

# AMATH 569 - Homework 4

Nate Whybra

May 2025

## Problem 1

We proceed by induction. We know Hölder's inequality holds when  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$ . Now, assume the hypothesis that for  $(p_i)_{i=1}^n \subset (0, \infty]$  such that  $\sum_i (1/p_i) = 1$ , and for functions  $f_i \in L^{p_i}(\Omega)$  we have:

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \right\|_1 \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{p_i}$$

Now suppose we have matching conditions but for  $(q_i)_{i=1}^{n+1} \subset (0, \infty]$ . Then we have:

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{q_i} + \frac{1}{q_{n+1}} = 1$$

So that  $p$  and  $q$  are Hölder conjugates both  $> 0$ . By the inductive hypothesis we know  $\prod_{i=1}^n f_i \in L^p$ . We also know that  $f_{n+1} \in L^q$ . We can then say by Hölder's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} f_i \right\|_1 &= \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \cdot f_{n+1} \right\|_1 \\ &\leq \left\| \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \right\|_p \|f_{n+1}\|_q \end{aligned}$$

Now applying the inductive hypothesis again we have:

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^n f_i \right\|_p \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|_{q_i}$$

Putting everything together, we get the desired result:

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} f_i \right\|_1 \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \|f_i\|_{q_i}$$

This completes the proof. Note: The same argument works for the case  $q_i = \infty$  since Hölder's inequality still holds when one exponent is infinity provided we interpret the  $\infty$  norm as the essential supremum.

## Problem 2

For now suppose that  $t > 0$ , and let  $x = (1/t)$  so that:

$$f(t) = f\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) = \exp(-x)$$

As  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  we have  $x \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $\exp(-x) \rightarrow 0$ . Then:

$$f'(t) = -\exp(-x) \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{1}{t^2} \exp(-x) = x^2 \exp(-x)$$

And as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ , we have  $x \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $f'(t) \rightarrow 0$  (the exponential decay kills the growth of any polynomial). By induction, we would like to show that for  $j > 0$  that  $f^{(j)}(t) = P_j(x) \exp(-x)$  (where  $P_j(x)$  is a polynomial in  $x$ ) so we can argue that as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  we have  $x \rightarrow \infty$  so that  $f^{(j)}(t) \rightarrow 0$ . For  $j = 1$ , we showed the result above. Now for the sake of induction, suppose that  $f^{(j)}(x) = P_j(x) \exp(-x)$ , then by the product rule and chain rule:

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(j+1)}(t) &= -P_j(x) \exp(-x) \frac{dx}{dt} + \exp(-x) P_j'(x) \frac{dx}{dt} \\ &= \frac{dx}{dt} \exp(-x) (P_j'(x) - P_j(x)) \\ &= -x^2 \exp(-x) (P_j'(x) - P_j(x)) \\ &:= P_{j+1}(x) \exp(-x) \end{aligned}$$

Where we have defined  $P_{j+1}(x) = -x^2(P_j'(x) - P_j(x))$  to be the next polynomial. This proves our proposition so that as  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  we have  $f^{(j)}(t) \rightarrow 0$ . Now when  $t \leq 0$ , we have  $f(t) = 0$ , and it is clear that  $f^{(j)}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0^-$ . Since the left and right limits agree, we have  $f^{(j)}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  as desired. So for  $j \geq 0$ , we know:

$$f^{(j)}(t) = \begin{cases} P_j\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{t}\right) & t > 0 \\ 0 & t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

When  $t > 0$ ,  $f^{(j)}(t) = P_j(x) \exp(-x)$  is continuous, and likewise when  $t < 0$ ,  $f^{(j)}(t) = 0$ . Where we have just shown above that  $f^{(j)}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , so  $f^{(j)}(t)$  is continuous when  $t = 0$ . Hence for each  $j \geq 0$ ,  $f^{(j)}(t)$  exists and is continuous on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ , therefore  $f$  is smooth, ie.  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

Now suppose we want a function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $g(t) > 0$  on  $(-1, 1)$  and  $\text{supp } g = [-1, 1]$ , we can define:

$$g(t) = f(1 - t^2) = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-t^2}\right) & 1 - t^2 < 0 \\ 0 & 1 - t^2 \geq 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-t^2}\right) & -1 < t < 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Then by our earlier argument,  $g(t)$  satisfies the above desired properties. Now suppose we want to construct a function  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying:

$$\begin{cases} (1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) \, dx = 1 \\ (2) \psi \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \\ (3) \psi(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

