

# A Guided Tour of Chapter 4: Function Approximation and Approximate DP

Ashwin Rao

ICME, Stanford University

# From DP to Approximate ADP (abbrev. ADP)

- Dynamic Programming algorithms meant for non-large finite spaces
- DP algorithms typically sweep through all states in each iteration
- Cannot do this for large finite spaces or for infinite spaces
- Requires us to generalize to function approximation of Value Function
  - Sample an appropriate subset of states
  - Calculate the Value Function for those states (Bellman calculation)
  - Create/Update a func approx with the sampled states' calculated values
- Also, can sample transitions to estimate DP algo's Bellman update
- The fundamental structure of the algorithms is still the same
- Fundamental principles (Fixed-Point/Bellman Operators) still same
- These generalizations known as *Approximate Dynamic Programming*

# Theory of Function Approximations

- We work with a generic but simple setting for Function Approximation
- Predictor variable  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , Response variable  $y \in \mathbb{R}$
- We treat  $x, y$  as unknown random variables, and want to estimate a function approximation for  $\mathbb{P}[y|x]$  from data in the form of  $(x, y)$  pairs
- We consider parameterized functions  $f$  with parameters denoted  $w$
- Exact data type of  $w$  will depend on specific form of function approx
- Denote the estimated probability of  $y|x$  as  $f(x; w)(y)$
- Assume given data in the form of a sequence of  $n$   $(x, y)$  pairs:

$$[(x_i, y_i) | 1 \leq i \leq n]$$

- Estimating  $\mathbb{P}[y|x]$  is formalized by solving for  $w = w^*$  such that:

$$w^* = \arg \max_w \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; w)(y_i) \right\} = \arg \max_w \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i; w)(y_i) \right\}$$

# Theory of Function Approximations

- We work with a generic but simple setting for Function Approximation
- Predictor variable  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , Response variable  $y \in \mathbb{R}$
- We treat  $x, y$  as unknown random variables, and want to estimate a function approximation for  $\mathbb{P}[y|x]$  from data in the form of  $(x, y)$  pairs
- We consider parameterized functions  $f$  with parameters denoted  $w$
- Exact data type of  $w$  will depend on specific form of function approx
- Denote the estimated probability of  $y|x$  as  $f(x; w)(y)$
- Assume given data in the form of a sequence of  $n$   $(x, y)$  pairs:

$$[(x_i, y_i) | 1 \leq i \leq n]$$

- Estimating  $\mathbb{P}[y|x]$  is formalized by solving for  $w = w^*$  such that:

$$w^* = \arg \max_w \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; w)(y_i) \right\} = \arg \max_w \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i; w)(y_i) \right\}$$

# Maximum Likelihood and Cross-Entropy Loss

- This is the framework of *Maximum Likelihood Estimation* of  $y|x$
- Data  $[(x_i, y_i) | 1 \leq i \leq n]$  specifies *empirical probability distribution*  $D$
- Parameterized function  $f$  specifies *model probability distribution*  $M$
- So we are in the business of reconciling  $D$  and  $M$
- So this is minimizing *Cross-Entropy Loss* between  $D$  and  $M$

$$\text{Cross-Entropy Loss } \mathcal{H}(D, M) = -\mathbb{E}_D[\log M]$$

- We want to allow for incremental estimation (with data at each  $t$ ):

$$[(x_{t,i}, y_{t,i}) | 1 \leq i \leq n_t]$$

- Parameters update from  $w_{t-1}$  to  $w_t$  with say gradient descent
- Allow for full batch, mini-batch or single pair (eg: SGD)
- With an estimate of  $f(x; w)$ , we can predict  $y|x$  as  $\mathbb{E}_M[y|x]$ :

$$\mathbb{E}_M[y|x] = \mathbb{E}_{f(x;w)}[y] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y \cdot f(x; w)(y) \cdot dy$$

# The @abstractclass FunctionApprox

```
class FunctionApprox(ABC, Generic[X]):  
  
    @abstractmethod  
    def solve(  
        self ,  
        xy_vals_seq: Iterable[Tuple[X, float]] ,  
        error_tolerance: Optional[float] = None  
    ) -> FunctionApprox[X]:  
  
    @abstractmethod  
    def evaluate(  
        self ,  
        x_values_seq: Iterable[X]  
    ) -> np.ndarray:
```

# The @abstractclass FunctionApprox

```
@abstractmethod
```

```
def update(  
    self ,  
    xy_vals_seq: Iterable[Tuple[X, float]]  
) -> FunctionApprox[X]:  
    pass
```

```
def iterate_updates(  
    self ,  
    xy_seq_stream: Iterator[Iterable[Tuple[X, float]]]  
) -> Iterator[FunctionApprox[X]]:  
    return iterate.accumulate(  
        xy_seq_stream ,  
        lambda fa , xy: fa.update(xy) ,  
        initial=self  
    )
```

