

AMATH 563 - Homework 1 (Theory)

Nate Whybra

April 2025

Problem 1

On the interval $[a, b]$, let $x_n(t) = 0$ if $t \in [a, \frac{a+b}{2}]$, $x_n(t) = 1$ if $t \in [\frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{1}{n}, b]$, and $x_n(t) = n(x - \frac{a+b}{2})$ in between (where eventually n is large enough to guarantee that the intervals will be contained in $[a, b]$). These are piecewise-linear functions, so they are clearly in $C([a, b])$. We will show this sequence is Cauchy in $C([a, b])$ with the $L^2([a, b])$ norm, but does not converge to a continuous function on $[a, b]$. Consider:

$$|x_n(t) - x_m(t)| = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \leq t \leq \frac{a+b}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } \frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{1}{\min(n, m)} \leq t \leq b \\ |n - m| (x - \frac{a+b}{2}) & \text{if } \frac{a+b}{2} \leq t \leq \frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{1}{\max(n, m)} \end{cases}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n(t) - x_m(t)\|^2 &= (n - m)^2 \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^{\frac{a+b}{2} + \frac{1}{\max(n, m)}} \left(t - \frac{a+b}{2}\right)^2 dt \\ &= (n - m)^2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{\max(n, m)}} u^2 du \\ &= \frac{(n - m)^2}{3 \max(n, m)^3} \\ &\leq \frac{\max(n, m)^2}{3 \max(n, m)^3} = \frac{1}{3 \max(n, m)} \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $m, n > \frac{1}{3\epsilon^2}$ (for $\epsilon > 0$) we see the above is bounded by ϵ^2 , so that:

$$\|x_n(t) - x_m(t)\| < \epsilon$$

Therefore, the sequence of functions is Cauchy in $C[a, b]$ with our chosen norm. However, now consider $x_n(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In the limit, we should get the function:

$$x(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a \leq t < \frac{a+b}{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{a+b}{2} < t \leq b \end{cases}$$

Which is not continuous on $[a, b]$. Thus, our Cauchy sequence does not converge to a function in $C([a, b])$, so $C([a, b])$ equipped with the $L^2([a, b])$ norm is not a Banach space.

Problem 2

A normed space is a vector space with a norm. So to show that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space with $X = X_1 \times X_2$ and $\|x\| = \max(\|x_1\|_1, \|x_2\|_2)$, all we must do is show that X is a vector space and that $\|\cdot\|$ is a valid norm on X . To show X is a vector space, consider two vectors $u, v \in X$. Then $u = (u_1, u_2)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2)$ for $u_1, v_1 \in X_1$ and $u_2, v_2 \in X_2$. We must show X is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. So take $a, b \in F$, then:

$$au + bv = a(u_1, u_2) + b(v_1, v_2) = (au_1 + bv_1, au_2 + bv_2) = (w_1, w_2)$$

As X_1 is a vector space and $u_1, v_1 \in X_1$, we must have $w_1 \in X_1$. Also, as X_2 is a vector space and $u_2, v_2 \in X_2$, we must have $w_2 \in X_2$. Therefore, $au + bv = (w_1, w_2) \in X_1 \times X_2 = X$, and X is a vector space. Now define $\|x\| = \max(\|x_1\|_1, \|x_2\|_2)$ for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in X$. We must show that $\|\cdot\|$ satisfies the properties of a norm. To show positive definiteness, if $\|x\| = 0$, then $\max(\|x_1\|_1, \|x_2\|_2) = 0$. As both $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$ are norms, they are both non-negative. So if the maximum is 0, they must be 0, hence it must be that $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$ which is the 0 vector in X . To show absolute homogeneity, let $s \in F$, then:

$$\|sx\| = \|(sx_1, sx_2)\| = \max(\|sx_1\|_1, \|sx_2\|_2) = |s| \max(\|x_1\|_1, \|x_2\|_2) = |s| \|x\|$$

Where the second to last equality follows from the absolute homogeneity of $\|\cdot\|_1$ and $\|\cdot\|_2$. Finally, to show the triangle inequality, let $x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in X$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\| &= \|(x_1, x_2) + (y_1, y_2)\| \\ &= \|(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2)\| \\ &= \max(\|x_1 + y_1\|_1, \|x_2 + y_2\|_2) := M \end{aligned}$$

There are two cases here. If $M = \|x_1 + y_1\|_1$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x + y\| &= \|x_1 + y_1\|_1 \leq \|x_1\|_1 + \|y_1\|_1 \\ &\leq \max(\|x_1\|_1, \|x_2\|_2) + \max(\|y_1\|_1, \|y_2\|_2) = \|x\| + \|y\| \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $M = \|x_2 + y_2\|_2$, then:

$$\begin{aligned}\|x + y\| &= \|x_2 + y_2\|_2 \leq \|x_2\|_2 + \|y_2\|_2 \\ &\leq \max(\|x_1\|_1, \|x_2\|_2) + \max(\|y_1\|_1, \|y_2\|_2) = \|x\| + \|y\|\end{aligned}$$

So in either case, the triangle inequality is satisfied. Thus, $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on X and $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed space.