Then we can take:

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} C \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-|x|^2}\right) & \text{for } |x| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

Where:

$$C = \frac{1}{\int_{B_1(0)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-|x|^2}\right) \, dx}$$

Which makes sense as the integral is surely positive. To justify the smoothness of  $\psi$ , we realize:

$$\psi(x) = C f(h(x)) = C f(1 - |x|^2)$$

As the the function  $h(x) = 1 - |x|^2 = 1 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_n^2 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\psi$  is the composition of smooth functions which is scaled by a constant, and is hence smooth on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To not violate the smoothness of  $\psi$ ,  $\psi$  must vanish to 0 as  $|x| \rightarrow 1$ , hence the compact support of  $\psi$  must be the unit ball  $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , so that  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This shows (2) and (3). The integral condition (1) is trivially satisfied as:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x) \, dx = C \int_{B_1(0)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{1-|x|^2}\right) \, dx = C \cdot \frac{1}{C} = 1$$

Therefore  $\psi$  satisfies all the desired properties, and we are done.

### Problem 3

Let  $u = (x/\epsilon)$ . Then  $\epsilon^n du = dx$ , and we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_\epsilon(x) \, dx &= \frac{\epsilon^n}{\epsilon^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(u) \, du \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(u) \, du \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Which shows the first condition as desired. To argue the third condition, with the same substitution, we can write:

$$\psi_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \psi(u)$$

As  $\psi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > 1$ , we have  $\psi(u) \geq 0$  for  $|u| = |(x/\epsilon)| > 1 \implies |x| > \epsilon$ , as desired. For the second condition, as both  $\epsilon$  and  $\psi$  are non-negative, it is easy to see  $\psi_\epsilon \geq 0$ . All that is left to discuss is whether  $\psi_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . As  $\psi_\epsilon$  is just a scaled and dilated version of  $\psi$ , it is clearly still smooth. We can also realize that if  $\psi(x) = 0$ , then  $\psi_\epsilon(u) = (1/\epsilon^n)\psi(u/\epsilon) = 0$  when  $x = u/\epsilon$ . Therefore if  $K$  is the support of  $\psi$ , we can define the compact support of  $\psi_\epsilon$  to be  $K_\epsilon = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : u = x/\epsilon \quad \forall x \in K\}$ , so that  $\psi_\epsilon \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Therefore,  $\psi_\epsilon$  has all the desired properties and we are done.

## Problem 4

Define  $\psi_\epsilon$  as in **Problem 3**. Now for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , define the set  $A_\epsilon = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}(y, A) < \epsilon\}$ , and then we let:

$$\chi_\epsilon(x) = (1_{A_\epsilon} * \psi_\epsilon) = (\psi_\epsilon * 1_{A_\epsilon})$$

Expanding we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\epsilon(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi_\epsilon(x - y) 1_{A_\epsilon}(y) \, dy \\ &= \int_{A_\epsilon} \psi_\epsilon(x - y) \, dy \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

$\psi_\epsilon(x - y)$  has support on  $B_\epsilon(x)$ . But if  $x \in A$ , then  $B_\epsilon(x) \subset A_\epsilon$  so that the above:

$$\begin{aligned}&= \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} \psi_\epsilon(x - y) \, dy \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

If  $\text{dist}(x, A) \geq \epsilon$ , then  $B_\epsilon(x) \cap A_\epsilon = \emptyset$ , so that  $(1) = 0$ , and  $\chi_\epsilon(x) = 0$ . If  $0 < \text{dist}(x, A) < \epsilon$ , then  $(1)$  implies:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_\epsilon(x) &= \int_{A_\epsilon \cap B_\epsilon(x)} \psi_\epsilon(x - y) \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} \psi_\epsilon(x - y) \, dy \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\psi_\epsilon \geq 0$ , so is  $\chi_\epsilon$ , hence if  $0 < \text{dist}(x, A) < \epsilon$ , we have  $0 \leq \chi_\epsilon \leq 1$ . Also from **Lecture 15.1**, we have (we note that the functions meet the requirements for this later):

$$\begin{aligned}|D^\alpha \chi_\epsilon(x)| &= |(D^\alpha \psi_\epsilon * 1_{A_\epsilon})| \\ &= \left| \int_{A_\epsilon} D^\alpha \psi_\epsilon(x - y) \, dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} |D^\alpha \psi_\epsilon(x - y)| \, dy\end{aligned}$$

