

Denotational Semantics of PCF

Natalie Ravenhill

July 22, 2016

1 Denotation of Types

The Denotational Semantics maps the types of PCF to a domain representing that type. We define a function:

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket : \textit{Type} \rightarrow \textit{Domain}$$

that maps a type to a Domain. We have two possible ways to define a type, so there are two domains we use:

1. The type of Natural numbers is the ground type, so they are modelled by a single domain. We use the flat domain of Natural numbers, where \perp represents a term that loops forever.

$$\llbracket \textit{Nat} \rrbracket = \mathbb{N}_\perp$$

2. Function types are formed of other types. We model them using the domain of continuous functions.

$$\llbracket A \rightarrow B \rrbracket = \llbracket A \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket$$

2 Denotation of Typing Contexts

The Denotational Semantics maps the terms of PCF to a domain. We define a function:

$$\llbracket - \rrbracket_{\textit{Ctx}} : \textit{Context} \rightarrow \textit{Domain}$$

that maps a typing context to a domain. The domain will be a nested tuple, the size of which depends on the number of variables in Γ . We prove separately that products of domains are also domains.

The empty context is given by

$$\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{Ctx} = \mathbb{1}$$

the single element set. We also prove separately that this is a domain.

Adding a variable to a context Γ gives us the following:

$$\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \rrbracket_{Ctx} = \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \times \llbracket A \rrbracket$$

The products of the domains give all combinations of all possible values of each variable. If we want a specific valuation of the variables, we can refer to $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{Ctx}$.

3 Denotation of well typed terms

Given a well typed term $\Gamma \vdash e : A$ we have

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{Ctx} \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket$$

So $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma$ gives us an element of $\llbracket A \rrbracket$. We can define this on each possible value of e individually:

Variables Given a context $\Gamma = x_0 : A_0, \dots, x_n : A_n$, $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{Ctx}$ maps a tuple γ in $\llbracket A_0 \rrbracket \times \dots \times \llbracket A_n \rrbracket$ to a value in $\llbracket A_i \rrbracket$:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_i : A_i \rrbracket = \lambda \gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket. \pi_i(\gamma)$$

We use the i th projection function to get the value of the i th variable in the context.

Zero z is an element of Nat , the domain of which we have defined to be \perp . As z is a constant, we always map it to the same value, which is 0, no matter what γ is:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash z : Nat \rrbracket \gamma = 0$$

Successor When $\Gamma \vdash s(e) : Nat$ is a well typed term, then so is $\Gamma \vdash e : Nat$, so we can use $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : Nat \rrbracket$ in the definition of the denotational semantics for successor. As the domain of e is \mathbb{N}_\perp , we must consider the case where e maps to \perp , for which we would also have to map $s(e)$ to \perp :

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash s(e) : Nat \rrbracket \gamma = \text{Let } v = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : Nat \rrbracket \gamma \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{cases} v + 1 & \text{if } v \neq \perp \\ \perp & \text{if } v = \perp \end{cases}$$

Case When $\Gamma \vdash \text{case } (e, z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C$ is a well typed term, then so is $\Gamma \vdash e : Nat$, so we can use $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : Nat \rrbracket$ in the definition of the denotational semantics for case:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{case } (e, z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C \rrbracket \gamma = \text{Let } v = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : Nat \rrbracket \gamma \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{cases} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_0 : C \rrbracket \gamma & \text{if } v = 0 \\ \llbracket \Gamma, y : Nat \vdash e_S : C \rrbracket (\gamma, n/y) & \text{if } v = n + 1 \\ \perp & \text{if } v = \perp \end{cases}$$

Application In this rule we already have a denotation for the function and for the element we are applying it to. The bottom element of our domain of functions is the function that loops on all inputs, $\lambda x \in X. \perp_Y$. Therefore the value of f will always be a function. Functions on domains can be applied to bottom elements, so we can still have $f(v)$ when $v = \perp$. Therefore there is only one case for function application:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e \ e' : B \rrbracket \gamma = \text{Let } f = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \gamma \text{ in}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Let } v = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e' : A \rrbracket \gamma \\ & \text{in } f(v) \end{aligned}$$

