Biodivne Boolean Models: A Comprehensive Logical Modelling Benchmark

Samuel Pastva

Abstract

Recent years have seen emergence of a wide variety of powerful tools for computational analysis of logical models represented as Boolean networks. However, assessment of validity, efficiency and scalability of such tools requires a comprehensive benchmark set of Boolean networks that can be used to obtain comparable results for different tools.

At the moment, this need is largely served using databases of biological models such as CellCollective or GINsim database. However, these databases are more focused on human curated, biological aspects of the networks and are therefore limited in scope. Furthermore, the models in these databases are not available as a single dataset and often have to be manually obtained one by one.

Here, we introduce a comprehensive benchmark dataset that has been created by surveying the aforementioned databases, as well as a large body of other literature to obtain as many biologically motivated Boolean networks as possible. To make the dataset useful to a wide range of tool maintainers, we provide the models in different machine-readable formats and ensure all models are valid and consistent using an automated validation procedure. At the moment, the dataset comprises 145 networks.

1 Introduction

Logical models provide a very useful and simple framework for description of complex biological processes. The most common mechanism for describing executable logical models are Boolean networks. In recent years, we have seen a rapid development of new tools and algorithms for analysis of large Boolean networks. However, in many instances, it is hard to assess usefulness and scalability of such tools due to a lack of commonly recognised "benchmark dataset" of networks on which the tools can be compared.

This purpose is often served by models obtained from databases maintained by the authors of some of the larger modelling tools, such as CellCollective [45] or GINsim [79]. However, these models are often hard to obtain in bulk and have to be downloaded one by one. Additionally, authors often modify the models slightly, or assume non-standard values of inputs which prevents comparisons. Finally, these databases are far from comprehensive, so a wide range of models is often omitted.

As a result, most papers develop an ad hoc benchmark set that is often partially proprietary and hard or impossible to replicate and compare to. Here, we propose a standardized comprehensive benchmark set that can be used for this purpose instead. To make the benchmark set as user friendly as possible, we provide the following benefits compared to existing solutions:

- The dataset is open source and available on Github, so that anyone can propose new additions or modifications. Each tracked model is (primarily) referred to using a unique ID as opposed to name or citation. However, we also keep track of the original source (publication) where the model first appeared.
- Every model is provided in three formats that can be consumed by different tools or easily parsed by a new tool. Namely, we consider bnet, as popularised by PyBoolNet [55], aeon format as used in AEON [9], and the universal SBML-qual [13] format.
- If the model contains inputs (constants), aside from the model as published by the authors, we also generate two variants with all inputs fixed to true and false, and a variant where the values of all inputs are unspecified.
- For each model format and variant, we provide a single bundle with all available models that can be easily used for batch processing.
- We provide an automated procedure to check the validity and integrity of all included models, as well as generate different model bundles. This minimises possible user errors when adding new models.

This document then serves as a cumulative report of all the models included in the dataset and the sources of these models.



2 Models

O01 SIGNALING IN MACROPHAGE ACTIVATION O02 SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION IN FIBROBLASTS I30 9 557 [44, 45]	ID	Name	Vars.	Inps.	Regs.	Source
Nation		SIGNALING IN MACROPHAGE				
1003 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 19	002	SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION	130	9	557	[44, 45]
004 ERBB RECEPTOR SIGNALING 225 22 1100 [43, 45] 005 FA/BRCA PATHWAY 28 0 123 [95, 45] 006 HGF SIGNALING 1N KERATINOCYTES 62 6 103 [113, 45] 007 CORTICAL AREA DEVELOPMENT 5 0 14 [35, 45] 008 DEATH RECEPTOR SIGNALING 25 3 45 12, 45 009 YEAST APOPTOSIS 60 13 114 [52, 45] 010 CARDIAC-DEVELOPMENT 13 2 37 [47, 45] 011 GUARD CELL ABSCISIC 40 4 78 [58, 45] 012 T-CELL RECEPTOR SIGNALING 94 7 158 [100, 45] 013 CHOLESTEROL 32 2 41 [53, 45] 013 REGULATORY PATHWAY 32 2 41 [53, 45] 014 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2008 54 7 193 [128, 45] 015 NEUROTRANSMITTER SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 016 IL-1 SIGNALING 104 14 218 [98, 45] 017 DIFFERENTIATION OF T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 76 28 226 [102, 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 71 15 149 [98, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST 18 0 59 [48, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18	003		19	1	51	[101,
D005						
HGF SIGNALING						
O06	005		28	U	123	
008 DEATH RECEPTOR SIGNALING 25 3 45 [12, 45] 009 YEAST APOPTOSIS 60 13 114 [52, 45] 010 CARDIAC-DEVELOPMENT 13 2 37 [47, 45] 011 GUARD CELL ABSCISIC ACID SIGNALING 40 4 78 [58, 45] 012 T-CELL RECEPTOR SIGNALING 94 7 158 [100, 45] 013 CHOLESTEROL REGULATORY PATHWAY 32 2 41 [53, 45] 014 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2008 54 7 193 [128, 45] 015 NEUROTRANSMITTER SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 016 IL-1 SIGNALING 104 14 218 [98, 45] 017 DIFFERENTIATION OF T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING 76 28 226 [102, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 <				6	103	45]
009 YEAST APOPTOSIS 60 13 114 [52, 45] 010 CARDIAC-DEVELOPMENT 13 2 37 [47, 45] 011 GUARD CELL ABSCISIC ACID SIGNALING 40 4 78 [58, 45] 012 T-CELL RECEPTOR SIGNALING 94 7 158 [100, 45] 013 CHOLESTEROL REGULATORY PATHWAY 32 2 41 [53, 45] 014 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2008 54 7 193 [128, 45] 015 NEUROTRANSMITTER SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 015 NEUROTRANSMITTER SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 016 IL-1 SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 017 DIFFERENTIATION OF T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING TO		CORTICAL AREA DEVELOPMENT		-		
010 CARDIAC-DEVELOPMENT 13 2 37 [47, 45] 011 GUARD CELL ABSCISIC ACID SIGNALING 40 4 78 [58, 45] 012 T-CELL RECEPTOR SIGNALING 94 7 158 [100, 45] 013 CHOLESTEROL REGULATORY PATHWAY 32 2 41 [53, 45] 014 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2008 54 7 193 [128, 45] 015 NEUROTRANSMITTER SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 016 IL-1 SIGNALING 104 14 218 [98, 45] 017 DIFFERENTIATION OF T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING 76 28 226 [102, 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 71 15 149 [98, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45]		DEATH RECEPTOR SIGNALING				
011 GUARD CELL ABSCISIC ACID SIGNALING 40 4 78 [58, 45] 012 T-CELL RECEPTOR SIGNALING 94 7 158 [100, 45] 013 CHOLESTEROL REGULATORY PATHWAY 32 2 41 [53, 45] 014 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2008 54 7 193 [128, 45] 015 NEUROTRANSMITTER SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 016 IL-1 SIGNALING 104 14 218 [98, 45] 017 DIFFERENTIATION OF T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING 76 28 226 [102, 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 71 15 149 [98, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45]		YEAST APOPTOSIS		13	114	
011 ACID SIGNALING 40 4 78 [58, 45] 012 T-CELL RECEPTOR SIGNALING 94 7 158 [100, 45] 013 CHOLESTEROL REGULATORY PATHWAY 32 2 41 [53, 45] 014 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2008 54 7 193 [128, 45] 015 NEUROTRANSMITTER SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 016 IL-1 SIGNALING 104 14 218 [98, 45] 017 DIFFERENTIATION OF T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING 76 28 226 [102, 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 71 15 149 [98, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1	010	CARDIAC-DEVELOPMENT	13	2	37	[47, 45]
O12	011		40	4	78	[58, 45]
013 REGULATORY PATHWAY 32 2 41 [53, 45] 014 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2008 54 7 193 [128, 45] 015 NEUROTRANSMITTER SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 016 IL-1 SIGNALING 104 14 218 [98, 45] 017 DIFFERENTIATION OF T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING 104 76 28 226 [102, 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 107 71 15 149 [98, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 30 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54	012	T-CELL RECEPTOR SIGNALING	94	7	158	
NEUROTRANSMITTER SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45]	013		32	2	41	[53, 45]
015 SIGNALING PATHWAY 14 2 20 [40, 45] 016 IL-1 SIGNALING 104 14 218 [98, 45] 017 DIFFERENTIATION OF T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING 76 28 226 [102, 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 71 15 149 [98, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 W	014	T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2008	54	7	193	
017 DIFFERENTIATION OF T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING 76 28 226 [102, 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 71 15 149 [98, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 </td <td>015</td> <td></td> <td>14</td> <td>2</td> <td>20</td> <td>[40, 45]</td>	015		14	2	20	[40, 45]
017 T-LYMPHOCYTES 41 9 97 [67, 45] 018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING 76 28 226 [102, 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 71 15 149 [98, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOL	016	IL-1 SIGNALING	104	14	218	[98, 45]
018 EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING 76 28 226 45] 019 IL-6 SIGNALING 71 15 149 [98, 45] 020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	017		41	9	97	[67, 45]
020 APOPTOSIS NETWORK 39 2 73 [62, 45] 021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	018	EGFR-ERBB SIGNALING	76	28	226	
021 BODY SEGMENTATION IN DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	019	IL-6 SIGNALING	71	15		[98, 45]
021 DROSOPHILA 2013 14 3 29 [65, 45] 022 B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION 17 5 39 [70, 45] 023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	020	APOPTOSIS NETWORK	39	2	73	[62, 45]
023 MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006 9 1 34 [28, 45] 024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	021		14	3	29	[65, 45]
024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 [120, 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	022	B-CELL DIFFERENTIATION	17	5	39	[70, 45]
024 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 16 4 42 45] 025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	023	MAMMALIAN CELL CYCLE 2006	9	1	34	[28, 45]
025 T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 54 6 195 [99, 45] 026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	024	BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE	16	4	42	L /
026 BUDDING YEAST CELL CYCLE 2009 18 0 59 [48, 45] 027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	025	T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011	54	6	195	[99, 45]
027 WG PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 12 14 29 [69, 45] 028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	026	BUDDING YEAST	18	0	59	[48, 45]
028 VEGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 10 8 18 [69, 45] 029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]	027		12	14	29	[69, 45]
029 TOLL PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA 9 2 11 [69, 45]						L / J
USU SPZ NEIWURK UF DRUSUPHILA 18 6 28 69.45	030	SPZ NETWORK OF DROSOPHILA	18	6	28	[69, 45]