Now as  $\psi_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-n}\psi(x/\epsilon)$ , we can take  $\psi$  to be the same as from **Problem 2**. The integrand above looks like:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\alpha \psi_\epsilon(x - y)| &= \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \psi_\epsilon(x - y)}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{n+|\alpha|}} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \psi\left(\frac{x-y}{\epsilon}\right)}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\epsilon^{n+|\alpha|}} \end{aligned}$$

Where in the second line we used the definition of  $\psi_\epsilon$ . Since  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we know  $\psi$  and all of its derivatives are bounded, so we can upper bound the second line by a positive constant  $C$ . Going back, we now have:

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\alpha \chi_\epsilon(x)| &\leq \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} |D^\alpha \psi_\epsilon(x - y)| \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_\epsilon(x)} \frac{C}{\epsilon^{n+|\alpha|}} \, dy \\ &= \text{Vol}(B_\epsilon(x)) \cdot \frac{C}{\epsilon^{n+|\alpha|}} \\ &= C' \cdot \frac{\epsilon^n}{\epsilon^{n+|\alpha|}} \\ &= C' \epsilon^{-|\alpha|} \end{aligned}$$

Where we have used that the volume of  $B_\epsilon(x)$  is proportional to  $\epsilon^n$  and combined the other constant part with  $C$  into  $C' > 0$ . One final note,  $\chi_\epsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , as it is the convolution of a smooth function with compact support ( $\psi_\epsilon$ ) with an  $L^\infty$  function ( $1_{A_\epsilon}$ ) (this also justifies our use of **15.1**). This completes this problem.

## Problem 5

Using **Definition 5.36** from Renardy and Rogers, we have:

$$(H', \phi) = -(H, \phi') = - \int_0^\infty \phi'(x) \, dx = \phi(0) = (\delta, \phi)$$

Where in the second to last equality, we use that the test function  $\phi$  decays to 0 towards  $\infty$ . This completes the calculation.

## Problem 6

The hint was very nice, but the “only if” direction is actually completely proved in **Lemma 5.16** by Renardy and Rogers. Therefore, it remains to show the forward direction. Suppose that for each compact set  $K \subset \Omega$ , there exists  $m, C_{K,m} \geq 0$  such that:

$$|l(\phi)| \leq C_{K,m} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{x \in K} |D^\alpha \phi(x)|$$

To show sequential continuity, take  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  in  $D(K)$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} |l(\phi) - l(\phi_n)| &= |l(\phi - \phi_n)| \\ &\leq C_{K,m} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sup_{x \in K} |D^\alpha(\phi(x) - \phi_n(x))| \end{aligned}$$

Where the first equality follows as  $l$  is linear. As  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  so that the RHS above approaches 0 and:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l(\phi_n) = l(\phi)$$

We are justified in passing the limit since  $\phi, \phi_n$  are smooth functions with compact support (ie  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  uniformly on  $K$ ). This proves the sequential continuity of  $l$ , and we are done.



## Problem 7

We can write:

$$u(x, t) = (G(\cdot, t) * u_0) + \int_0^t (G(\cdot, t - s) * f(\cdot, s)) ds$$

We interpret  $G_t$  and  $LG$  in the sense of distributions, so all operations are justified via distributional derivatives and convolution with test functions. So differentiating with respect to  $t$ , with Leibniz rule and the FTC we see:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= (G_t(\cdot, t) * u_0) + (G_t(\cdot, 0) * f(\cdot, t)) + \int_0^t (G_t(\cdot, t - s) * f(y, s)) ds \\ &= (LG(\cdot, t) * u_0) + \int_0^t (LG(\cdot, t - s) * f(y, s)) ds + (\delta * f(\cdot, t)) \\ &= L \left( (G(\cdot, t) * u_0) + \int_0^t (G(\cdot, t - s) * f(\cdot, s)) ds \right) + f(x, t) \\ &= Lu + f \end{aligned}$$

This shows the first condition for the PDE is satisfied by  $u$ . We now check the boundary condition:

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) &= (G(\cdot, 0) * u_0) + \int_0^0 (G(\cdot, -s) * f(\cdot, s)) ds \\ &= (\delta * u_0) + 0 \\ &= u_0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the boundary condition is also satisfied and  $u(x, t)$  solves the PDE.