_I$ ):

$$abla_{\mathbf{w_l}} \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P_l} \otimes \mathbf{I_l} + \lambda_l \cdot \mathbf{w_l}$$

 $\bullet$   $\cdot$  is inner-product,  $\otimes$  is outer-product,  $\circ$  is component-wise product

### Theorem

$$P_{I} = (w_{I+1}^{T} \cdot P_{I+1}) \circ g'_{I}(S_{I})$$
 (read the proof in the book)

To calculate  $P_L = \nabla_{S_L} \mathcal{L}$ , assume suitable functional form for  $\mathbb{P}[y|S_L]$ 

# Exponential functional form for $\mathbb{P}[y|S_L]$

ullet Consider the exponential-family functional-form for  $\mathbb{P}[y|S_L]$ 

$$\mathbb{P}[y|S_L] = p(y|S_L,\tau) = h(y,\tau) \cdot e^{\frac{S_L \cdot y - A(S_L)}{d(\tau)}}$$

- Form adopted from framework of Generalized Linear Models (GLM)
- ullet We want the scalar prediction  $O_L=g_L(S_L)$  to be equal to  $\mathbb{E}_p[y|S_L]$
- What function  $g_L : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  (in terms of  $p(y|S_L, \tau)$ ) would satisfy the requirement of  $O_L = g_L(S_L) = \mathbb{E}_p[y|S_L]$ ?

#### Lemma

$$\mathbb{P}[y|S_L] = h(y,\tau) \cdot e^{\frac{S_L \cdot y - A(S_L)}{d(\tau)}} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_p[y|S_L] = A'(S_L)$$

- To satisfy  $O_L = g_L(S_L) = \mathbb{E}_p[y|S_L]$ , we need:  $O_L = g_L(S_L) = A'(S_L)$
- So  $g_L(\cdot)$  must be set to be the derivative of the  $A(\cdot)$  function
- In GLM theory,  $A'(\cdot)$  serves as canonical link function for given  $\mathbb{P}[y|x]$

## Examples of Distributions and their Canonical Links

With canonical link,  $P_L$  reduces to prediction error for each (x, y) data

### Theorem

$$P_{L} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_{L}} = \frac{O_{L} - y}{d(\tau)}$$

Some examples of distributions and their canonical link functions:

• Normal distribution  $y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ :

$$S_L = \mu, \tau = \sigma, h(y, \tau) = \frac{e^{\frac{-y^2}{2\tau^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}\tau}, A(S_L) = \frac{S_L^2}{2}, d(\tau) = \tau^2. \ g_L(S_L) = S_L$$

- Bernoulli distribution for binary-valued y, parameterized by p:  $S_L = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right), \tau = h(y,\tau) = d(\tau) = 1, A(S_L) = \log\left(1 + e^{S_L}\right).$   $g_L(S_L) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-S_L}}$
- Poisson distribution for y parameterized by  $\lambda$ :  $S_L = \log \lambda, \tau = d(\tau) = 1, h(y, \tau) = \frac{1}{y!}, A(S_L) = e^{S_L}. g_L(S_L) = e^{S_L}$

# Tabular as a form of FunctionApprox

- "Tabular" is simple setting with finite  $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$
- With (x, y) data pairs having all it's x-values within this finite  $\mathcal{X}$
- $\bullet$   $\mathbb{E}[y|x]$  must be calculated from data y-values associated with single x
- So  $\mathbb{E}[y|x]$  prediction must be *some sort of average* of those *y*-values
- ullet A "table" can store all  ${\mathcal X}$  together with all predictions  ${\mathbb E}[y|x]$
- This "Tabular" setting is compatible with FunctionApprox interface
- Also, "Tabular" is a special case of linear function approximation
- With features  $\phi_i$  as indicator functions for each  $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$
- And weights  $w_i$  as average of y-values associated with  $x_i$  in the data
- Next we cover Approximate DP using FunctionApprox
- ullet Where  ${\mathcal X}$  is state space and predictions constitute Value Function
- Specializing FunctionApprox to Tabular gives Tabular DP