# Linear Function Approximation

- Define a sequence of feature functions  $\phi_j : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$
- Parameters  $w$  is a weights vector  $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$
- Linear function approximation assumes gaussian distribution for  $y|x$

with mean  $= \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(x) \cdot w_j$  and constant variance  $\sigma^2$

$$\mathbb{P}[y|x] = f(x; \mathbf{w})(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot e^{-\frac{(y - \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(x) \cdot w_j)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

- Regularized cross-entropy loss function for data  $[x_i, y_i | 1 \leq i \leq n]$ :

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{2n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(x_i) \cdot w_j - y_i \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \lambda \cdot \sum_{j=1}^m w_j^2$$

- This ignores constants involving  $\sigma$ , and  $\lambda$  is regularization coefficient
- So  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})$  is just MSE of linear predictions  $\sum_{j=1}^m \phi_j(x_i) \cdot w_j$



# Linear Function Approximation with Gradient Descent

- Gradient of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w})$  with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$  works out to:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{w}) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i) \cdot (\phi(x_i) \cdot \mathbf{w} - y_i) \right) + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{w}$$

$$\phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \phi_2(x), \dots, \phi_m(x)) \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{X}$$

- Solve for  $\mathbf{w}^*$  by incremental estimation using gradient descent
- Gradient estimate  $\mathcal{G}_{(x_t, y_t)}(\mathbf{w}_t)$  for time  $t$ -data  $[(x_{t,i}, y_{t,i}) | 1 \leq i \leq n_t]$ :

$$\mathcal{G}_{(x_t, y_t)}(\mathbf{w}_t) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} \phi(x_{t,i}) \cdot (\phi(x_{t,i}) \cdot \mathbf{w}_t - y_{t,i}) \right) + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{w}_t$$

- Interpreted as the weighted-mean of the feature vectors  $\phi(x_{t,i})$
- Weighted by the (scalar) linear prediction errors  $\phi(x_{t,i}) \cdot \mathbf{w}_t - y_{t,i}$
- So the update to the weights vector  $\mathbf{w}$  is given by:

$$\mathbf{w}_{t+1} = \mathbf{w}_t - \alpha_t \cdot \mathcal{G}_{(x_t, y_t)}(\mathbf{w}_t)$$

# Direct Solution of Linear Function Approximation

- If feature functions not too large, we can directly solve for  $\mathbf{w}^*$
- Assume the entire provided data is  $[(x_i, y_i) | 1 \leq i \leq n]$
- Then the gradient estimate based can be set to 0 to solve for  $\mathbf{w}^*$

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(x_i) \cdot (\phi(x_i) \cdot \mathbf{w}^* - y_i) \right) + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{w}^* = 0$$

- Denote  $\Phi$  as  $n \times m$  matrix:  $\Phi_{i,j} = \phi_j(x_i)$
- Denote column vector  $\mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  defined as  $\mathbf{Y}_i = y_i$

$$\frac{1}{n} \cdot \Phi^T \cdot (\Phi \cdot \mathbf{w}^* - \mathbf{Y}) + \lambda \cdot \mathbf{w}^* = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (\Phi^T \cdot \Phi + n\lambda \cdot \mathbf{I}_m) \cdot \mathbf{w}^* = \Phi^T \cdot \mathbf{Y}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathbf{w}^* = (\Phi^T \cdot \Phi + n\lambda \cdot \mathbf{I}_m)^{-1} \cdot \Phi^T \cdot \mathbf{Y}$$

# Deep Neural Networks (of vanilla flavor)

- Deep Neural Network (DNN) layers numbered  $l = 0, 1, \dots, L$
- Denote input and output to layer  $l$  as vectors  $\mathbf{I}_l$  and  $\mathbf{O}_l$

$$\mathbf{I}_0 = \phi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ and } \mathbf{O}_L = \mathbb{E}_M[y|x] \text{ and } \mathbf{I}_{l+1} = \mathbf{O}_l$$

- Denote layer  $l$  parameters as  $|\mathbf{O}_l| \times |\mathbf{I}_l|$  matrix  $\mathbf{w}_l$
- Layer  $l$  neurons define a linear transformation from  $\mathbf{I}_l$  to  $\mathbf{S}_l$

$$\mathbf{S}_l = \mathbf{w}_l \cdot \mathbf{I}_l \text{ and } \mathbf{O}_l = g_l(\mathbf{S}_l)$$

- where  $g_l : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the activation function for layer  $l$
- Forward-propagation composes layers' linear and activation functions
- Back-propagation calculates cross-entropy loss gradient  $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_l} \mathcal{L}$
- Gradient Descent updates for weights  $\mathbf{w}_l$  proportional to  $\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_l} \mathcal{L}$

