

# Text Puzzle Game Development using LLMs

Objective: Design and develop a capstone project on "Text Puzzle Game Development using LLMs" that explores the use of large language models to create engaging, dynamic text-based puzzle games.

*This guide details suitable open-source models, step-by-step game development, and evaluation methods for the project.*

## Required Models

- **GPT-Neo/GPT-J or smaller GPT-like models:** Open-source LLMs suitable for generating text-based puzzle narratives and user interaction responses.
- **Llama 2 (7B or smaller variants):** Effective for nuanced story progression and puzzle hint generation.
- **LangChain:** Helps orchestrate multi-turn conversations and game state management with LLM prompts.
- **RAG (Retrieval-Augmented Generation) frameworks:** For incorporating external knowledge bases into puzzle hints or clues dynamically.

## Step by Step Instructions

### 1. Project Setup

- Choose an open-source LLM capable of generating coherent narrative text and reasoning over puzzles.
- Setup the environment with necessary packages like Transformers, LangChain, and an interface library (e.g., Flask or Streamlit for UI).
- Define the game framework to handle user inputs, story states, and puzzle progression.

### 2. Puzzle Design and Content Generation

- Create initial puzzle templates and storyline arcs using prompt engineering to guide the LLM in producing puzzles, clues, and narrative elements.
- Use LLM prompts to generate puzzle descriptions, valid player actions, and potential outcomes.
- Implement constraints in prompt design to maintain game balance and avoid nonsensical or unsolvable puzzles.

### 3. Game Loop and Interaction Handling

- Develop the core game loop to:
  - Accept user textual inputs (commands, guesses).
  - Generate dynamic responses using the LLM, updating game states accordingly.
- Maintain context across turns either through token window management or retrieving relevant state summaries.
- Integrate mechanisms for hints or retries, powered by LLM-generated clues or explanations.

### 4. Evaluation and Refinement

- Conduct testing sessions to evaluate gameplay smoothness, puzzle difficulty, and narrative coherence.
- Use player feedback to refine prompt templates and adjust puzzle complexity.
- Explore automated evaluation by testing multiple paths or interactions to ensure logical consistency and completeness.

## **Evaluation Criteria**

### **1. Report**

- **Scope:** Overview of game design, model selection, prompt engineering techniques, and system architecture.
- **Analysis:** Discuss narrative richness, puzzle diversity, user engagement, and technical challenges.
- **Metrics:** Measure player success rates, dialogue coherence scores, and prompt efficiency.

### **2. Presentation**

- **Slides:** Present background, LLM integration methodology, game demo overview, and key insights.
- **Demo:** Showcase live gameplay illustrating typical user interactions and puzzle solving steps.
- **Highlights:** Focus on LLM-driven dynamic content creation and adaptability across different player inputs.

### **3. Project Code Submission**

- **Repository:** Complete source code for the game engine, LLM prompt modules, and UI components.
- **Documentation:** Setup instructions, gameplay guide, prompt examples, and troubleshooting notes.
- **Examples:** Include sample puzzle scripts, user interaction logs, and model configuration files.