

CE320 2022-2023 Coursework (Iteration 1)

STUDENT: JORDAN, NATHAN LUKE

LOGON ID: nj19061

REGISTRATION NUMBER: 1905341

GROUP: Group 10

PEER EVALUATION

Participated in group discussions or meetings: 4.578587 (#1 out of 81)

Helped keep the group focused on the task: 4.813658 (#2 out of 81)

Contributed useful ideas: 4.495771 (#5 out of 81)

Quantity of work done: 4.858675 (#3 out of 81)

Quality of work done: 4.489901 (#6 out of 81)

Average score: 4.647318 (#2 out of 81)

Rating	Interpretation
5	Outstanding
4	Above Average
3	Average
2	Below average
1	Weak
0	None

Contribution to Final Mark: 23.2% (avg score x 5)

TA EVALUATION

Effective use of Subversion: 3.750000 (#21 out of 81)

Effective use of Trac: 4.000000 (#21 out of 81)

Use of Unit Testing and TDD: 5.000000 (#1 out of 81)

Use of pair programming: 4.250000 (#18 out of 81)

Quantity of work done: 4.800000 (#3 out of 81)

Quality of work done: 4.450000 (#16 out of 81)

Average score: 4.375000 (#5 out of 81)

5	Outstanding
4	Above Average
3	Average
2	Below average
1	Weak
0	Extremely bad or no competence shown

Contribution to Final Mark: 32.8% (avg score x 7.5)

Feedback: None of the commits involved any pair programmers. Good use of Trac, though large numbers of tickets were created on last 2 days before end of Iteration 1. Tried Unit testing and TDD for lot of functionality. Most of the development works reflects working individually. Worked in pairs with sm20825 to perform Unit testing. As major contributor in the team involve more of you peers in code development for next iteration.

MODULE SUPERVISOR EVALUATION OF GROUP

Degree to which the group generated value for the project's customers: 4.5

Degree to which the group delivered the planned features and stories: 4.5

Effective use of Subversion: 3.9

Effective use of Trac: 4.2

Use of Unit Testing and TDD: 4.2

Use of pair programming: 3.4

Quantity of work done: 4.0

Quality of work done: 4.2

Average score: 4.1125

Ratings	Mark Range	Interpretation
4.5-5.0	80-100%	Outstanding
4.0-4.5	70-80%	Very good
3.0-4.0	60-70%	Good but with some limitations
2.0-3.0	50-60%	OK but with significant limitations
1.5-2.0	40-50%	Weak, but above pass level
1.0-1.5	30-40%	Some work done but too weak for pass
0.0-1.0	0-30%	Extremely bad or no work done

Contribution to Final Mark: 30.8%

(avg score x 7.5 if peer score > 1, 0 otherwise)

Group Feedback: Excellent value for the customers and delivery of stories/features. Very good use of subversion (including referring to tickets), but many commits with no message and many 'solo' commits (no driver/navigator). Generally excellent use of trac. Wiki very informative. Stories not estimated though. Excellent unit testing. Strangely some tests are invoked directly from main. Pair programming only done by three members. Great quantity and quality of work done, but unfortunately mostly done by a small subset of the team.

FINAL (provisional) MARK: 86.9 (#1 out of 81)