# Back-prop as Recursive Gradient Calculation

- Loss gradient can be reduced to calculating  $\mathbf{P}_I = \nabla_{\mathbf{S}_I} \mathcal{L}$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_I} \mathcal{L} = (\nabla_{\mathbf{S}_I} \mathcal{L})^T \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{w}_I} \mathbf{S}_I = \mathbf{P}_I^T \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{w}_I} \mathbf{S}_I = \mathbf{P}_I \cdot \mathbf{I}_I^T = \mathbf{P}_I \otimes \mathbf{I}_I$$

- Including  $L^2$  regularization (with regularization coefficients  $\lambda_I$ ):

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}_I} \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{P}_I \otimes \mathbf{I}_I + \lambda_I \cdot \mathbf{w}_I$$

- $\cdot$  is inner-product,  $\otimes$  is outer-product,  $\circ$  is component-wise product

## Theorem

$$\mathbf{P}_I = (\mathbf{w}_{I+1}^T \cdot \mathbf{P}_{I+1}) \circ g'_I(\mathbf{S}_I) \text{ (read the proof in the book)}$$

To calculate  $\mathbf{P}_L = \nabla_{\mathbf{S}_L} \mathcal{L}$ , assume suitable functional form for  $\mathbb{P}[y|S_L]$

# Exponential functional form for $\mathbb{P}[y|S_L]$

- Consider the exponential-family functional-form for  $\mathbb{P}[y|S_L]$

$$\mathbb{P}[y|S_L] = p(y|S_L, \tau) = h(y, \tau) \cdot e^{\frac{S_L \cdot y - A(S_L)}{d(\tau)}}$$

- Form adopted from framework of Generalized Linear Models (GLM)
- We want the scalar prediction  $O_L = g_L(S_L)$  to be equal to  $\mathbb{E}_p[y|S_L]$
- What function  $g_L : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (in terms of  $p(y|S_L, \tau)$ ) would satisfy the requirement of  $O_L = g_L(S_L) = \mathbb{E}_p[y|S_L]$ ?

## Lemma

$$\mathbb{P}[y|S_L] = h(y, \tau) \cdot e^{\frac{S_L \cdot y - A(S_L)}{d(\tau)}} \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}_p[y|S_L] = A'(S_L)$$

- To satisfy  $O_L = g_L(S_L) = \mathbb{E}_p[y|S_L]$ , we need:  $O_L = g_L(S_L) = A'(S_L)$
- So  $g_L(\cdot)$  must be set to be the derivative of the  $A(\cdot)$  function
- In GLM theory,  $A'(\cdot)$  serves as *canonical link function* for given  $\mathbb{P}[y|x]$

# Examples of Distributions and their Canonical Links

With canonical link,  $P_L$  reduces to prediction error for each  $(x, y)$  data

## Theorem

$$P_L = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial S_L} = \frac{O_L - y}{d(\tau)}$$

Some examples of distributions and their canonical link functions:

- Normal distribution  $y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ :

$$S_L = \mu, \tau = \sigma, h(y, \tau) = \frac{-y^2}{\sqrt{2\pi}\tau}, A(S_L) = \frac{S_L^2}{2}, d(\tau) = \tau^2. \quad g_L(S_L) = S_L$$

- Bernoulli distribution for binary-valued  $y$ , parameterized by  $p$ :

$$S_L = \log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right), \tau = h(y, \tau) = d(\tau) = 1, A(S_L) = \log(1 + e^{S_L}).$$
$$g_L(S_L) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-S_L}}$$

- Poisson distribution for  $y$  parameterized by  $\lambda$ :

$$S_L = \log \lambda, \tau = d(\tau) = 1, h(y, \tau) = \frac{1}{y!}, A(S_L) = e^{S_L}. \quad g_L(S_L) = e^{S_L}$$

# Tabular as a form of *FunctionApprox*

- “Tabular” is simple setting with finite  $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$
- With  $(x, y)$  data pairs having all its  $x$ -values within this finite  $\mathcal{X}$
- $\mathbb{E}[y|x]$  must be calculated from data  $y$ -values associated with single  $x$
- So  $\mathbb{E}[y|x]$  prediction must be *some sort of average* of those  $y$ -values
- A “table” can store all  $\mathcal{X}$  together with all predictions  $\mathbb{E}[y|x]$
- This “Tabular” setting is compatible with FunctionApprox interface
- Also, “Tabular” is a special case of linear function approximation
- With features  $\phi_i$  as indicator functions for each  $x_i \in \mathcal{X}$
- And weights  $w_i$  as average of  $y$ -values associated with  $x_i$  in the data
- Next we cover Approximate DP using FunctionApprox
- Where  $\mathcal{X}$  is state space and predictions constitute Value Function
- Specializing FunctionApprox to Tabular gives Tabular DP