ID	Name	Vars.	Inps.	Regs.	Source
031	CELL CYCLE TRANSCRIPTION	9	0	19	[84, 45]
032	T-CELL SIGNALLING 2006	37	3	53	[54, 45]
033	BT474 BREAST CELL LINE LONG TERM	19	5	68	[25, 45]
034	HCC1954 BREAST CELL LINE LONG TERM	19	4	68	[25, 45]
035	BT474 BREAST CELL LINE SHORT TERM	11	5	46	[25, 45]
036	HCC1954 BREAST CELL LINE SHORT TERM	11	5	46	[25, 45]
037	SKBR3 BREAST CELL LINE SHORT TERM	11	5	41	[25, 45]
038	SKBR3 BREAST CELL LINE LONG TERM	21	4	81	[25, 45]
039	HIV-1 INTERACTIONS WITH T-CELL SIGNALING	124	14	368	[87, 45]
040	T-CELL DIFFERENTIATION	19	4	34	[73, 45]
041	INFLUENZA VIRUS REPLICATION CYCLE	120	11	302	[61, 45]
042	TOL REGULATORY NETWORK	14	10	48	[111, 45]
043	BORDETELLA BRONCHISEPTICA	33	0	79	[118, 45]
044	TRICHOSTRONGYLUS RETORTAEFORMIS	25	1	58	[118, 45]
045	HH PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA	11	13	32	[69, 45]
046	B BRONCHISEPTICA AND T RETORTAEFORMIS	52	1	135	[118, 45]
047	FGF PATHWAY OF DROSOPHILA	14	9	24	[69, 45]
048	GLUCOSE REPRESSION SIGNALING 2009	55	18	97	[16, 45]
049	OXIDATIVE STRESS PATHWAY	18	1	32	[114, 45]
050	CD4 T-CELL SIGNALING	154	34	351	[20, 45]
051	COLITIS ASSOCIATED COLON CANCER	69	1	153	[59, 45]
052	SEPTATION INITIATION NETWORK	23	8	50	[14, 45]
053	PREDICTING VARIABILITIES IN CARDIAC GENE	13	2	37	[38, 45]
054	PC12 CELL DIFFERENTIATION	61	1	108	[82, 45]
055	HUMAN GONADAL SEX DETERMINATION	19	0	79	[94, 45]
056	IGVH MUTATIONS IN LYMPHOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	66	25	125	[4, 45]

ID	Name	Vars.	Inps.	Regs.	Source
057	FANCONI ANEMIA AND CHECKPOINT RECOVERY	15	0	66	[96, 45]
058	ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA CELL CYCLE	14	0	66	[85, 45]
059	BORTEZOMIB RESPONSES IN MYELOMA CELLS	62	5	131	[17, 45]
060	STOMATAL OPENING	44	5	167	[34, 45]
061	TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT IN LYMPHOBLASTIC LEUKAEMIA	24	2	79	[27, 45]
062	CD4 T-CELL DIFFERENTIATION AND PLASTICITY	12	6	78	[66, 45]
063	LAC OPERON	10	3	22	[123, 45]
064	METABOLIC INTERACTIONS IN GUT MICROBIOME	8	4	27	[115, 45]
065	TUMOUR CELL INVASION AND MIGRATION	30	2	156	[18, 45]
066	CD4 T-CELL DIFFERENTIATION	29	9	96	[45]
067	REGULATION OF L-ARABINOSE OPERON	9	4	18	[50, 45]
068	AURORA KINASE-A IN NEUROBLASTOMA	19	4	43	[23, 45]
069	IRON ACQUISITION AND STRESS RESPONSE	20	2	38	[10, 45]
070	MAPK CANCER CELL FATE	49	4	104	[39, 45]
071	CASTRATION RESISTANT PROSTATE CANCER	28	14	51	[5, 45]
072	LYMPHOPOIESIS REGULATORY NETWORK	67	14	160	[72, 45]
073	LYMPHOID AND MYELOID CELL SPECIFICATION	31	2	94	[19, 45]
074	T-LGL SURVIVAL NETWORK 2011 REDUCED	18	0	43	[99, 45]
075	INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE	47	0	287	[7, 45]
076	SENESCENCE ASSOCIATED SECRETORY PHENOTYPE	49	2	96	[74, 45]
077	SIGNALLING PATHWAY FOR BUTANOL PRODUCTION	53	13	139	[77, 45]
078	IMMUNE SYSTEM	151	13	506	[45]
079	COLORECTAL TUMORIGENESIS	184	13	747	[15]
080	TCR SIGNALING 2018	95	15	212	[97, 79]
081	TLR5 SIGNALING 2018	40	2	68	[97, 79]
082	TCR-TLR5 SIGNALING 2018	112	16	257	[97, 79]
083	SIGNALING IN PROSTATE CANCER	122	11	420	[76, 79]

