Asymmetric Learning Model of Resume Building With Wage Rigidity and Costly Firings

Nathan Mather

University of Michigan

2019

Introduction

Current Asymmetric Leaning Models

- Asymmetric Learning seems to match intuition for labor market
- Current models' set up seems odd
- ► Schönberg (2007)
 - Employer learns workers true ability
 - Outside firms receive noisy signal about each specific workers ability

Model Environment

My Model

- lacksquare Workers have ability $heta \in [0,1]$
 - ightharpoonup Produce θ per period
- Workers supply labor inelastically
- Employers receive a good signal g w.p. θ and a bad signal b w.p. $1-\theta$
- Employers offer wages each period (no long term contracts).
- Outside firms see length of employment (resume)
 - ▶ i.e. receive a signal e or f
- There are many identical firms
 - Zero profit condition
- Downward wage rigidity
- Fixed cost to fire employee

Variable Definitions

Variable	Meaning
θ	Ability
g	Good Signal
b	Bad Signal
е	Employed Signal
f	Fired Signal
F_C	Fixed Cost to Firing
<i>w</i> ₁	Period 1 Wage
Wg	Wage After Good Signal
W _b	Wage After Bad Signal
Wf	Wage for Fired Worker
π	Profits

Time Line

- period 1 wage offers
- Workers produce output
- Workers send signal of ability
- Employers decide who to fire
- Employers offer period 2 wages conditional on signals
- Outside Firms offer wages conditional on resume
- Workers take the best offer and work for one more period
- Workers retire

Outline

Start simple and build up model

First consider two period model with flexible wages

Second, add in wage rigidity, but with high fixed costs to firing

Finally, solve the complete model with wage rigidity and moderate firing costs

Two Period Flexible Wage Model

Optimal Second Period Wage Offers

- With flexible wages there is no reason to fire workers
- Outside firms will not offer more than expected output given 1 year of employment $E[\theta|e] = p(g)E[\theta|g] + p(b)E[\theta|b] = E[\theta]$
 - lacktriangle Employers can pay their good signal employees $w_g = \mathrm{E}[heta]$
- An outside offer below w_g would only attract bad signal employees
 - Employer can offer $w_b = \mathrm{E}[\theta|b]$
- In equilibrium workers stay where they are

Two Period Flexible Wage Model

Optimal First Period Wage Offers

- Zero profit condition means that first period wages should give expected profit of zero
- ▶ In other words, pay period 1 worker their expected profits

$$w_1 = \mathrm{E}[\theta] + p(g)(\mathrm{E}[\theta|g] - w_g) + p(b)(\mathrm{E}[\theta|b] - w_b)$$

or

$$w_1 = \mathrm{E}[\theta] + \rho(g)(\mathrm{E}[\theta|g] - \mathrm{E}[\theta])$$

Two Period Flexible Wage Model

$$> w_1 > w_g > w_b$$

► Employers pay a premium for period 1 workers so that they can earn a profit with inside knowledge in period 2.

▶ This does not seem to reflect reality

Two Period Sticky Wage, High Firing Cost

► Next introduce wage rigidity

► For now, assume the fixed cost of firing is so high it is never optimal to fire

Two Period Sticky Wage, High Firing Cost

Lemma 1: With sticky wages $w_1 \in (E[\theta|b], E[\theta|g])$

Proof: If $w_1 \ge E[\theta|g]$ employers will make negative profits. If $w_1 \le E[\theta|b]$ employers make positive profits.

Corollary $w_b = w_1$ because w_b will be "stuck"

Two Period Sticky Wage, High Firing Cost

Equilibrium wages

- ▶ Given Lemma 1, $w_b = w_1$
- **>** By the same logic as in the flexible model we get $w_g = \mathrm{E}[\theta|e] = \mathrm{E}[\theta]$
- The period one wage to give zero profits is $w_1 = E[\theta] + \rho(g)(E[\theta|g] E[\theta]) + \rho(b)(E[\theta|b] w_1)$
- ▶ Solving this gives $w_1 = E[\theta]$

Not firing is not an equilibrium

- ▶ Let the fixed cost $F_C < E[\theta] E[\theta|b]$
- ▶ NOT firing workers is NOT an equilibrium.
- ▶ If a firm unilaterally deviates and fires workers after a bad signal they receive positive profits

$$\pi = p(g)(E[\theta|g] - E[\theta]) - p(b)F_C$$
$$> p(g)(E[\theta|g] - E[\theta]) + p(b)(E[\theta|b] - E[\theta]) = 0$$

► Given this, all firms have an incentive to deviate and fire bad signal employees

When is firing bad workers an equilibrium

- ▶ If all firms are firing, I could try to unilaterally deviate and keep bad signal employees
- ightharpoonup Need to pay them at least w_1
- ▶ Bad signal workers accept w_1 since leaving to another firm would expose them as bad signal worker
- Unilaterally keeping low wage workers would change profits by

$$\Delta \pi = p(b)(F_C + \mathrm{E}[\theta|b] - w_1)$$

▶ If $F_C < w_1 - \mathbb{E}[\theta|b]$ firing bad signal employees is an equilibrium outcome

Equilibrium Wages

- Assume $F_C < w_1 \mathrm{E}[\theta|b]$ so all employers fire low signal workers
- Since only good signal workers are employed $w_g = E[\theta|e] = E[\theta|g]$
- Need to offer a period one wage to get zero profits

$$w_1 = \mathrm{E}[\theta] + \rho(g)(\mathrm{E}[\theta|g] - \mathrm{E}[\theta|g]) - \rho(b)F_C$$

or

$$= \mathrm{E}[\theta] - p(b)F_C$$

- Fired workers are identified as low signal so they get re-hired for $w_f = \mathbb{E}[\theta|b]$
- ▶ So we get $wf_f < w_1 < \mathrm{E}[\theta] < w_g$

Equilibria

- ▶ If $F_C > E[\theta] E[\theta|b]$
 - No one is fired
- ▶ If $F_C < E[\theta] p(b)F_C E[\theta|b] \implies F_C < \frac{E[\theta] E[\theta|b]}{1 + p(b)}$
 - Bad signal employees are fired
- ▶ If $F_C \in \left(\frac{\mathrm{E}[\theta] \mathrm{E}[\theta|b]}{1 + \rho(b)}, \mathrm{E}[\theta] \mathrm{E}[\theta|b]\right)$
 - ▶ I believe there will be a mixed equilibrium where some bad signal workers are fired.
 - Not proven yet

Numerical Example

- ▶ $\theta \in \{0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\}$ with equal probability
- $F_C = 0.1$
- ightharpoonup $\mathrm{E}[\theta] = \frac{1}{2}$
- ightharpoonup $\mathrm{E}[\theta|g] = \frac{7}{9}$
- ightharpoonup $\mathrm{E}[\theta|b] = \frac{2}{9}$
- ► Flexible wages
 - $v_1 = 0.6388$
 - $V_b = \frac{2}{9}$
 - $W_g = \frac{1}{2}$
- Sticky wages
 - $\sim w_1 = 0.407$
 - $ightharpoonup w_f = \frac{2}{9}$
 - $w_g = \frac{7}{9}$

What Next

- ► Three period version
- Promotions
- Initial education signal correlated with ability
- Exogenous separations
- An Acemoglu and Pischke type utility shock

Questions and Concerns

- ► How to apply model to data?
- What aspects of labor market should it explain?
- Are the assumptions reasonable?
- Is game theory approach useful?