λ abstraction For λ abstraction, by its typing rule, we already have a denotation for $\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : B \rrbracket \gamma$. This is a function of type $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \times \llbracket A \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket$. The function we want to obtain is of type $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow (\llbracket A \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket B \rrbracket)$, so we must return a continuous function. We use currying, with our denotation of $\Gamma, x : A \vdash e : B$. As this is in a different context, we need our function to be in a context where

the value of x is our $a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket$ that is the argument to our function, which is $(\gamma, a/x)$:

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. e : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \gamma = \lambda a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket. \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket (\gamma, a/x)$$

Fixpoint For fixpoint, by its typing rule we already have a denotation for $\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma$. This is a function of type $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \times \llbracket A \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket$. The function we want to obtain is of type $\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket$. To get an element of $\llbracket A \rrbracket$, we use the fixpoint function, $fix_{\llbracket A \rrbracket}$, which is a continuous function of type $(\llbracket A \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket) \rightarrow \llbracket A \rrbracket$. the function we give to the fixpoint is the one that maps any given $a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket$ to the denotation of $\Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A$ in a context where a is the value of x :

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash fix\ x : A. e : A \rrbracket \gamma = fix_{\llbracket A \rrbracket} (\lambda a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket. \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket (\gamma, a/x))$$

4 Theorems

4.1 Substitution Theorem

The following theorem says that given a well typed expression e and another expression e' , which is well typed in the context with $x : A$ added, then the denotation of e' with e substituted for x is the same as the denotation of the original expression in the context with $x : A$ added and valuation with the denotation of e as the value of x :

Theorem 1. *If $\Gamma \vdash e : A$ and $\Gamma, x : A \vdash e' : C$ and $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$, then $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]e' : C \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e' : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$*

Proof. We prove this by induction on the value of e' :

Variables There are two cases for variables:

1. For a variable $x : C$, C must be equal to A , so we get $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]x : A \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma$, from the substitution rule.

On the right hand side, $\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x) = \pi_i(\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$. The value of this is the value of x , which is $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma$.

Therefore $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]x : A \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash x : A \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x) = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma$

2. For a variable $y : C$, we have $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]y : C \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash y : C \rrbracket \gamma$, by the substitution rule for variables. This is equal to $\pi_i(\gamma)$, where $y : C$ is the i th element of Γ . If we extend the context Γ with $x : A$ and the valuation γ with $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x$, then this does not affect $\pi_i(\gamma)$, as each variable is

independent. Therefore $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]y : C \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash y : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$.

Zero By the substitution rule for zero, $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]z : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash z : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma$. As z is a constant, its denotation will be the same for any Γ and γ , so we always get 0. Therefore $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]z : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash z : \text{Nat} \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x) = 0$.

Successor Using the substitution rule, $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]s(e') : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash s([e/x]e') : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma$. The induction hypothesis is $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]e' : C \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e' : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$, so we can use this to rewrite $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash s([e/x]e') : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma$ as the following function:

Let $v = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e' : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$ in

$$\begin{cases} v + 1 & \text{if } v \neq \perp \\ \perp & \text{if } v = \perp \end{cases}$$

This function is also the definition of $\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash s(e') : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$.

Therefore $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]s(e') : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash s(e') : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$

Case Using the substitution rule for case, $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x](\text{case } (e', z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C) \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash (\text{case } ([e/x]e', z \mapsto [e/x]e_0, s(y) \mapsto [e/x]e_S) : C) \rrbracket \gamma$. We can use induction on all the expressions with substitutions to get the following definition of $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash (\text{case } ([e/x]e', z \mapsto [e/x]e_0, s(y) \mapsto [e/x]e_S) : C) \rrbracket \gamma$:

Let $v = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e' : \text{Nat} \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$ in

$$\begin{cases} \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e_0 : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x) & \text{if } v = 0 \\ \llbracket \Gamma, y : \text{Nat}, x : A \vdash e_S : C \rrbracket (\gamma, n/y, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x) & \text{if } v = n + 1 \\ \perp & \text{if } v = \perp \end{cases}$$

This function is also the definition of $\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash [e/x](\text{case } (e', z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S)) : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$

Therefore $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x](\text{case } (e', z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C) \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash \text{case } (e', z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$

Application Using the substitution rule for application, $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x](e_0 \ e_1) : B \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]e_0([e/x]e_1) : B \rrbracket \gamma$. We can use induction on $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]e_0 : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]e_1 : A \rrbracket$ to rewrite the denotation as the following:

Let $f = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e_0 : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$ in

$$\text{Let } v = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e_1 : A \rrbracket (\gamma \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x) \\ \text{in } f(v)$$

This function is also the definition of $\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e_0 \ e_1 : B \rrbracket (\gamma \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$.

