## Nathan Bickel

Analysis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ : Section 1 Professor: Shabani

July 5, 2023

## Analysis in $\mathbb{R}^n$ Homework 3

**Problem 20** Are closures and interiors of connected sets always connected? (Look at subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .)

Solution.

No. For example, consider  $B_1, \overline{B_1'} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  centered at (1,0) and (-1,0) respectively. We showed in class that  $E = B_1 \cup \overline{B_1'}$  is connected, but we claim  $E^{\circ}$ , the interior of E, is disconnected. To see this, consider  $A = B_1$ ,  $B = B_1'$  centered at (1,0) and (-1,0) respectively. Then,  $E^{\circ} = A \cup B$ , because  $E^{\circ}$  is the interior of both balls. Clearly, both A and B are nonempty, and we have  $\overline{A} \cap B = A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$ , so  $E^{\circ}$  is disconnected. So E is a connected set that does not have both a connected interior and a connected closure.

**Problem 1** Prove that the intersection of compact sets is compact.

Solution.

We have shown the following in class: Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $F \subset K \subset X$ , and K a compact set. Then:

- (a) K is closed;
- (b) F is compact if and only if it is closed.

Consider a collection C of compact sets. By (a), every set in F is closed. Therefore,  $F = \bigcap_{K \in C} K$  is closed (the intersection of closed sets is closed). Since F is a subset of a compact set, by (b) F is also compact.  $\Box$ 

**Problem 2** Let (X,d) be a metric space and  $A \subset X$ . Prove that

$$\overline{A} = \{x \in X : \forall r > 0, A \cap B_r(x) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Solution.

We can write

$$\overline{A} = A \cup LP(A)$$
 (definition of closure)
$$= \{x \in X : x \in A \text{ or } x \in LP(A)\}$$
 (definition of union)
$$= \{x \in X : A \cap \{x\} \neq \emptyset \text{ or } \forall r > 0, A \cap B_r(x) \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset\}$$
 (definition of LP)
$$= \{x \in X : \forall r > 0, (A \cap \{x\}) \cup (A \cap B_r(x) \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset\}$$
 (definition of union)
$$= \{x \in X : \forall r > 0, A \cap (\{x\} \cup B_r(x) \setminus \{x\}) \neq \emptyset\}$$
 (distributive property)
$$= \{x \in X : \forall r > 0, A \cap B_r(x) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

**Problem 3** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that if  $\sup S$  exists, then it is an element of  $\overline{S}$ .

Solution.

Suppose that  $\alpha = \sup S$  exists. Then, we have shown in class that for all r > 0, there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $\alpha - r < s \le \alpha < \alpha + r$ . Since  $B_r(\alpha) = (\alpha - r, \alpha + r)$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , this means that for all r > 0,  $S \cap B_r(\alpha) \setminus \{\alpha\}$  contains an element and thus is non-empty. Thus,  $\alpha$  is a limit point of S and therefore  $\alpha \in \overline{S}$ .

**Problem 4** Let A, B be two non-empty, bounded sets of real numbers. Prove the followings:

- (a)  $\sup(A+B) = \sup A + \sup B$ , where  $A+B = \{a+b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ ;
- (b)  $\sup(-A) = -\inf A$ , where  $-A = \{-a : a \in A\}$ .

Solution.

(a) Since A and B are bounded sets, A + B will also be bounded and thus  $\sup (A + B)$  exists. Suppose  $\alpha = \sup (A + B) \neq \sup A + \sup B$ .

Case 1:  $\alpha < \sup A + \sup B$ . Then, there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\alpha = \sup A + \sup B - \varepsilon$ . We have shown in class that there exists some  $a \in A$  such that  $a \in \left(\sup A - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \sup A\right]$  and some  $b \in B$  such that  $b \in \left(\sup B - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \sup B\right]$ . We have  $a + b \in A + B$ , but then

$$\alpha = \sup A + \sup B - \varepsilon = \left(\sup A - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) + \left(\sup B - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) < a + b \in A + B,$$

contradicting  $\alpha$  being an upper bound for A + B.

Case 2:  $\alpha > \sup A + \sup B$ . Then, there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\alpha = \sup A + \sup B + \varepsilon$ . We have shown in class that there exists some  $p \in A + B$  such that  $p \in (\sup A + \sup B, \sup A + \sup B + \varepsilon]$ . Since  $p \in A + B$ , there exist some  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  such that p = a + b. We must have  $a \le \sup a$  and  $b \le \sup b$  by definition, but then  $p \le \sup a + \sup b$ , contradicting the interval above.

Therefore,  $\sup (A+B) = \sup A + \sup B$ .

(b) Let  $\beta = \inf A$  and  $\alpha = \sup(-A)$ . Suppose  $\alpha \neq -\beta$ .

Case 1:  $\alpha < -\beta$ . Then  $\alpha = -\beta - \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We have shown in class that there exists some  $a \in A$  such that  $a \in [\beta, \beta + \varepsilon)$ . But then  $-a \in (-\beta - \varepsilon, -\beta]$ , so  $-a > -\beta - \varepsilon = \alpha = \sup(-A)$ , a contradiction.

Case 2:  $\alpha > -\beta$ . Then  $\alpha = -\beta + \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We have shown in class that there exists some  $a \in -A$  such that  $a \in (-\beta, -\beta + \varepsilon]$ , so it follows that  $-a \in A$  and  $-a \in [\beta - \varepsilon, \beta)$ . But then  $-a < \beta = \inf(A)$ , a contradiction.

Therefore,  $\sup -A = -\inf A$ .

**Problem 5** Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $p \in X$ , and  $\{p_n\}$  a sequence in X such that  $d(p_n, p) < 1/n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that  $\{p_n\}$  converges to p.

Solution.

We say  $\{p_n\}$  converges to p if, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $d(p_n, p) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and consider  $N = \frac{1}{\lfloor \varepsilon \rfloor}$ . Then, any  $n \geq N$  will satisfy

$$d(p_n, p) < \frac{1}{n} \le \frac{1}{N} = \lfloor \varepsilon \rfloor < \varepsilon,$$

so  $\{p_n\}$  converges to p.