Report

- 1. I changed temperature to test impact of higher values
 - It seems to be more repetitive with lower temperatures
 - With higher temperatures I have not visually noticed anything special
- 2. I tried Pedro's code to test different values for the top_p, frequency_penalty, and presence_penalty parameters:
 - The most common issue across all the outputs with a frequency_penalty of -2.0 is repetition of words or phrases
 - Excessive use of commas with top_p 0.1, frequency_penalty -2.0, presence_penalty 0.0
 - The more balanced and coherent outputs were observed with settings closer to zero for both penalties
 - Increasing the presence penalty to 1.0 or 2.0 often seems to leads to somewhat more varied content
 - Balanced outputs are better achieved with frequency and presence penalties set around zero or slightly positive values
- 3. I created code in the same spirit to test the impact of the number of tokens:
 - 10: The response is extremely brief, providing a broad, non-specific statement lacking detail
 - 20: Slightly longer, still brief, starts to introduce additional ideas
 - 50: More comprehensive introduction, mentions multiple factors, incomplete transition to a more detailed discussion
 - 100: Noticeably longer and more detailed, discusses specific technological advancements and begins addressing significant challenges, well-structured
 - 200: discusses multiple potential aspects of humanity's future, including technological advancements, societal impacts, ethical considerations, and potential challenges, well-rounded and comprehensive
- 4. I encountered problems testing the impact of changing *Top P, Frequency Penalty, and Presence Penalty,* which I couldn't debug. So I just tried some combinations:
 - Lower Values of top_p: The model tends to produce more predictable rsponses
 - Negative Values of frequency_penalty: Penalizes common tokens less, more repetitive responses
 - Negative Values of presence_penalty: Penalizes token repetitions less, can mirror the context