

# Lecture 2

## Estimation with Serially Correlated Errors

- Suppose we proceed with least squares estimation without recognizing the existence of serially correlated errors. What are the consequences?
  1. The least squares estimator is still a linear unbiased estimator, but it is no longer best
  2. The formulas for the standard errors usually computed for the least squares estimator are no longer correct
    - Confidence intervals and hypothesis tests that use these standard errors may be misleading

- It is possible to compute correct standard errors for the least squares estimator:
  - **HAC (heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation consistent) standard errors, or Newey-West standard errors**
    - These are analogous to the heteroskedasticity consistent standard errors

■ Let's reconsider the Phillips Curve model:

$$\widehat{INF} = 0.7776 - 0.5279DU$$

(0.0658)	(0.2294)	(incorrect se)
(0.1030)	(0.3127)	(HAC se)

Eq. 9.29

■ The  $t$  and  $p$ -values for testing  $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$  are:

$$t = \frac{-0.5279}{0.2294} = -2.301 \quad p = 0.0238 \quad (\text{from LS standard errors})$$

$$t = \frac{-0.5279}{0.3127} = -1.688 \quad p = 0.0950 \quad (\text{from HAC standard errors})$$

- Return to the Lagrange multiplier test for serially correlated errors where we used the equation:

Eq. 9.30

$$e_t = \rho e_{t-1} + v_t$$

- Assume the  $v_t$  are uncorrelated random errors with zero mean and constant variances:

Eq. 9.31

$$E(v_t) = 0 \quad \text{var}(v_t) = \sigma_v^2 \quad \text{cov}(v_t, v_s) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \neq s$$

- Eq. 9.30 describes a **first-order autoregressive model** or a **first-order autoregressive process** for  $e_t$ 
  - The term **AR(1) model** is used as an abbreviation for first-order autoregressive model
  - It is called an **autoregressive** model because it can be viewed as a regression model
  - It is called **first-order** because the right-hand-side variable is  $e_t$  lagged one period

Eq. 9.32

■ We assume that:

$$-1 < \rho < 1$$

■ The mean and variance of  $e_t$  are:

Eq. 9.33

$$E(e_t) = 0 \quad \text{var}(e_t) = \sigma_e^2 = \frac{\sigma_v^2}{1 - \rho^2}$$

■ The covariance term is:

Eq. 9.34

$$\text{cov}(e_t, e_{t-k}) = \frac{\rho^k \sigma_v^2}{1 - \rho^2}, \quad k > 0$$



Eq. 9.35

■ The correlation implied by the covariance is:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_k &= \text{corr}(e_t, e_{t-k}) \\ &= \frac{\text{cov}(e_t, e_{t-k})}{\sqrt{\text{var}(e_t) \text{var}(e_{t-k})}} \\ &= \frac{\text{cov}(e_t, e_{t-k})}{\text{var}(e_t)} \\ &= \frac{\rho^k \sigma_v^2}{(1 - \rho^2)} \\ &= \frac{\sigma_v^2}{(1 - \rho^2)} \\ &= \rho^k\end{aligned}$$

■ Setting  $k = 1$ :

$$\rho_1 = \text{corr}(e_t, e_{t-1}) = \rho$$

- $\rho$  represents the correlation between two errors that are one period apart
  - It is the **first-order autocorrelation** for  $e$ , sometimes simply called the autocorrelation coefficient
  - It is the population autocorrelation at lag one for a time series that can be described by an AR(1) model
  - $r_1$  is an estimate for  $\rho$  when we assume a series is AR(1)

- For an AR(1) model, we have:

$$\hat{\rho}_1 = \hat{\rho} = r_1 = 0.549$$

- For longer lags, we have:

$$\hat{\rho}_2 = \hat{\rho}^2 = (0.549)^2 = 0.301$$

$$\hat{\rho}_3 = \hat{\rho}^3 = (0.549)^3 = 0.165$$

$$\hat{\rho}_4 = \hat{\rho}^4 = (0.549)^4 = 0.091$$

$$\hat{\rho}_5 = \hat{\rho}^5 = (0.549)^5 = 0.050$$

■ Our model with an AR(1) error is:

Eq. 9.38

$$y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_t + e_t \quad \text{with} \quad e_t = \rho e_{t-1} + v_t$$

with  $-1 < \rho < 1$

– For the  $v_t$ , we have:

Eq. 9.39

$$E(v_t) = 0 \quad \text{var}(v_t) = \sigma_v^2 \quad \text{cov}(v_t, v_{t-1}) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \neq s$$

Eq. 9.40

■ With the appropriate substitutions, we get:

$$y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_t + \rho e_{t-1} + v_t$$

– For the previous period, the error is:

Eq. 9.41

$$e_{t-1} = y_{t-1} - \beta_1 - \beta_2 x_{t-1}$$

– Multiplying by  $\rho$ :

Eq. 9.42

$$\rho e_{t-1} = e_t y_{t-1} - \rho \beta_1 - \rho \beta_2 x_{t-1}$$

■ Substituting, we get:

Eq. 9.43

$$y_t = \beta_1(1 - \rho) + \beta_2 x_t + \rho y_{t-1} - \rho \beta_2 x_{t-1} + v_t$$

Eq. 9.46

■ We have the model:

$$y_t = \beta_1(1 - \rho) + \beta_2 x_t + \rho y_{t-1} - \rho \beta_2 x_{t-1} + v_t$$

– Suppose now that we consider the model:

Eq. 9.47

$$y_t = \delta + \theta_1 y_{t-1} + \delta_0 x_t + \delta_1 x_{t-1} + v_t$$

- This new notation will be convenient when we discuss a general class of **autoregressive distributed lag (ARDL) models**
  - Eq. 9.47 is a member of this class

Eq. 9.48

■ Note that Eq. 9.46 is the same as Eq. 9.47 since:

$$\delta = \beta_1(1 - \rho) \quad \delta_0 = \beta_2 \quad \delta_1 = -\rho\beta_2 \quad \theta_1 = \rho$$

– Eq. 9.46 is a restricted version of Eq. 9.47 with the restriction  $\delta_1 = -\theta_1\delta_0$  imposed



## ASSUMPTION FOR MODELS WITH A LAGGED DEPENDENT VARIABLE

**TSMR2A** In the multiple regression model  $y_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{t2} + \cdots + \beta_K x_K + v_t$   
Where some of the  $x_{tk}$  may be lagged values of  $y$ ,  $v_t$  is uncorrelated with all  $x_{tk}$  and their past values.

- Applying the least squares estimator to Eq. 9.47 using the data for the Phillips curve example yields:

Eq. 9.49

$$\widehat{INF}_t = 0.3336 + 0.5593INF_{t-1} - 0.6882DU_t + 0.3200DU_{t-1}$$

(se) (0.0899) (0.0908) (0.2575) (0.2499)

- We have described two ways of overcoming the effect of serially correlated errors:
  1. Estimate the model using least squares with *HAC* standard errors
  2. Use least squares to estimate the model with a lagged  $x$  and a lagged  $y$ , but without the restriction implied by an  $AR(1)$  error specification