Adequacy of PCF

Natalie Ravenhill

24th August 2016

Aim of the Project

- Study the operational and denotational semantics of the programming language PCF
- Prove that these semantics are equivalent at base type, by proving a theorem called Adequacy

PCF

Syntax of PCF:

$$A ::= \operatorname{Nat} \mid A \to B$$
 $e ::= \lambda x : A.e \mid e \mid e \mid x$
 $\mid z \mid s(e) \mid case \mid (e, z \rightarrow e_0), s(n) \rightarrow e_s)$
 $\mid \operatorname{fix} x : A \cdot e$

PCF

Operational Semantics:

- How to run programs
- Relation →

Denotational Semantics:

- Mathematical meaning of programs Types are Sets, Programs are functions
- Recursion is given by fixed points

Domain Theory

Domains:

- (*D*, <u>□</u>)
- ⊥
- For $x_0 \sqsubseteq x_1 \sqsubseteq \ldots$, exists $\bigsqcup_n x_n$

Continuity : $f(\bigsqcup_n x_n) = \bigsqcup_n fx_n$

Domains including Natural Numbers, Single Element Domain, Product of Domains, **Continuous Functions**



Fixpoint Theorem

Continuous Functions are used to model fixpoint recursion.

Theorem

Every continuous function $f: X \to X$ has a least fixpoint, which is the limit of the chain $\bot \sqsubseteq f(\bot) \sqsubseteq f^2(\bot) \sqsubseteq ...$

Proof.

in 2 steps:

- Prove limit of chain is a fixpoint
- ② Limit

 any other fixpoint

Adequacy

Theorem

If \vdash e : Nat (ie. e is a closed term of type Nat) then

$$\llbracket e \rrbracket = n \Leftrightarrow e \mapsto^* \underline{n}$$

Relates operational semantics to denotational semantics.

Correctness

Now we can relate the Operational Semantics to the Denotational semantics with the following theorem:

$\mathsf{Theorem}$

If $\Gamma \vdash e : A$ and $e \mapsto e'$ and $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$, then $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e' : A \rrbracket \gamma$

Proof.

by induction on $e\mapsto e'$, so the cases are on the evaluation rules. We can use the fact that f(fix(f))=fix(f) and a substitution lemma



Substitution Lemma

Lemma

If
$$\Gamma \vdash e : A$$
 and $\Gamma, x : A \vdash e' : C$ and $\gamma \in \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket$, then $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash [e/x]e' : C \rrbracket \gamma = \llbracket \Gamma, x : A \vdash e' : C \rrbracket (\gamma, \llbracket \Gamma \vdash e : A \rrbracket \gamma/x)$

Proof.

By induction on e'



Adequacy

Adequacy is the following theorem:

Theorem

If \vdash e : Nat (ie. e is a closed term of type Nat) and $\llbracket e \rrbracket = n$ then $\llbracket e \rrbracket = n \Leftrightarrow e \mapsto^* n$

 $\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Backwards} \ \mathsf{direction} = \mathsf{Correctness} \\ & \mathsf{Forwards} \ \mathsf{direction} = \mathsf{Logical} \ \mathsf{Relations} \end{aligned}$

Logical Predicate

We defined the following logical predicate (i.e. a unary logical relation:

$$\mathsf{Adeq}_\mathsf{Nat} = \{e \mid \ \vdash e : \mathsf{Nat} \ \land (\llbracket e \rrbracket = n \Rightarrow e \mapsto^* \underline{n})\}$$

$$\mathsf{Adeq}_{A \to B} = \{e \mid \; \vdash e : A \to B \land \forall e' \in \mathsf{Adeq}_A \, . \, \big(e \; e'\big) \in \mathsf{Adeq}_B\}$$

Logical Predicate

Now to prove adequacy, we just prove that every well typed term is in Adeq, so we also defined Adeq on typing contexts:

$$\mathsf{Adeq}_{\mathit{Ctx}}(\cdot) = \{<>\}$$

where <> is the empty substitution and \cdot is the empty context.

$$Adeq_{Ctx}(\Gamma, A) = \{(\gamma, e/x) \mid \gamma \in Adeq_{Ctx}(\Gamma) \land e \in Adeq_A\}$$

Main Lemma

Lemma

If $\Gamma \vdash e : A \text{ and } \gamma \in Adeq_{Ctx}(\Gamma)$, then $[\gamma](e) \in Adeq_A$

Proof.

By induction on derivations of $\Gamma \vdash e : A$

The main difficulty of this is the fixpoint case (we shall prove this if time).

Lemmas

Expansion Lemma

If $\vdash e : A \text{ and } e \mapsto e' \text{ and } e' \in \mathsf{Adeq}_A \text{ then } e \in \mathsf{Adeq}_A$

Proof.

By induction on types.

Non Termination Lemma

If $[\![e]\!] = \bot$ and $\vdash e : A$ and $e \mapsto^{\infty}$, then $e \in \mathsf{Adeq}_A$

Proof.

By induction on types.

Lemmas

Substitution Lemma

If $\gamma \in Adeq_{Ctx}(\Gamma)$ and $\Gamma \vdash e : A then \vdash [\gamma](e) : A$

Proof.

By induction on Γ .

Adequacy of Successor

$$[\gamma]s(e)=s([\gamma]e)\in\mathsf{Adeq}_{\mathsf{Nat}}$$

Need to show:

- $\vdash s([\gamma]e)$: Nat

 Use typing rule with $\vdash [\gamma]e$: Nat
- ② $[s([\gamma]e)] = n \Rightarrow s([\gamma]e) \mapsto^* \underline{n}$ Use $[[\gamma]e] = n - 1 \Rightarrow [\gamma]e \mapsto^* \underline{n-1}$ and congruence rule from operational semantics

Adequacy of Fixpoint

Define fixpoint approximation:

Definition

Let M = fix x : A. e : A be a well typed term and define:

$$M^0 = fix x : A. x$$
$$M^{k+1} = (\lambda x : A. e)(M^k)$$

So prove $[\gamma]M^k \in Adeq_A$, by induction on k.



Adequacy of Fixpoint

Base case:

Use Non termination Lemma, as $\llbracket [\gamma] M^0 \rrbracket = \bot$ and $\llbracket \gamma \rrbracket M^0 \mapsto^{\infty}$. (Use Fixpoint Theorem to show $\llbracket [\gamma] M^0 \rrbracket = \bot$)

Inductive case:

$$[\gamma]M^{k+1} = [\gamma, [\gamma]M^k/x]e = [\gamma']e$$

- Use inductive hypothesis of Main Lemma with $\Gamma, x : \mathsf{Nat} \vdash e : A$ to get $[\gamma']e \in \mathsf{Adeq}_A$.
- Use Expansion Lemma to get $[\gamma]M^k \in Adeq_A$.



Adequacy

Adequacy can be proved as a corollary of the Main Lemma:

Proof.

Use $\vdash e : A$ and <> in Main Lemma to get

 $<> e = e \in \mathsf{Adeq}_{\mathsf{Nat}}.$

This says $\vdash e : Nat \land \llbracket e \rrbracket = n \Rightarrow e \mapsto^* \underline{n}$.

RHS is forwards direction of Adequacy!

Backwards direction was correctness!

Summary

- Learned about Domain Theory and Logical Relations
- Proved standard theorems in Semantics (Type Safety, Soundness, Adequacy, ...)
- Choosing a logical relation that would make Adequacy easy to prove using our semantics
- Proving the fixpoint case for our semantics using the domain theoretic fixpoint theorem