# Approximate Policy Evaluation

- Repeatedly apply  $\mathbf{B}^\pi$  on FunctionApprox of  $V : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
- Operates on MarkovRewardProcess (not necessarily Finite)
- So no enumeration of states and no access to transition probabilities
- We specify a sampling probability distribution of “source states”
- From each source sample  $s$ , sample pairs of (next state  $s'$ , reward  $r$ )
- Estimate  $\mathbb{E}[r + \gamma \cdot V(s')]$  by averaging over sampled pairs
- $V(s')$  obtained from the instance of FunctionApprox being used
- Sample of source states and their associated Bellman expectation estimates (from transition samples) used to update FunctionApprox



# Approximate Policy Evaluation interface

```
def evaluate_mrp(  
    mrp: MarkovRewardProcess[S],  
    gamma: float,  
    approx_0: FunctionApprox[S],  
    non_terminal_states_distribution: Distribution[S],  
    num_state_samples: int  
) -> Iterator[FunctionApprox[S]]:
```

# Approximate Policy Evaluation code

```
def update(v: FunctionApprox[S]) -> FunctionApprox[S]:  
    nt_states: Sequence[S] = \  
        non_terminal_states_distribution.sample_n(  
            num_state_samples  
        )  
  
    def return_(s_r: Tuple[S, float]) -> float:  
        s, r = s_r  
        return r + gamma * v.evaluate([s]).item()  
  
    return v.update(  
        [(s, mrp.transition_reward(s).expectation(  
            return_)) for s in nt_states]  
    )  
  
return iterate(update, approx_0)
```

# Approximate Value Iteration interface

```
def value_iteration(  
    mdp: MarkovDecisionProcess[S, A],  
    gamma: float,  
    approx_0: FunctionApprox[S],  
    non_terminal_states_distribution: Distribution[S],  
    num_state_samples: int  
) -> Iterator[FunctionApprox[S]]:
```

## Approximate Value Iteration code

```
def update(v: FunctionApprox[S]) -> FunctionApprox[S]:  
    nt_states: Sequence[S] = \  
        non_terminal_states_distribution.sample_n(  
            num_state_samples  
        )  
  
    def return_(s_r: Tuple[S, float]) -> float:  
        s, r = s_r  
        return r + gamma * v.evaluate([s]).item()  
  
    return v.update(  
        [(s, max(mdp.step(s, a).expectation(return_)  
                for a in mdp.actions(s)))  
         for s in nt_states]  
    )  
return iterate(update, approx_0)
```

# Finite-Horizon Approximate Dynamic Programming

- Similarly, generalize Backward Induction DP algorithms
- Each time steps' Value Function is a FunctionApprox
- Work with a separate MRP/MDP representation for each time step's transitions, that is responsible for sampling next step's (state, reward)
- $x$ -values come from current time step's states sampling distribution
- $y$ -values come from applying Bellman Operator on next time steps' FunctionApprox for it's Value Function
- Bellman Operator expectation is estimated by averaging over transition samples
- These  $(x, y)$  pairs constitute the data-set used to solve the current time step's FunctionApprox for it's Value Function

# Constructing the Non-Terminal States Distribution

- Each ADP algorithm works with a distribution of non-terminal states
- Good choice: Stationary Distribution of uniform-policy-implied MRP
- See if you can use some mathematical property of given MDP/MRP
- Or create sampling traces and estimate with occurrence frequency
- Backup choice: Uniform Distribution of all non-terminal states
- Likewise, for backward induction, see if you can utilize some property of the given process to infer distribution of states for a fixed time step
- eg: In finance, continuous-time processes can sometimes be solved
- Or create sampling traces and estimate with occurrence frequency
- Backup choice: Uniform Distribution of all non-terminal states

# Key Takeaways from this Chapter

- The FunctionApprox interface involves three key methods:
  - solve: Calculate the “best-fit” parameters that minimizes the cross-entropy loss function for the given fixed data set of  $(x, y)$  pairs
  - update: Parameters of FunctionApprox are updated based on each new  $(x, y)$  pairs data set from the available data stream
  - evaluate: Calculate the conditional expectation of response variable  $y$ , according to the model specified by FunctionApprox
- Tabular is a special case of linear function approximation with feature functions as indicator functions for each of the finite set of  $\mathcal{X}$
- All the Tabular DP algorithms can be generalized to ADP algorithms
  - Tabular VF updates replaced by updates to FunctionApprox parameters
  - Sweep over all states in Tabular case replaced by state samples
  - Bellman Operators' Expectation estimated as average of calculations over transition samples (versus using explicit transition probabilities)