

Accuracy and Precision in Virtual Reality

Kennesaw State University

110 South Marietta Pkwy SE, Marietta, GA 30060

Nawal Ahmed

Computer Science Department
nahmed10@students.kennesaw.edu

Zave Timsina

Computer Science Department
jtimsina@students.kennesaw.edu

Carlos Lopez

Computer Science Department
clopez10@students.kennesaw.edu

Charles Nixon

Computer Science Department
cnixon18@students.kennesaw.edu

Matthew Fissel

Computer Science Department
mfissel@students.kennesaw.edu

Rafael Rosales

Department of Electrical
Engineering
rrosale1@students.kennesaw.edu

Abstract

This study investigates the effect of accuracy training in a virtual environment (VE) and the impact that the training has on a subject's accuracy in the real world when performing the same task. Six male undergraduate students served as test subjects. The study measured the subjects' accuracy in hitting a target from 10, 15, 20 and 25 feet using a standard tennis ball as the thrown object. A baseline was taken for each subject in the real world. Then, the subjects performed the same tasks in a virtual environment designed to simulate the real world accuracy test. The virtual environment was created using the Unity game development platform. The HTC Vive Virtual Reality System was used to provide user interaction with the virtual environment. Accuracy was measured by the distance that the ball hit from the target. The difference in each subject's performance was calculated by comparing the baseline exercise to the final exercise. Based on the accuracy comparison between the baseline and final accuracy exercises for each

subject, the research concludes that performing the same tasks within a virtual environment causes [results pending final accuracy exercise]

Keywords:

Virtual Reality, Virtual Accuracy, Virtual Precision, Virtual Perception, HTC Vive, Unity, throwing, optimal planning



Figure 1. HTC Vive Virtual Reality System

I. Introduction

Virtual Reality has become a key tool for a variety of private professions. Virtual Reality-based Training Systems (VRTS) may provide a valuable alternative to

training when either the cost or possible negative consequences of exposing trainees to the real task environment are considerable (Nathanial, & Mosialos, 2010). Virtual Reality Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) is still a new field, however, and still needs some guidelines for designing in virtual reality. For example, when designing a computer program on a windows-based PC, the designer makes a few assumptions, such as that the user is aware that he is using a machine and that there are common peripherals used such as a monitor, keyboard, and mouse. When a user is immersed into a virtual environment, the designer has to think about the different kinds of inputs. In the case of the HTC Vive, there are two SteamVR Tracking controllers and the dual AMOLED 3.6" diagonal screen headset. The headset uses "room scale" tracking technology, allowing the user to move in 3D space and use motion-tracked handheld controllers to interact with the environment. Few studies have evaluated how the human mind reacts and perceives space in a VE. Unity uses the International System of Units (SI Units) and the user is able to scale everything to meters (m). The question still remains, "Does the perceived space in VR affect the user and how well can we improve accuracy and precision in reality using VR?" Once the projectile has been launched, there is no possibility of control, differentiating this task from much better studied tasks such as pointing and tracking. Instead, one has to learn strategies from an iterative process of error estimation and correction from one trial to the next (Venkadesan, & Mahadevan, 2017). Here we complement those studies by using them and proving them to be useful in a virtual environment.

II. Literature Reviews

Since virtual reality was introduced back in the 1960's it has been improved so much, as

to make it look almost the same as present reality. According to Anshel, a Moor Insights & Strategy technologist and technical writer focusing on consumer platforms, HTC Vive is the best virtual reality device that is cheap and "premium". It is "premium" because of its amazing features such as having the best tracking system and the best designed controllers. Space perception in the Vive was comparable to real-world space perception when measured by blind-walking but slightly underperformed real-world perception when measured by verbal reporting. Furthermore, space perception in the Vive was more accurate when compared to older head-mounted-displays (HMDs) (Kelly, Cherep, & Siegel, 2017). This leads us to hypothesize that one's ability to aim and perform will be less efficient in a virtual environment as opposed to a real environment and that the ability to have accuracy in virtual reality will result in even better accuracy and precision in a real life environment when performing the same task.

III. Methodology

We are interested in observing the accuracy and precision of a person's ability to hit a target at varying distances in both the physical world and the virtual one. In order to assess this, we are conducting tests where we throw a tennis ball at a target from four distances, and then recreate the same environment in the HTC Vive, testing the same thing. These will test the assumed under-perception that most people experience within the VR Space (Kelly, Cherep, & Siegel 2017).

Participants

The initial sample size is six participants. This consists of the members of the study, and presents a subject group that

is not adept at aiming, shooting, and targeting.

The subjects will be given a few minutes to familiarize themselves with the Virtual Environment prior to conducting the tests, in order to reacquaint themselves with how to interact with and properly perceive the space that they are in.