ID	Name	Vars.	Inps.	Regs.	Source
084	ABA INDUCED STOMATAL CLOSURE	58	23	155	[3]
	REPROGRAMMING TESTES DERIVED				
085	STEM CELLS	23	4	49	[41]
000	TUMOUR CELL INVASION AND	4.0		00	[40 =0]
086	MIGRATION REDUCED	18	2	88	[18, 79]
00-	INFLAMMATORY GENE EXPRESSION	0.0	2.4		[00]
087	IN MACROPHAGES	99	34	190	[93]
088	MIR-9 NEUROGENESIS	6	0	11	[21, 79]
089	MAPK REDUCED 1	13	4	78	[39, 79]
090	MAPK REDUCED 2	14	4	60	[39, 79]
091	MAPK REDUCED 3	12	4	58	[39, 79]
092	HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA	61	8	139	[116]
093	IMMUNE CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS	51	15	128	[56, 79]
	MACROPHAGE POLARIZATION				
094	STATES	23	8	52	[89]
095	FISSION YEAST 2008	9	1	27	[24, 79]
	ERBB REGULATED G1-S				
096	TRANSITION	19	1	48	[49, 79]
097	DROSOPHILA WINGS AP	8	2	14	[36, 79]
000	MACROPHAGE POLARIZATION	90	10	70	
098	EXTENDED	30	12	72	[64]
099	YEAST HYPHAL TRANSITION	16	3	36	[126]
100	ACUTE MYELOID LEUKEMIA	19	4	31	[88]
101	GUARD CELL CO2 SIGNALLING	62	25	156	[51]
100	PANCREATIC CANCER	18	18 6	53	[00]
102	MICROENVIRONMENT REDUCED				[90]
102	PANCREATIC CANCER	CC	-	111	[00]
103	MICROENVIRONMENT	66	5	111	[90]
104	DROSOPHILA CELL CYCLE	11	3	42	[30, 79]
105		_	_	_	_
106		_	_	_	_
107		_	_	_	_
108	GEROCONVERSION	23	2	67	[124,
108	GERUCUN VERSIUN	23		07	79]
109	ASYMMETRIC CELL DIVISION A	5	0	15	[108,
103	ASTRICTION CELE DIVISION A	0	U	10	79]
110	ASYMMETRIC CELL DIVISION B	9	0	12	[108,
110		3	0	12	79]
111	APOPTOSIS	18	15	40	[86]
112	COAGULATION PATHWAY	85	27	195	[86]
113	ER STRESS	107	75	266	[86]
114	ETC	46	38	154	[86]
115	E PROTEIN	17	18	40	[86]
116	HMOX1 PATHWAY	89	55	228	[86]
117	IFN LAMBDA	28	19	52	[86]
118	INTERFERON 1 7	66	55	190	[86]
119	JNK PATHWAY	13	6	21	[86]
120	KYNURENINE PATHWAY	78	72	304	[86]
121	NLRP3 ACTIVATION	39	18	91	[86]

ID	Name	Vars.	Inps.	Regs.	Source
122	NSP14	74	94	558	[86]
123	NSP4 NSP6	43	17	62	[86]
124	NSP9 PROTEIN	119	133	257	[86]
125	ORF10 CUL2 PATHWAY	34	17	92	[86]
126	ORF3A	24	18	56	[86]
127	PAMP SIGNALING	44	35	109	[86]
128	PYRIMIDINE DEPRIVATION	56	34	131	[86]
129	RTC AND TRANSCRIPTION	33	1	40	[86]
130	RENIN ANGIOTENSIN	43	34	130	[86]
131	TGFB PATHWAY	7	14	24	[86]
132	VIRUS REPLICATION CYCLE	129	19	268	[86]
133	ROOT STEM CELL 2010	8	2	16	[6, 63]
134		_	_	_	_
135	SIGNAL TRANSDUCTION	28	2	33	[60, 63]
136	EGF TNF ALPHA SIGNALLING PATHWAY	26	2	31	[13, 63]
137	SIGNALLING IN LIVER CANCER	71	11	118	[117, 63]
138		_	_	_	-
139	ACUTE RESPONSES DURING HYPERINSULINEMIA	10	9	64	[83, 63]
140		_	_	_	_
141	HIGH OSMOLARITY AND MATING PATHWAYS	43	2	94	[122, 63]
142	BLOOD STEM CELL	27	2	126	[42, 63]
143		_	_	_	-
144		_	_	_	_
145	MELANOGENESIS	61	1	113	[57]
146	BUDDING YEAST FAURE 2009	40	10	271	[29, 79]
147	BUDDING YEAST EXIT MODULE	11	5	44	[29, 79]
148	AGS CELL FATE DECISION	83	0	185	[32, 79]
149	AGS CELL FATE DECISION REDUCED	12	2	62	[32, 79]
150	CELL FATE DECISION MULTISCALE	31	2	52	[12, 79]
151	TCR REDOX METABOLISM	130	3	417	[109, 79]
152	TCR REDOX METABOLISM REDUCED	50	3	288	[109, 79]
153	CONTROL OF PROLIFERATION	17	1	34	[127, 79]
154	CONTROL OF TH1 TH2 DIFFERENTIATION	18	3	50	[71, 79]

ID	Name	Vars.	Inps.	Regs.	Source
155	CONTROL OF TH1 TH2 TH17 TREG DIFFERENTATION	45	26	164	[78, 79]
156	CONTROL OF TH1 TH2 TH17 TREG DIFFERENTATION REDUCED	23	13	108	[78, 79]
157	CONTROL OF TH DIFFERENTATION	62	41	234	[1, 79]
158	LAMBDA PHAGE LYSOGENY	7	0	30	[119, 79]
159	BUDDING YEAST CORE	31	8	158	[29, 79]
160	IL17 DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSION	76	16	246	[22, 79, 63]
161	DIFFERENTIATION OF MONOCYTES	94	2	244	[81, 79]
162	DROSOPHILA DPP PATHWAY	10	8	40	[69, 79]
163	DROSOPHILA EGF PATHWAY	24	10	84	[69, 79]
164	EGGSHELL PATTERNING MECHANISTIC	17	7	62	[31, 79]
165	EGGSHELL PATTERNING PHENOMOENOLOGICAL	4	4	16	[31, 79]
166	DROSOPHILA JAK STAT PATHWAY	7	12	36	[69, 79]
167	MESODERM SPECIFICATION IN DROSOPHILA	41	16	130	[68, 63, 79]
168	DROSOPHILA NOTCH PATHWAY	7	6	26	[69, 79]
169	DROSOPHILA GAP A	5	2	17	[106, 79]
170	DROSOPHILA GAP B	4	3	15	[106, 79]
171	DROSOPHILA GAP C	5	2	20	[106, 79]
172	DROSOPHILA GAP D	5	2	12	[106, 79]
173					_
174					
175	SEA URCHIN	32	9	95	[33, 79, 63]
176	MYELOFIBROTIC MICROENVIRONMENT	47	2	148	[26, 79]
177	LYMPHOID CELL SPECIFICATION	31	3	96	[19, 79]
178	MAST CELL ACTIVATION	45	3	72	[80, 79]
179	MICROENVIRONMENT CONTROL	46	10	149	[110, 79]
180	MORPHOGENETIC CHECKPOINT	11	1	36	[29, 79]
181	MULTILEVEL CELL CYCLE	12	1	59	[121, 79]
182	BOOLEAN CELL CYCLE	12	2	59	[121, 79]
183	ALTERATIONS IN BLADDER	31	4	111	[92, 79]
184	P53 MDM2 NETWORK	5	1	15	[2, 79]
185	CHICKEN SEX DETERMINATION	12	3	34	[104, 79]