Therefore, $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x](e_0 \ e_1) : B \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e_0 \ e_1 : B \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$

λ abstraction Using the substitution rule we have $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x](\lambda y : A. e') : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda y : A. ([e/x]e') : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \gamma$. We can use induction with $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda [e/x]e' : B \rrbracket$ to rewrite the denotation as the following:

$$\lambda a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket. \llbracket \Gamma, y : A, x : A \vdash e : B \rrbracket (\gamma, a/y, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$$

This is also the definition of $\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash \lambda y : A. e' : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$

Therefore $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x](\lambda y : A. e') : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash \lambda y : A. e' : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$

Fixpoint Using the substitution rule for fixpoint, $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x](\text{fix } y : C. e' : C) \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{fix } y : C. [e/x]e' : C \rrbracket \gamma$. We can use induction on $\llbracket \Gamma, y : C \vdash [e/x]e' : C \rrbracket$ to rewrite the denotation as the following:

$$\text{fix}_{\llbracket C \rrbracket} (\lambda c \in \llbracket C \rrbracket. \llbracket \Gamma, y : C, x : A \vdash e' : C \rrbracket (\gamma, c/y, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x))$$

This is also the definition of $\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash (\text{fix } y : A. e' : A) \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$.

Therefore $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x](\text{fix } y : C. e' : C) \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash (\text{fix } y : C. e' : C) \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$.

Now we have proved the theorem for every case of e' . □

4.2 Correctness

The following theorem says that for a well typed expression e , if it maps to another expression e' , then its denotation will be equal to that of the new expression in the same context:

Theorem 2. *If $\Gamma \vdash e : A$ and $e \mapsto e'$ and $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$, then $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e' : A \rrbracket \gamma$*

Proof. By induction on $e \mapsto e'$, so there is a case for each evaluation rule:

Variables have no rules, so there are no cases here.

Zero is a value, so it has no evaluation rules. Therefore there are no cases for zero.

Successor We use a congruence rule for successor, so when $s(e) \mapsto s(e')$ we also know that $e \mapsto e'$. From $\Gamma \vdash s(e) : Nat$, we know that $\Gamma \vdash e : Nat$. Therefore we can use induction on this to get $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e' : A \rrbracket \gamma$.

We can use this to rewrite $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash s(e) : Nat \rrbracket \gamma$ as:

Let $v = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e' : Nat \rrbracket \gamma$ in

$$\begin{cases} v + 1 & \text{if } v \neq \perp \\ \perp & \text{if } v = \perp \end{cases}$$

Which is the same as $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash s(e') : Nat \rrbracket \gamma$

Case There are three cases for case:

1. When e is an expression that can be reduced, we use a congruence rule for case, so when $case(e, z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) \mapsto case(e', z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S)$ we also know that $e \mapsto e'$. From $\Gamma \vdash case(e, z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C$, we know that $\Gamma \vdash e : Nat$. Therefore we can use induction to get $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : Nat \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e' : Nat \rrbracket \gamma$.

We can use this to rewrite $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash case(e, z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C \rrbracket \gamma$ as:

Let $v = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e' : Nat \rrbracket \gamma$ in

$$\begin{cases} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_0 : C \rrbracket \gamma & \text{if } v = 0 \\ \llbracket \Gamma, y : Nat \vdash e_S : C \rrbracket (\gamma, n/y) & \text{if } v = n + 1 \\ \perp & \text{if } v = \perp \end{cases}$$

Which is the same as $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash case(e', z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C \rrbracket \gamma$.

