Machine Learning: Advanced Probabilistic Methods (2015), P.Marttinen [The example is modified from lecture slides for the course "Laskentaintensiiviset tilastolliset menetelmät (Computational statistics)" by Petri Koistinen]

Suppose that we have N independent observations $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ from a two-component mixture of univariate Gaussian distributions

$$p(x_n|\theta) = \frac{1}{2}N(x_n|0,1) + \frac{1}{2}N(x_n|\theta,1).$$
 (1)

This means that with probability 1/2 the observation x_n is generated from the first component $N(x_n|0,1)$, and with probability 1/2 from the second component $N(x_n|\theta,1)$. The model (1) has one unknown parameter, θ , representing the mean of the second component, and we would like to estimate it using maximum likelihood

$$\widehat{\theta} = \arg\max_{\theta} \left\{ \log p(\mathbf{x}|\theta) \right\}.$$

We do this by the EM-algorithm (although direct numerical optimization would also be straightforward for this simple model).

First we formulate the model using the **latent variable representation**, and introduce variables $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_N)$ which explicitly specify the component responsible for generating observation x_n . In detail:

$$z_n = (z_{n1}, z_{n2})^T = \begin{cases} (1, 0)^T, & (x_n \text{ is from } N(x_n | 0, 1)) \\ (0, 1)^T, & (x_n \text{ is from } N(x_n | \theta, 1)) \end{cases}$$

When we define the distributions for the latent variable model as follows

$$p(z_{n1} = 1) = p(z_{n2} = 1) = 0.5$$

and

$$p(x_n|z_n, \theta) = \begin{cases} N(x_n|0, 1), & \text{if } z_{n1} = 1\\ N(x_n|\theta, 1), & \text{if } z_{n2} = 1 \end{cases}$$

it is easy to see that the marginal distribution of x_n obtained by summing over the latent variables

$$p(x_n|\theta) = \sum_{z_n} p(x_n|z_n, \theta)p(z_n)$$

is equal to the original distribution (1).

In the EM-algorithm we will maximize the expectation of the log-likelihood of the complete data (x, z):

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\theta) = \log \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(x_n, z_n|\theta) \right\} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p(x_n, z_n|\theta)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \left[0.5 \times N(x_n|0, 1)^{z_{n1}} \times N(x_n|\theta, 1)^{z_{n2}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ z_{n1} \log \left[N(x_n|0, 1) \right] + z_{n2} \log \left[N(x_n|\theta, 1) \right] \right\} + \text{const}$$
 (2)

E-step 1⁰: Compute the posterior distribution of the latent variables, given the current estimate θ_0 of θ :

$$p(z_{n1} = 1|x_n, \theta_0) \propto p(z_{n1} = 1)p(x_n|z_n, \theta_0)$$

= 0.5 \times N(x_n|0, 1) (3)

$$p(z_{n2} = 1|x_n, \theta_0) \propto p(z_{n2} = 1)p(x_n|z_n, \theta_0)$$

= 0.5 \times N(x_n|\theta_0, 1) (4)

By normalizing (3) and (4) we get

$$\gamma(z_{n2}) \equiv p(z_{n2} = 1 | x_n, \theta_0) = \frac{N(x_n | \theta_0, 1)}{N(x_n | \theta_0, 1) + N(x_n | \theta_0, 1)}.$$
 (5)

E-step 2^0 : Evaluate the expectation of the complete data log-likelihood (2) over the posterior distribution of the latent variables (5):

$$Q(\theta, \theta_0) = E_{\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x}, \theta_0} \left[\log p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}|\theta) \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ E[z_{n1}] \log \left[N(x_n|0, 1) \right] + E[z_{n2}] \log \left[N(x_n|\theta, 1) \right] \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \left[1 - \gamma(z_{n2}) \right] \log \left[N(x_n|0, 1) \right] + \gamma(z_{n2}) \log \left[N(x_n|\theta, 1) \right] \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Note that in (6) we've discarded the term not dependent on θ in equation (2). As a matter of fact, the first term in each sum could also be discarded, but we retain it here for clarity.

M-step: Maximize $Q(\theta, \theta_0)$ with respect to θ . To differentiate $Q(\theta, \theta_0)$, we first note the following result, which can be verified by straightforward computation

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}N(x_n|\theta,1) = N(x_n|\theta,1)(x_n-\theta).$$

With this result at hand, we can write

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}Q(\theta,\theta_0) = \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ [1 - \gamma(z_{n2})] \log \left[N(x_n|0,1) \right] + \gamma(z_{n2}) \log \left[N(x_n|\theta,1) \right] \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\gamma(z_{n2})}{N(x_n|\theta,1)} N(x_n|\theta,1) (x_n - \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{n2}) (x_n - \theta).$$

Setting $\frac{d}{d\theta}Q(\theta,\theta_0)=0$, we get

$$\theta = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{n2}) x_n}{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{n2})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{n2}) x_n,$$
(7)

where we have defined $N_k = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{n2})$, which can be interpreted as the effective number of observations assigned to component 2. Note that (7) has an intuitive interpretation: the mean of component (cluster) 2 is obtained as a wighted average of all points in the data set, in which the weighting factor for data point x_n is given by the posterior probability (or responsibility) $\gamma(z_{n2})$ that the 2nd component was responsible for generating x_n .

Code to run the EM-algorithm: simple em.m