# Approximate Policy Evaluation

- ullet Repeatedly apply  $oldsymbol{\mathcal{B}}^{\pi}$  on FunctionApprox of  $V:\mathcal{N}
  ightarrow\mathbb{R}$
- Operates on MarkovRewardProcess (not necessarily Finite)
- So no enumeration of states and no access to transition probabilities
- We specify a sampling probability distribution of "source states"
- From each source sample s, sample pairs of (next state s', reward r)
- Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[r + \gamma \cdot V(s')]$  by averaging over sampled pairs
- ullet V(s') obtained from the instance of FunctionApprox being used
- Sample of source states and their associated Bellman expectation estimates (from transition samples) used to update FunctionApprox

## **@abstractclass Distribution interface**

```
class Distribution (ABC, Generic [A]):
    @abstractmethod
    def sample(self) -> A:
        pass
    @abstractmethod
    def expectation (
        self.
        f: Callable [[A], float]
    ) -> float:
        pass
```

# Approximate Policy Evaluation interface

```
def evaluate_mrp(
    mrp: MarkovRewardProcess[S],
    gamma: float,
    approx_0: FunctionApprox[S],
    non_terminal_states_distribution: Distribution[S],
    num_state_samples: int
) -> Iterator[FunctionApprox[S]]:
```

# Approximate Policy Evaluation code

**return** iterate (update, approx\_0)

```
def update(v: FunctionApprox[S]) -> FunctionApprox[S]:
    nt_states: Sequence[S] = 
        non_terminal_states_distribution.sample_n(
            num_state_samples
    def return_(s_r: Tuple[S, float]) -> float:
        s, r = s_r
        return r + gamma * v.evaluate([s]).item()
    return v.update(
        [(s, mrp.transition_reward(s).expectation(
            return_)) for s in nt_states]
```

# Approximate Value Iteration interface

```
def value_iteration(
    mdp: MarkovDecisionProcess[S, A],
    gamma: float,
    approx_0: FunctionApprox[S],
    non_terminal_states_distribution: Distribution[S],
    num_state_samples: int
) -> Iterator[FunctionApprox[S]]:
```

# Approximate Value Iteration code

```
def update(v: FunctionApprox[S]) -> FunctionApprox[S]:
    nt_states: Sequence[S] = 
        non_terminal_states_distribution.sample_n(
            num_state_samples
    def return_(s_r: Tuple[S, float]) -> float:
        s, r = s_r
        return r + gamma * v.evaluate([s]).item()
    return v.update(
        [(s, max(mdp.step(s, a).expectation(return_)
                      for a in mdp.actions(s)))
         for s in nt_states
return iterate (update, approx_0)
```

# Finite-Horizon Approximate Dynamic Programming

- Similarly, generalize Backward Induction DP algorithms
- Each time steps' Value Function is a FunctionApprox
- Work with a separate MRP/MDP representation for each time step's transitions, that is responsible for sampling next step's (state, reward)
- x-values come from current time step's states sampling distribution
- y-values come from applying Bellman Operator on next time steps' FunctionApprox for it's Value Function
- Bellman Operator expectation is estimated by averaging over transition samples
- These (x, y) pairs constitute the data-set used to solve the current time step's FunctionApprox for it's Value Function

# Constructing the Non-Terminal States Distribution

- Each ADP algorithm works with a distribution of non-terminal states
- Good choice: Stationary Distribution of uniform-policy-implied MRP
- $\bullet$  See if you can use some mathematical property of given MDP/MRP
- Or create sampling traces and estimate with occurrence frequency
- Backup choice: Uniform Distribution of all non-terminal states
- Likewise, for backward induction, see if you can utilize some property of the given process to infer distribution of states for a fixed time step
- eg: In finance, continuous-time processes can sometimes be solved
- Or create sampling traces and estimate with occurrence frequency
- Backup choice: Uniform Distribution of all non-terminal states

# Key Takeaways from this Chapter

- The FunctionApprox interface involves three key methods:
  - solve: Calculate the "best-fit" parameters that minimizes the cross-entropy loss function for the given fixed data set of (x, y) pairs
  - update: Parameters of FunctionApprox are updated based on each new (x,y) pairs data set from the available data stream
  - evaluate: Calculate the conditional expectation of response variable *y*, according to the model specified by FunctionApprox
- ullet Tabular is a special case of linear function approximation with feature functions as indicator functions for each of the finite set of  ${\mathcal X}$
- All the Tabular DP algorithms can be generalized to ADP algorithms
  - Tabular VF updates replaced by updates to FunctionApprox parameters
  - Sweep over all states in Tabular case replaced by state samples
  - Bellman Operators' Expectation estimated as average of calculations over transition samples (versus using explicit transition probabilities)