ID	Name	Vars.	Inps.	Regs.	Source
186	CHICKEN SEX DETERMINATION REDUCED	7	3	25	[104, 79]
187	MAMMAL SEX DETERMINATION 1 CELL	13	6	55	[103, 79]
188	MAMMAL SEX DETERMINATION 2 CELL	30	7	132	[103, 79]
189	TRP BIOSYNTHESIS	5	1	13	[112, 79]
190	BRAF TREATMENT RESPONSE	32	5	74	[8, 79]
191	SEGMENT POLARITY 1 CELL	17	2	55	[105, 79]
192	SEGMENT POLARITY 6 CELL	102	0	352	[105, 79]
193	SENESCENCE G1S CHECKPOINT	28	2	100	[75, 79]
194	VULVAR PRECURSOR CELLS	78	28	236	[125, 79]
195	CTLA4 PD1 CHECKPOINT INHIBITORS	161	55	439	[46, 79]
196	T-LYMPHOCYTE SPECIFICATION	58	3	237	[11, 79, 63]
197	ANTERIOR POSTERIOR BOUNDARY	48	8	253	[37, 79]
198	PAIR RULE MODULE	11	0	48	[107, 79]
199		_	_	_	_
200		_	_	_	_
ID	Name	Vars.	Inps.	Regs.	Source

References

- [1] Wassim Abou-Jaoudé, Pedro T Monteiro, Aurélien Naldi, Maximilien Grandclaudon, Vassili Soumelis, Claudine Chaouiya, and Denis Thieffry. Model checking to assess t-helper cell plasticity. Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology, 2:86, 2015.
- [2] Wassim Abou-Jaoudé, Djomangan A Ouattara, and Marcelle Kaufman. From structure to dynamics: frequency tuning in the p53–mdm2 network: I. logical approach. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 258(4):561–577, 2009.
- [3] Réka Albert, Biswa R Acharya, Byeong Wook Jeon, Jorge GT Zañudo, Mengmeng Zhu, Karim Osman, and Sarah M Assmann. A new discrete dynamic model of aba-induced stomatal closure predicts key feedback loops. *PLoS biology*, 15(9):e2003451, 2017.
- [4] María Camila Álvarez-Silva, Sally Yepes, Maria Mercedes Torres, and Andres Fernando Gonzalez Barrios. Proteins interaction network and model-

- ing of igvh mutational status in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling*, 12(1):1–15, 2015.
- [5] Osama Ali Arshad and Aniruddha Datta. Towards targeted combinatorial therapy design for the treatment of castration-resistant prostate cancer. *BMC bioinformatics*, 18(4):5–15, 2017.
- [6] Eugenio Azpeitia, Mariana Benítez, Iliusi Vega, Carlos Villarreal, and Elena R Alvarez-Buylla. Single-cell and coupled grn models of cell patterning in the arabidopsis thaliana root stem cell niche. BMC systems biology, 4(1):1–19, 2010.
- [7] Violeta Balbas-Martinez, Leire Ruiz-Cerdá, Itziar Irurzun-Arana, Ignacio González-García, An Vermeulen, José David Gómez-Mantilla, and Iñaki F Trocóniz. A systems pharmacology model for inflammatory bowel disease. PloS one, 13(3):e0192949, 2018.
- [8] Jonas Béal, Lorenzo Pantolini, Vincent Noël, Emmanuel Barillot, and Laurence Calzone. Personalized logical models to investigate cancer response to braf treatments in melanomas and colorectal cancers. PLOS Computational Biology, 17(1):e1007900, 2021.
- [9] Nikola Beneš, Luboš Brim, Jakub Kadlecaj, Samuel Pastva, and David Šafránek. AEON: attractor bifurcation analysis of parametrised boolean networks. In *International Conference on Computer Aided Verification*, pages 569–581. Springer, 2020.
- [10] Madison Brandon, Brad Howard, Christopher Lawrence, and Reinhard Laubenbacher. Iron acquisition and oxidative stress response in aspergillus fumigatus. *BMC systems biology*, 9(1):1–18, 2015.
- [11] Elisabetta Cacace, Samuel Collombet, and Denis Thieffry. Logical modeling of cell fate specification—application to t cell commitment. *Current Topics in Developmental Biology*, 139:205–238, 2020.
- [12] Laurence Calzone, Laurent Tournier, Simon Fourquet, Denis Thieffry, Boris Zhivotovsky, Emmanuel Barillot, and Andrei Zinovyev. Mathematical modelling of cell-fate decision in response to death receptor engagement. PLoS Comput Biol, 6(3):e1000702, 2010.
- [13] Claudine Chaouiya, Duncan Bérenguier, Sarah M Keating, Aurélien Naldi, Martijn P Van Iersel, Nicolas Rodriguez, Andreas Dräger, Finja Büchel, Thomas Cokelaer, Bryan Kowal, et al. Sbml qualitative models: a model representation format and infrastructure to foster interactions between qualitative modelling formalisms and tools. *BMC systems biology*, 7(1):1–15, 2013.
- [14] Anastasia Chasapi, Paulina Wachowicz, Anne Niknejad, Philippe Collin, Andrea Krapp, Elena Cano, Viesturs Simanis, and Ioannis Xenarios. An

- extended, boolean model of the septation initiation network in s. pombe provides insights into its regulation. *PloS one*, 10(8):e0134214, 2015.
- [15] Sung-Hwan Cho, Sang-Min Park, Ho-Sung Lee, Hwang-Yeol Lee, and Kwang-Hyun Cho. Attractor landscape analysis of colorectal tumorigenesis and its reversion. *BMC systems biology*, 10(1):1–13, 2016.
- [16] Tobias S Christensen, Ana Paula Oliveira, and Jens Nielsen. Reconstruction and logical modeling of glucose repression signaling pathways in saccharomyces cerevisiae. BMC systems biology, 3(1):1–15, 2009.
- [17] Vaishali L Chudasama, Meric A Ovacik, Darrell R Abernethy, and Donald E Mager. Logic-based and cellular pharmacodynamic modeling of bortezomib responses in u266 human myeloma cells. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 354(3):448–458, 2015.
- [18] David PA Cohen, Loredana Martignetti, Sylvie Robine, Emmanuel Barillot, Andrei Zinovyev, and Laurence Calzone. Mathematical modelling of molecular pathways enabling tumour cell invasion and migration. *PLoS computational biology*, 11(11):e1004571, 2015.
- [19] Samuel Collombet, Chris van Oevelen, Jose Luis Sardina Ortega, Wassim Abou-Jaoudé, Bruno Di Stefano, Morgane Thomas-Chollier, Thomas Graf, and Denis Thieffry. Logical modeling of lymphoid and myeloid cell specification and transdifferentiation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(23):5792–5799, 2017.
- [20] Brittany D Conroy, Tyler A Herek, Timothy D Shew, Matthew Latner, Joshua J Larson, Laura Allen, Paul H Davis, Tomáš Helikar, and Christine E Cutucache. Design, assessment, and in vivo evaluation of a computational model illustrating the role of cav1 in cd4+ t-lymphocytes. Frontiers in immunology, 5:599, 2014.
- [21] Marion Coolen, Denis Thieffry, Øyvind Drivenes, Thomas S Becker, and Laure Bally-Cuif. mir-9 controls the timing of neurogenesis through the direct inhibition of antagonistic factors. *Developmental cell*, 22(5):1052– 1064, 2012.
- [22] Karla Fabiola Corral-Jara, Camille Chauvin, Wassim Abou-Jaoudé, Maximilien Grandclaudon, Aurélien Naldi, Vassili Soumelis, and Denis Thieffry. Interplay between smad2 and stat5a is a critical determinant of il-17a/il-17f differential expression. Molecular Biomedicine, 2(1):1–16, 2021.
- [23] Meike Dahlhaus, Andre Burkovski, Falk Hertwig, Christoph Mussel, Ruth Volland, Matthias Fischer, Klaus-Michael Debatin, Hans A Kestler, and Christian Beltinger. Boolean modeling identifies greatwall/mastl as an important regulator in the aurka network of neuroblastoma. *Cancer letters*, 371(1):79–89, 2016.