2. When $e = z$, we have $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{case}(z, z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C \rrbracket \gamma$ which is:

Let $v = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash z : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma$ in

$$\begin{cases} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_0 : C \rrbracket \gamma & \text{if } v = 0 \\ \llbracket \Gamma, y : \text{Nat} \vdash e_S : C \rrbracket (\gamma, n/y) & \text{if } v = n + 1 \\ \perp & \text{if } v = \perp \end{cases}$$

As $\llbracket \Gamma' \vdash z : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma$ is always 0, this can be simplified to $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_0 : C \rrbracket \gamma$, which is the result of the evaluation rule

3. When $e = s(v)$, we have $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{case}(s(v), z \mapsto e_0, s(y) \mapsto e_S) : C \rrbracket \gamma$ which is:

Let $v' = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash s(v) : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma$ in

$$\begin{cases} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_0 : C \rrbracket \gamma & \text{if } v' = 0 \\ \llbracket \Gamma, y : \text{Nat} \vdash e_S : C \rrbracket (\gamma, n/y) & \text{if } v' = v + 1 \\ \perp & \text{if } v' = \perp \end{cases}$$

where $n = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma$.

There are two possibilities for the value of v' .

- (a) If $v' = \perp$ then the function will return \perp
- (b) Otherwise $v' = n + 1$, where $n = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma$. With this we can simplify the definition of the expression to $\llbracket \Gamma, y : \text{Nat} \vdash e_S : C \rrbracket (\gamma, n/y)$

This is the same as:

$$\llbracket \Gamma, y : \text{Nat} \vdash e_S : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash v : \text{Nat} \rrbracket \gamma / y)$$

Using substitution, we get $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [v/y]e_S \rrbracket \gamma$.

Application There are two cases for application:

1. We use a congruence rule for function application, so when $e_0 \ e_1 \mapsto e'_0 \ e_1$ we also know that $e \mapsto e'$. From $\Gamma \vdash e_0 \ e_1 : B$, we know that $\Gamma \vdash e_0 : A \rightarrow B$. Therefore we can use induction on this to get $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_0 \ e_1 : A \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma' \vdash e'_0 \ e_1 : A \rrbracket \gamma$.

We can use this to rewrite $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_0 \ e_1 : B \rrbracket \gamma$ as:

Let $f = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e'_0 : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \gamma$ in

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } v &= \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e_1 : A \rrbracket \gamma \\ &\text{in } f(v) \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e'_0 \ e_1 : B \rrbracket \gamma$

2. When $e = \lambda x : A.e$, it is a value, so cannot be reduced further by the congruence rule. We use the semantic rule:

$$\overline{(\lambda x : A. e) \ e' \mapsto [e'/x]e}$$

so we need a denotation $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e'/x]e \rrbracket \gamma$.

As we have the denotation of $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash (\lambda x : A.e) \ e' : B \rrbracket \gamma$, we have $f = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A.e : A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \gamma$ and $v = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e' : A \rrbracket \gamma$.

$f = \lambda a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket. \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket (\gamma, a/x)$, so $f \ v$ is $\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e' : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$

By substitution, this is the same as $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e'/x]e \rrbracket \gamma$

λ **abstraction** is a value, so has no evaluation rules. Therefore there are no cases.

Fixpoint As $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{fix } x : A.e : A \rrbracket \gamma$ is a fixpoint operator, we know that $f(\text{fix}(f)) = \text{fix}(f)$, so we can rewrite it as:

$$(\lambda a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket. \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket (\gamma, a/x)) [\text{fix}_{\llbracket A \rrbracket} (\lambda a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket. \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket (\gamma, a/x))]$$

which is equal to:

$$\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket (\gamma, \text{fix}_{\llbracket A \rrbracket} (\lambda a \in \llbracket A \rrbracket. \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket (\gamma, a/x)) / x)$$

which is equal to:

$$\llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e : A \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{fix } x : A.e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$$

Using the substitution lemma, this is the same as

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [\text{fix } x : A.e/x]e : A \rrbracket \gamma$$

The evaluation rule is

$$\overline{\text{fix } x : A.e \mapsto [\text{fix } x : A.e/x]e}$$

so this is the denotation we need. □