This familiarization is mitigated in the testing, as all of the members have had some degree of experience in designing and testing the room while in development.

Physical Tests

The tests outside of the VR System will be used as a reference point for performance within the Virtual Environment. Multiple tests were conducted standing directly in line of the target from 10, 15, 20, and 25 feet (presented as 3.1, 4.6, 6.1, and 7.6 meters) to allow for results with differing amounts of preparation and requirements for the subjects.



Figure 2. Target used to measure accuracy.
Measured in imperial inches.

In recording the data for these tests, we set-up two cameras to record every throw, so as to guarantee a greater degree of precision in the recording.

Participants were allowed to throw the tennis ball however they pleased, whether it be underarm or overarm. This allows the participant to have a greater

degree of comfortability and produce more accurate results.



Figure 3. Participant in physical trial.

VR Tests

When performing these same tests in the Virtual Environment, our primary goal is establishing a similar environment so as to limit any outside factors that may skew the results.

The room we have set up is designed to mimic the initial testing room. The room is of the same dimensions, with a target at the same height and size of the initial target. The ball is the same size and weight as the tennis ball, and there are markers set for 10, 15, 20, and 25 feet from the target.

Currently, the VR space is fully developed, but needs improvement in the meshes and textures for the room and ball in order to make them feel more natural and similar to the initial testing room.



Figure 4. Initial state of VR Space

The biggest difference between these tests is the physical action of throwing the ball. When attempting to recreate this experience in the VR Space, it is necessary to use a controller, instead of simply throwing the ball.

With this in mind, the tasks will be affected, but efforts have been made to mitigate these issues. The controller is actually of a very similar weight to the tennis balls used, which creates a, likewise, similar experience. We have also managed to add gloves to the controllers in the VR Space to allow the subject to visualize their hands that react to any button that is pressed, in order to make everything feel more

natural and similar to the physical environment.



There is also a very minor issue of there being no wind resistance within the Virtual Environment. The effect that this has on the tennis ball is negligible, but should still be taken into account.



At this point, the only major and noticeable difference between the two tests will be in the release of the ball. The physical test requires the subject to throw the ball, and release their entire hand. The VR test does the same thing, but requires the subject to release a trigger before the ball releases. While these are different, they are similar enough that they should not be the cause of too major of a difference in results.



These factors have gone into mitigating any potential discrepancies between the two tests, and will yield more accurate results as a consequence.

IV. Initial Physical Tests

Analysis of the initial test results is still undergoing and will be posted in the next deliverable. We plan on supplying average distance from center of target, per user, per distance and variance data analysis.







V. Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the participants in the experiment as well as the professor, Sarah North, who generously offered their time and shared their experience with us and granted us access to use the equipment and spaces of the school.

VI. References

- [1] Jackson, A. Northcutt, B. D. Sukthankar, G.(2018, March). *The Benefits of teaching Robots using VR Demonstrations*. <https://dl-acm-org.proxy.kennesaw.edu/citation.cfm?id=3176980>
- [2] Kelly, J. W. Cherep, L. A. Siegel, Z. D. (2017, November). *Perceived space in the HTC Vive*. <https://dl-acm-org.proxy.kennesaw.edu/citation.cfm?id=3106155>
- [3] Nathanael, D. Vosniakos, G. C. Mosialos, S. (2010, August). *Cognitive task analysis for virtual reality training: the case of CNC tools offsetting*. <https://dl-acm-org.proxy.kennesaw.edu/citation.cfm?id=1962350>
- [4] Sveistrup, H. (2004, December). *Motor rehabilitation using virtual reality*. <https://jneuroengrehab.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1743-0003-1-10>
- [5] Tang, J. K. T. Leung, G. Y. Y. Ng, B. K. L. Hui, J. H. K. Kong, A. Pang, W. M. (2016, November). *VR- MMA: A Virtual Reality Motion and Muscle Sensing Action Game for Personal Sport*. <https://dl-acm-org.proxy.kennesaw.edu/citation.cfm?id=3001814>
- [6] Vega, J. Rose, S. Eckhardt, C. Tahai, L. Humer, I. Pietroszek, K.(2017, November). *VR wildlife prevention: teaching campfire safety in a gamified immersive environment*. <https://dl-acm-org.proxy.kennesaw.edu/citation.cfm?id=3141218>
- [7] Strategy, M. I. (2016, April 05). The HTC Vive Shows Us Virtual Reality Is Not Just About Games. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/moorinsights/2016/04/05/the-htc-Vive-shows-us-virtual-reality-is-not-just-about-games/#57e68e6d47ae>
- [8] Venkadesan, M., & Mahadevan, L. (2017). Optimal strategies for throwing accurately. *Royal Society Open Science*, 4(4), 170136. <http://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170136>