- [24] Maria I Davidich and Stefan Bornholdt. Boolean network model predicts cell cycle sequence of fission yeast. *PloS one*, 3(2):e1672, 2008.
- [25] Silvia Von der Heyde, Christian Bender, Frauke Henjes, Johanna Sonntag, Ulrike Korf, and Tim Beissbarth. Boolean ErbB network reconstructions and perturbation simulations reveal individual drug response in different breast cancer cell lines. BMC systems biology, 8(1):1–22, 2014.
- [26] Christophe Desterke, Christophe Martinaud, Nadira Ruzehaji, Le Bousse-Kerdilès, et al. Inflammation as a keystone of bone marrow stroma alterations in primary myelofibrosis. *Mediators of inflammation*, 2015, 2015.
- [27] Jennifer Enciso, Hector Mayani, Luis Mendoza, and Rosana Pelayo. Modeling the pro-inflammatory tumor microenvironment in acute lymphoblastic leukemia predicts a breakdown of hematopoietic-mesenchymal communication networks. Frontiers in physiology, 7:349, 2016.
- [28] Adrien Fauré, Aurélien Naldi, Claudine Chaouiya, and Denis Thieffry. Dynamical analysis of a generic Boolean model for the control of the mammalian cell cycle. *Bioinformatics*, 22(14):e124–e131, 2006.
- [29] Adrien Fauré, Aurélien Naldi, Fabrice Lopez, Claudine Chaouiya, Andrea Ciliberto, and Denis Thieffry. Modular logical modelling of the budding yeast cell cycle. *Molecular BioSystems*, 5(12):1787–1796, 2009.
- [30] Adrien Fauré and Denis Thieffry. Logical modelling of cell cycle control in eukaryotes: a comparative study. *Molecular BioSystems*, 5(12):1569–1581, 2009.
- [31] Adrien Fauré, Barbara MI Vreede, Élio Sucena, and Claudine Chaouiya. A discrete model of drosophila eggshell patterning reveals cell-autonomous and juxtacrine effects. *PLoS computational biology*, 10(3):e1003527, 2014.
- [32] Åsmund Flobak, Anaïs Baudot, Elisabeth Remy, Liv Thommesen, Denis Thieffry, Martin Kuiper, and Astrid Lægreid. Discovery of drug synergies in gastric cancer cells predicted by logical modeling. *PLoS computational biology*, 11(8):e1004426, 2015.
- [33] Swann Floc'Hlay, Maria Dolores Molina, Céline Hernandez, Emmanuel Haillot, Morgane Thomas-Chollier, Thierry Lepage, and Denis Thieffry. Deciphering and modelling the $\operatorname{tgf-}\beta$ signalling interplays specifying the dorsal-ventral axis of the sea urchin embryo. *Development*, 148(2):dev189944, 2021.
- [34] Xiao Gan and Réka Albert. Analysis of a dynamic model of guard cell signaling reveals the stability of signal propagation. *BMC systems biology*, 10(1):1–14, 2016.

- [35] Clare E Giacomantonio and Geoffrey J Goodhill. A boolean model of the gene regulatory network underlying mammalian cortical area development. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 6(9):e1000936, 2010.
- [36] Aitor González, Claudine Chaouiya, and Denis Thieffry. Dynamical analysis of the regulatory network defining the dorsal-ventral boundary of the drosophila wing imaginal disc. *Genetics*, 174(3):1625–1634, 2006.
- [37] Aitor González, Claudine Chaouiya, and Denis Thieffry. Logical modelling of the role of the hh pathway in the patterning of the drosophila wing disc. *Bioinformatics*, 24(16):i234–i240, 2008.
- [38] Melanie Grieb, Andre Burkovski, J Eric Sträng, Johann M Kraus, Alexander Groß, Günther Palm, Michael Kühl, and Hans A Kestler. Predicting variabilities in cardiac gene expression with a boolean network incorporating uncertainty. *PLoS One*, 10(7):e0131832, 2015.
- [39] Luca Grieco, Laurence Calzone, Isabelle Bernard-Pierrot, François Radvanyi, Brigitte Kahn-Perles, and Denis Thieffry. Integrative modelling of the influence of mapk network on cancer cell fate decision. *PLoS computational biology*, 9(10):e1003286, 2013.
- [40] Simone Gupta, Siddharth S Bisht, Ritushree Kukreti, Sanjeev Jain, and Samir K Brahmachari. Boolean network analysis of a neurotransmitter signaling pathway. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 244(3):463–469, 2007.
- [41] Praveen Kumar Guttula, Pedro T Monteiro, and Mukesh Kumar Gupta. A boolean logical model for reprogramming of testes-derived male germline stem cells into germline pluripotent stem cells. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 192:105473, 2020.
- [42] Fiona K Hamey, Sonia Nestorowa, Sarah J Kinston, David G Kent, Nicola K Wilson, and Berthold Göttgens. Reconstructing blood stem cell regulatory network models from single-cell molecular profiles. *Proceedings* of the National Academy of Sciences, 114(23):5822–5829, 2017.
- [43] Tomáš Helikar, Naomi Kochi, Bryan Kowal, Manjari Dimri, Mayumi Naramura, Srikumar M Raja, Vimla Band, Hamid Band, and Jim A Rogers. A comprehensive, multi-scale dynamical model of erbb receptor signal transduction in human mammary epithelial cells. *PloS one*, 8(4):e61757, 2013.
- [44] Tomáš Helikar, John Konvalina, Jack Heidel, and Jim A Rogers. Emergent decision-making in biological signal transduction networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(6):1913–1918, 2008.
- [45] Tomáš Helikar, Bryan Kowal, Sean McClenathan, Mitchell Bruckner, Thaine Rowley, Alex Madrahimov, Ben Wicks, Manish Shrestha, Kahani