Problem 3

Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ and $S : Y \rightarrow Z$ be linear operators, and also define $H(x) = ST(x) = S(T(x)) : X \rightarrow Z$ to be the composition of S and T . We want to show that H is also a linear operator, so take $x, y \in X$ and $a, b \in F$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} H(ax + by) &= S(T(ax + by)) = S(aT(x) + bT(y)) \\ &= aS(T(x)) + bS(T(y)) \\ &= aH(x) + bH(y) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the composition H is a linear operator.

Problem 4

Suppose we have the functional, with $x \in C([a, b])$:

$$f(x) = \max_{t \in [a, b]} x(t)$$

This functional cannot be linear in general. For instance take $x(t) = \cos^2(t)$ and $y(t) = \sin^2(t)$ on $[a, b] = [0, 2\pi]$ (both functions are continuous on $[0, 2\pi]$). Then:

$$f(x + y) = \max_{t \in [a, b]} (\cos^2(t) + \sin^2(t)) = \max_{t \in [a, b]} (1) = 1$$

$$f(x) + f(y) = \max_{t \in [a, b]} (\cos^2(t)) + \max_{t \in [a, b]} (\sin^2(t)) = 1 + 1 = 2$$

So $f(x + y) \neq f(x) + f(y)$, and f cannot be linear as stated earlier. The functional should be bounded. Consider $f(x(t))$ when $\|x(t)\| = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t)| = 1$. Now:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| &= \sup_{\|x\|=1} f(x) = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \max_{t \in [a, b]} x(t) \\ &= \sup_{\|x\|=1} \sup_{t \in [a, b]} x(t) \leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} \sup_{t \in [a, b]} |x(t)| = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Where the third equality follows from the Extreme Value Theorem. Hence, the norm of the functional is bounded.

Problem 5

To show that $\|\varphi\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\varphi(x)|$ is a norm on X^* , we must show that it satisfies the properties of a norm. To show non-negativity, let $\|\varphi\| = 0$, then:

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} |\varphi(x)| = 0$$

Since $|\varphi(x)| \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$, we must have that $\varphi(x) = 0$ for the above to be true. Now to show absolute homogeneity, take $\varphi \in X^*$ and $s \in F$, then:

$$\|s\varphi\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |s\varphi(x)| = |s| \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\varphi(x)| = |s| \|\varphi\|$$

Finally, to show the triangle inequality, consider $f, g \in X^*$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f + g\| &= \sup_{\|x\|=1} |f(x) + g(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} (|f(x)| + |g(x)|) \quad (\text{Triangle Inequality}) \\ &\leq \sup_{\|x\|=1} |f(x)| + \sup_{\|x\|=1} |g(x)| \\ &= \|f\| + \|g\| \end{aligned}$$

So all norm properties are satisfied, hence $\|\varphi\|$ defines a norm for $\varphi \in X^*$.

Problem 6

Let $x, y \in X$ and define $z = x - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} y$ (assuming $y \neq 0$, if $y = 0$ then the Schwarz inequality is true trivially). Then:

$$\begin{aligned}\langle z, y \rangle &= \left\langle x - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} y, y \right\rangle \\ &= \langle x, y \rangle - \left\langle \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} y, y \right\rangle = \langle x, y \rangle - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} \langle y, y \rangle = 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, z and y are orthogonal. We can now apply the Pythagorean theorem to x :

$$\begin{aligned}\|x\|^2 &= \|z\|^2 + \left\| \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} y \right\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 + \left| \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} \right|^2 \|y\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 + \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{\|y\|^4} \|y\|^2 \\ &= \|z\|^2 + \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{\|y\|^2} \\ &\geq \frac{|\langle x, y \rangle|^2}{\|y\|^2} \\ \implies |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 &\leq \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 \\ \implies |\langle x, y \rangle| &\leq \|x\| \|y\|\end{aligned}$$

This is the Schwarz inequality as desired. In the first inequality above, equality happens if $\|z\| = 0 \implies z = 0$. If $z = 0$, then $x = \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\langle y, y \rangle} y := sy$, meaning y is a scalar multiple of x (x and y are dependent). For the converse, suppose x and y are dependent, ie $y = sx$ for some $s \in F$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle x, y \rangle| &= |\langle x, sx \rangle| = |s| \|x\|^2 \\ \|x\| \|y\| &= \|x\| \|sx\| = |s| \|x\|^2 \\ \implies |\langle x, y \rangle| &= \|x\| \|y\|\end{aligned}$$

Hence, equality holds iff x and y are dependent. (Note almost the exact same proof is given on Wikipedia's page on Inner products).