- Limbu, and Jim A Rogers. The cell collective: toward an open and collaborative approach to systems biology. *BMC systems biology*, 6(1):1–14, 2012.
- [46] Céline Hernandez, Morgane Thomas-Chollier, Aurélien Naldi, and Denis Thieffry. Computational verification of large logical models—application to the prediction of t cell response to checkpoint inhibitors. Frontiers in physiology, 11:558606, 2020.
- [47] Franziska Herrmann, Alexander Groß, Dao Zhou, Hans A Kestler, and Michael Kühl. A boolean model of the cardiac gene regulatory network determining first and second heart field identity. *PloS one*, 7(10):e46798, 2012.
- [48] David J Irons. Logical analysis of the budding yeast cell cycle. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 257(4):543–559, 2009.
- [49] Nobuhisa Ito, Go Kuwahara, Yuta Sukehiro, and Hiromitsu Teratani. Segmental arterial mediolysis accompanied by renal infarction and pancreatic enlargement: a case report. *Journal of Medical Case Reports*, 6(1):1–5, 2012.
- [50] Andy Jenkins and Matthew Macauley. Bistability and asynchrony in a boolean model of the l-arabinose operon in escherichia coli. Bulletin of mathematical biology, 79(8):1778–1795, 2017.
- [51] Aravind Karanam, David He, Po-Kai Hsu, Sebastian Schulze, Guillaume Dubeaux, Richa Karmakar, Julian I Schroeder, and Wouter-Jan Rappel. Boolink: a graphical interface for open access boolean network simulations and use in guard cell co2 signaling. *Plant Physiology*, 187(4):2311–2322, 2021.
- [52] Laleh Kazemzadeh, Marija Cvijovic, and Dina Petranovic. Boolean model of yeast apoptosis as a tool to study yeast and human apoptotic regulations. Frontiers in physiology, 3:446, 2012.
- [53] Gwenael Kervizic and Laurent Corcos. Dynamical modeling of the cholesterol regulatory pathway with boolean networks. *BMC systems biology*, 2(1):1–14, 2008.
- [54] Steffen Klamt, Julio Saez-Rodriguez, Jonathan A Lindquist, Luca Simeoni, and Ernst D Gilles. A methodology for the structural and functional analysis of signaling and regulatory networks. *BMC bioinformatics*, 7(1):1–26, 2006.
- [55] Hannes Klarner, Adam Streck, and Heike Siebert. PyBoolNet: a python package for the generation, analysis and visualization of boolean networks. *Bioinformatics*, 33(5):770–772, 2017.

- [56] Maria Kondratova, Emmanuel Barillot, Andrei Zinovyev, and Laurence Calzone. Modelling of immune checkpoint network explains synergistic effects of combined immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy and the impact of cytokines in patient response. *Cancers*, 12(12):3600, 2020.
- [57] Ho-Sung Lee, Myeong-Jin Goh, Junil Kim, Tae-Jun Choi, Hae Kwang Lee, Yong Joo Na, and Kwang-Hyun Cho. A systems-biological study on the identification of safe and effective molecular targets for the reduction of ultraviolet b-induced skin pigmentation. *Scientific reports*, 5(1):1–11, 2015.
- [58] Song Li, Sarah M Assmann, and Réka Albert. Predicting essential components of signal transduction networks: a dynamic model of guard cell abscisic acid signaling. *PLoS Biol*, 4(10):e312, 2006.
- [59] Junyan Lu, Hanlin Zeng, Zhongjie Liang, Limin Chen, Liyi Zhang, Hao Zhang, Hong Liu, Hualiang Jiang, Bairong Shen, Ming Huang, et al. Network modelling reveals the mechanism underlying colitis-associated colon cancer and identifies novel combinatorial anti-cancer targets. Scientific reports, 5(1):1–15, 2015.
- [60] Aidan MacNamara, Camille Terfve, David Henriques, Beatriz Peñalver Bernabé, and Julio Saez-Rodriguez. State—time spectrum of signal transduction logic models. *Physical biology*, 9(4):045003, 2012.
- [61] Alex Madrahimov, Tomáš Helikar, Bryan Kowal, Guoqing Lu, and Jim Rogers. Dynamics of influenza virus and human host interactions during infection and replication cycle. *Bulletin of mathematical biology*, 75(6):988–1011, 2013.
- [62] Zhongxing Mai and Haiyan Liu. Boolean network-based analysis of the apoptosis network: irreversible apoptosis and stable surviving. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 259(4):760–769, 2009.
- [63] Rahuman S Malik-Sheriff, Mihai Glont, Tung VN Nguyen, Krishna Tiwari, Matthew G Roberts, Ashley Xavier, Manh T Vu, Jinghao Men, Matthieu Maire, Sarubini Kananathan, et al. Biomodels—15 years of sharing computational models in life science. *Nucleic acids research*, 48(D1):D407–D415, 2020.
- [64] Malvina Marku, Nina Verstraete, Flavien Raynal, Miguel Madrid-Mencía, Marcin Domagala, Jean-Jacques Fournié, Loïc Ysebaert, Mary Poupot, and Vera Pancaldi. Insights on tam formation from a boolean model of macrophage polarization based on in vitro studies. *Cancers*, 12(12):3664, 2020.
- [65] Manuel Marques-Pita and Luis M Rocha. Canalization and control in automata networks: body segmentation in drosophila melanogaster. *PloS* one, 8(3):e55946, 2013.

- [66] Mariana Esther Martinez-Sanchez, Luis Mendoza, Carlos Villarreal, and Elena R Alvarez-Buylla. A minimal regulatory network of extrinsic and intrinsic factors recovers observed patterns of cd4+ t cell differentiation and plasticity. *PLoS computational biology*, 11(6):e1004324, 2015.
- [67] Pablo Martínez-Sosa and Luis Mendoza. The regulatory network that controls the differentiation of T lymphocytes. *Biosystems*, 113(2):96–103, 2013.
- [68] Abibatou Mbodj, E Hilary Gustafson, Lucia Ciglar, Guillaume Junion, Aitor Gonzalez, Charles Girardot, Laurent Perrin, Eileen EM Furlong, and Denis Thieffry. Qualitative dynamical modelling can formally explain mesoderm specification and predict novel developmental phenotypes. PLoS computational biology, 12(9):e1005073, 2016.
- [69] Abibatou Mbodj, Guillaume Junion, Christine Brun, Eileen EM Furlong, and Denis Thieffry. Logical modelling of drosophila signalling pathways. *Molecular BioSystems*, 9(9):2248–2258, 2013.
- [70] Akram Méndez and Luis Mendoza. A network model to describe the terminal differentiation of b cells. PLoS computational biology, 12(1):e1004696, 2016.
- [71] Luis Mendoza. A network model for the control of the differentiation process in th cells. *Biosystems*, 84(2):101–114, 2006.
- [72] Luis Mendoza and Akram Méndez. A dynamical model of the regulatory network controlling lymphopoiesis. *Biosystems*, 137:26–33, 2015.
- [73] Luis Mendoza and Ioannis Xenarios. A method for the generation of standardized qualitative dynamical systems of regulatory networks. Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling, 3(1):1–18, 2006.
- [74] Patrick Meyer, Pallab Maity, Andre Burkovski, Julian Schwab, Christoph Müssel, Karmveer Singh, Filipa F Ferreira, Linda Krug, Harald J Maier, Meinhard Wlaschek, et al. A model of the onset of the senescence associated secretory phenotype after dna damage induced senescence. PLoS computational biology, 13(12):e1005741, 2017.
- [75] José Mombach, Cristhian A Bugs, and Claudine Chaouiya. Modelling the onset of senescence at the g1/s cell cycle checkpoint. *BMC genomics*, 15(7):1–11, 2014.
- [76] Arnau Montagud, Jonas Béal, Luis Tobalina, Pauline Traynard, Vigneshwari Subramanian, Bence Szalai, Róbert Alföldi, László Puskás, Alfonso Valencia, Emmanuel Barillot, et al. Patient-specific boolean models of signalling networks guide personalised treatments. *Elife*, 11:e72626, 2022.
- [77] Jana Musilová. Signaling pathway for butanol production in solventogenic clostridium bacteria. Master's thesis, Brno University of Technology, 2019.

- [78] Aurélien Naldi, Jorge Carneiro, Claudine Chaouiya, and Denis Thieffry. Diversity and plasticity of th cell types predicted from regulatory network modelling. *PLoS computational biology*, 6(9):e1000912, 2010.
- [79] Aurélien Naldi, Céline Hernandez, Wassim Abou-Jaoudé, Pedro T Monteiro, Claudine Chaouiya, and Denis Thieffry. Logical modeling and analysis of cellular regulatory networks with ginsim 3.0. Frontiers in physiology, 9:646, 2018.
- [80] Anna Niarakis, Yacine Bounab, Luca Grieco, Romain Roncagalli, Anne-Marie Hesse, Jérôme Garin, Bernard Malissen, Marc Daëron, and Denis Thieffry. Computational modeling of the main signaling pathways involved in mast cell activation. Fc Receptors, pages 69–93, 2014.
- [81] Karen J Nuñez-Reza, Aurélien Naldi, Arantza Sánchez-Jiménez, Ana V Leon-Apodaca, M Angélica Santana, Morgane Thomas-Chollier, Denis Thieffry, and Alejandra Medina-Rivera. Logical modelling of in vitro differentiation of human monocytes into dendritic cells unravels novel transcriptional regulatory interactions. *Interface Focus*, 11(4):20200061, 2021.
- [82] Barbara Offermann, Steffen Knauer, Amit Singh, María L Fernández-Cachón, Martin Klose, Silke Kowar, Hauke Busch, and Melanie Boerries. Boolean modeling reveals the necessity of transcriptional regulation for bistability in pc12 cell differentiation. Frontiers in genetics, page 44, 2016.
- [83] Cihan Oguz, Layne T Watson, William T Baumann, and John J Tyson. Predicting network modules of cell cycle regulators using relative protein abundance statistics. *BMC systems biology*, 11(1):1–24, 2017.
- [84] David A Orlando, Charles Y Lin, Allister Bernard, Jean Y Wang, Joshua ES Socolar, Edwin S Iversen, Alexander J Hartemink, and Steven B Haase. Global control of cell-cycle transcription by coupled cdk and network oscillators. *Nature*, 453(7197):944–947, 2008.
- [85] Elizabeth Ortiz-Gutiérrez, Karla García-Cruz, Eugenio Azpeitia, Aaron Castillo, María de la Paz Sánchez, and Elena R Álvarez-Buylla. A dynamic gene regulatory network model that recovers the cyclic behavior of arabidopsis thaliana cell cycle. PLoS computational biology, 11(9):e1004486, 2015.
- [86] Marek Ostaszewski, Alexander Mazein, Marc E Gillespie, Inna Kuperstein, Anna Niarakis, Henning Hermjakob, Alexander R Pico, Egon L Willighagen, Chris T Evelo, Jan Hasenauer, et al. Covid-19 disease map, building a computational repository of sars-cov-2 virus-host interaction mechanisms. Scientific data, 7(1):1-4, 2020.
- [87] Oyebode J Oyeyemi, Oluwafemi Davies, David L Robertson, and Jean-Marc Schwartz. A logical model of hiv-1 interactions with the t-cell activation signalling pathway. *Bioinformatics*, 31(7):1075–1083, 2015.

- [88] Alessandro Palma, Marta Iannuccelli, Ilaria Rozzo, Luana Licata, Livia Perfetto, Giorgia Massacci, Luisa Castagnoli, Gianni Cesareni, and Francesca Sacco. Integrating patient-specific information into logic models of complex diseases: application to acute myeloid leukemia. *Journal* of Personalized Medicine, 11(2):117, 2021.
- [89] Alessandro Palma, Abdul Salam Jarrah, Paolo Tieri, Gianni Cesareni, and Filippo Castiglione. Gene regulatory network modeling of macrophage differentiation corroborates the continuum hypothesis of polarization states. *Frontiers in physiology*, 9:1659, 2018.
- [90] Daniel Plaugher and David Murrugarra. Modeling the pancreatic cancer microenvironment in search of control targets. Bulletin of Mathematical Biology, 83(11):1–26, 2021.
- [91] Sobia Raza, Kevin A Robertson, Paul A Lacaze, David Page, Anton J Enright, Peter Ghazal, and Tom C Freeman. A logic-based diagram of signalling pathways central to macrophage activation. BMC systems biology, 2(1):1–15, 2008.
- [92] Elisabeth Remy, Sandra Rebouissou, Claudine Chaouiya, Andrei Zinovyev, François Radvanyi, and Laurence Calzone. A modeling approach to explain mutually exclusive and co-occurring genetic alterations in bladder tumorigenesismathematical model of bladder tumorigenesis. Cancer research, 75(19):4042–4052, 2015.
- [93] Julia Rex, Ute Albrecht, Christian Ehlting, Maria Thomas, Ulrich M Zanger, Oliver Sawodny, Dieter Häussinger, Michael Ederer, Ronny Feuer, and Johannes G Bode. Model-based characterization of inflammatory gene expression patterns of activated macrophages. *PLoS computational biology*, 12(7):e1005018, 2016.
- [94] Osiris Ríos, Sara Frias, Alfredo Rodríguez, Susana Kofman, Horacio Merchant, Leda Torres, and Luis Mendoza. A boolean network model of human gonadal sex determination. *Theoretical Biology and Medical Mod*elling, 12(1):1–18, 2015.
- [95] Alfredo Rodriguez, David Sosa, Leda Torres, Bertha Molina, Sara Frias, and Luis Mendoza. A boolean network model of the fa/brca pathway. *Bioinformatics*, 28(6):858–866, 2012.
- [96] Alfredo Rodríguez, Leda Torres, Ulises Juárez, David Sosa, Eugenio Azpeitia, Benilde García-de Teresa, Edith Cortés, Rocío Ortíz, Ana M Salazar, Patricia Ostrosky-Wegman, et al. Fanconi anemia cells with unrepaired dna damage activate components of the checkpoint recovery process. Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling, 12(1):1–22, 2015.
- [97] Otoniel Rodríguez-Jorge, Linda A Kempis-Calanis, Wassim Abou-Jaoudé, Darely Y Gutiérrez-Reyna, Céline Hernandez, Oscar Ramirez-Pliego,

- Morgane Thomas-Chollier, Salvatore Spicuglia, Maria A Santana, and Denis Thieffry. Cooperation between t cell receptor and toll-like receptor 5 signaling for cd4+ t cell activation. *Science signaling*, 12(577):eaar3641, 2019.
- [98] Anke Ryll, Regina Samaga, Fred Schaper, Leonidas G Alexopoulos, and Steffen Klamt. Large-scale network models of IL-1 and IL-6 signalling and their hepatocellular specification. *Molecular Biosystems*, 7(12):3253–3270, 2011.
- [99] Assieh Saadatpour, Rui-Sheng Wang, Aijun Liao, Xin Liu, Thomas P Loughran, István Albert, and Réka Albert. Dynamical and structural analysis of a t cell survival network identifies novel candidate therapeutic targets for large granular lymphocyte leukemia. *PLoS computational* biology, 7(11):e1002267, 2011.
- [100] Julio Saez-Rodriguez, Luca Simeoni, Jonathan A Lindquist, Rebecca Hemenway, Ursula Bommhardt, Boerge Arndt, Utz-Uwe Haus, Robert Weismantel, Ernst D Gilles, Steffen Klamt, et al. A logical model provides insights into T cell receptor signaling. *PLoS computational biology*, 3(8):e163, 2007.
- [101] Özgür Sahin, Holger Fröhlich, Christian Löbke, Ulrike Korf, Sara Burmester, Meher Majety, Jens Mattern, Ingo Schupp, Claudine Chaouiya, Denis Thieffry, et al. Modeling erbb receptor-regulated g1/s transition to find novel targets for de novo trastuzumab resistance. BMC systems biology, 3(1):1–20, 2009.
- [102] Regina Samaga, Julio Saez-Rodriguez, Leonidas G Alexopoulos, Peter K Sorger, and Steffen Klamt. The logic of EGFR/ErbB signaling: theoretical properties and analysis of high-throughput data. *PLoS computational biology*, 5(8):e1000438, 2009.
- [103] Lucas Sánchez and Claudine Chaouiya. Primary sex determination of placental mammals: a modelling study uncovers dynamical developmental constraints in the formation of sertoli and granulosa cells. *BMC systems biology*, 10(1):1–11, 2016.
- [104] Lucas Sánchez and Claudine Chaouiya. Logical modelling uncovers developmental constraints for primary sex determination of chicken gonads. Journal of The Royal Society Interface, 15(142):20180165, 2018.
- [105] Lucas Sánchez, Claudine Chaouiya, and Denis Thieffry. Segmenting the fly embryo: logical analysis of the role of the segment polarity cross-regulatory module. *International journal of developmental biology*, 52(8):1059–1075, 2002.
- [106] Lucas Sánchez and Denis Thieffry. A logical analysis of the drosophila gap-gene system. *Journal of theoretical Biology*, 211(2):115–141, 2001.

- [107] Lucas Sanchez and Denis Thieffry. Segmenting the fly embryo:: a logical analysis of the pair-rule cross-regulatory module. *Journal of theoretical Biology*, 224(4):517–537, 2003.
- [108] Ismael Sánchez-Osorio, Carlos A Hernández-Martínez, and Agustino Martínez-Antonio. Modeling asymmetric cell division in caulobacter crescentus using a boolean logic approach. In Asymmetric Cell Division in Development, Differentiation and Cancer, pages 1–21. Springer, 2017.
- [109] José Antonio Sánchez-Villanueva, Otoniel Rodríguez-Jorge, Oscar Ramírez-Pliego, Gabriela Rosas Salgado, Wassim Abou-Jaoudé, Céline Hernandez, Aurélien Naldi, Denis Thieffry, and María Angélica Santana. Contribution of ros and metabolic status to neonatal and adult cd8+ t cell activation. *PLoS One*, 14(12):e0226388, 2019.
- [110] Gianluca Selvaggio, Sara Canato, Archana Pawar, Pedro T Monteiro, Patrícia S Guerreiro, M Manuela Brás, Florence Janody, and Claudine Chaouiya. Hybrid epithelial–mesenchymal phenotypes are controlled by microenvironmental factorsmicroenvironment driving emt plasticity. Cancer research, 80(11):2407–2420, 2020.
- [111] Rafael Silva-Rocha and Víctor de Lorenzo. The tol network of p seudomonas putida mt-2 processes multiple environmental inputs into a narrow response space. *Environmental microbiology*, 15(1):271–286, 2013.
- [112] E Simao, Elisabeth Remy, Denis Thieffry, and Claudine Chaouiya. Qualitative modelling of regulated metabolic pathways: application to the tryptophan biosynthesis in e. coli. *Bioinformatics*, 21(suppl_2):ii190–ii196, 2005.
- [113] Amit Singh, Juliana M Nascimento, Silke Kowar, Hauke Busch, and Melanie Boerries. Boolean approach to signalling pathway modelling in hgf-induced keratinocyte migration. *Bioinformatics*, 28(18):i495–i501, 2012.
- [114] Sriram Sridharan, Ritwik Layek, Aniruddha Datta, and Jijayanagaram Venkatraj. Boolean modeling and fault diagnosis in oxidative stress response. *BMC genomics*, 13(6):1–16, 2012.
- [115] Steven N Steinway, Matthew B Biggs, Thomas P Loughran Jr, Jason A Papin, and Reka Albert. Inference of network dynamics and metabolic interactions in the gut microbiome. *PLoS computational biology*, 11(6):e1004338, 2015.
- [116] Steven Nathaniel Steinway, Jorge GT Zañudo, Wei Ding, Carl Bart Rountree, David J Feith, Thomas P Loughran, and Reka Albert. Network modeling of $\operatorname{tgf}\beta$ signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition reveals joint sonic hedgehog and wnt pathway activationnetwork modeling of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Cancer research, 74(21):5963–5977, 2014.

- [117] Camille Terfve, Thomas Cokelaer, David Henriques, Aidan MacNamara, Emanuel Goncalves, Melody K Morris, Martijn van Iersel, Douglas A Lauffenburger, and Julio Saez-Rodriguez. Cellnoptr: a flexible toolkit to train protein signaling networks to data using multiple logic formalisms. BMC systems biology, 6(1):1–14, 2012.
- [118] Juilee Thakar, Ashutosh K Pathak, Lisa Murphy, Réka Albert, and Isabella M Cattadori. Network model of immune responses reveals key effectors to single and co-infection dynamics by a respiratory bacterium and a gastrointestinal helminth. PLoS computational biology, 8(1):e1002345, 2012.
- [119] Denis Thieffry and René Thomas. Dynamical behaviour of biological regulatory networks—ii. immunity control in bacteriophage lambda. *Bulletin of mathematical biology*, 57(2):277–297, 1995.
- [120] Robert G Todd and Tomáš Helikar. Ergodic sets as cell phenotype of budding yeast cell cycle. 2012.
- [121] Pauline Traynard, Adrien Fauré, François Fages, and Denis Thieffry. Logical model specification aided by model-checking techniques: application to the mammalian cell cycle regulation. *Bioinformatics*, 32(17):i772–i780, 2016.
- [122] Stefania Vaga, Marti Bernardo-Faura, Thomas Cokelaer, Alessio Maiolica, Christopher A Barnes, Ludovic C Gillet, Björn Hegemann, Frank van Drogen, Hoda Sharifian, Edda Klipp, et al. Phosphoproteomic analyses reveal novel cross-modulation mechanisms between two signaling pathways in yeast. *Molecular systems biology*, 10(12):767, 2014.
- [123] Alan Veliz-Cuba and Brandilyn Stigler. Boolean models can explain bistability in the lac operon. *Journal of computational biology*, 18(6):783–794, 2011.
- [124] Loic Verlingue, Aurélien Dugourd, Gautier Stoll, Emmanuel Barillot, Laurence Calzone, and Arturo Londoño-Vallejo. A comprehensive approach to the molecular determinants of lifespan using a boolean model of gero-conversion. Aging cell, 15(6):1018–1026, 2016.
- [125] Nathan Weinstein and Luis Mendoza. A network model for the specification of vulval precursor cells and cell fusion control in caenorhabditis elegans. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 4:112, 2013.
- [126] David J Wooten, Jorge Gómez Tejeda Zañudo, David Murrugarra, Austin M Perry, Anna Dongari-Bagtzoglou, Reinhard Laubenbacher, Clarissa J Nobile, and Réka Albert. Mathematical modeling of the candida albicans yeast to hyphal transition reveals novel control strategies. PLoS computational biology, 17(3):e1008690, 2021.

- [127] Jorge GT Zañudo, Steven N Steinway, and Réka Albert. Discrete dynamic network modeling of oncogenic signaling: Mechanistic insights for personalized treatment of cancer. *Current Opinion in Systems Biology*, 9:1–10, 2018.
- [128] Ranran Zhang, Mithun Vinod Shah, Jun Yang, Susan B Nyland, Xin Liu, Jong K Yun, Réka Albert, and Thomas P Loughran. Network model of survival signaling in large granular lymphocyte leukemia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 105(42):16308–16313, 2008.