

Paper Assignments Lab 2

Assignment 1:

The goal is to store information with a key range of 40 numbers $\{0, 1, \dots, 39\}$ in a hash table of size 16.

The two hash functions are: $h_1(k) = k \% 16$ and $h_2(k) = k / 16$ (integer division).

For $h_1(k)$, we can see :

Number	$k \% 16$
0	0
1	1
2	2
3	3
4	4
5	5
6	6
7	7
8	8
9	9
10	10
11	11
12	12
13	13
14	14
15	15
16	1
17	1
18	2
19	3
20	4
21	5
22	6
23	7
24	8
25	9
26	10
27	11
28	12

29	13
30	14
31	15
32	2
33	1
34	2
35	3
36	4
37	5
38	6
39	7

For $h_2(k)$, we can see :

Number	$k/16$
0	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
13	0
14	0
15	0
16	1
17	1
18	1
19	1
20	1
21	1
22	1

23	1
24	1
25	1
26	1
27	1
28	1
29	1
30	1
31	1
32	2
33	2
34	2
35	2
36	2
37	2
38	2
39	2

We know that the hashtable is of size 16.

We can see that the function $h_1(k)$ goes to 16 key values from 0 to 15.

Load factor : $40/16 = 2,5$

We can see that the function $h_2(k)$ goes to only 3 key values, which are 0, 1 and 2.

Load factor : $40/3 = 13,33$

So the function $h_1(k)$ would perform better for this problem, as it has 5 times more collisions than $h_2(k)$ and a much lower load factor.

Assignment 2:

$h(\text{"Wojtek"}) = 4$

$h(\text{"peter"}) = 4$ Not possible

Various techniques that utilize hash values to improve the efficiency of searching a linked list are

Assignment 3:

Big-O (O) notation is a notation to describe the upper bound of the running time of an algorithm.

The running time of algorithm A being at least $O(n^{**2})$ tells me that the upper bound for the running time is at least $O(n^{**2})$, which is smaller than $O(n!)$. That information is not useful as the running time of an algorithm with at least $O(n^{**2})$ is the same as $O(n^{**3})$ and $O(n^{**4})$. It doesn't tell me anything about the lower bound, as the big O notation describes the upper bound.

Therefore, the running time of algorithm A being at least $O(n^2)$ doesn't give me useful information about its running time.

Assignment 4:

1. Is $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$?

Knowing that we need to use the highest polynomial degree of the class.

2^{n+1} is a degree of 1. So 2^{n+1} is $O(n)$.

Furthermore : $O(2n)$ doesn't equal $O(n)$. So 2^{n+1} doesn't equal $O(2^n)$.

This statement is false.

2. Is $2^{2n} = O(2^n)$?

2^{2n} is $O(2^n)$

The growth rate of 2^{2n} is faster than that of 2^n , and 2^{2n} does not have the same asymptotic behavior as $O(2^n)$.

This statement is false.

Assignment 5:

Algorithm loop1: this algorithm adds a constant i to a variable s till n . The operations increase linearly according to the input n .

Therefore, the worst case scenario for this algorithm is $O(n)$.

Algorithm loop2: this algorithm adds to a variable p , equal to 1, $p * i$ till $2n$. n being the input. $2n$ is $O(n)$. So, the worst case scenario for this algorithm is $O(n)$.

Algorithm loop3: this algorithm is nearly the same as loop2. However, the variable p increases till n^2 . And n^2 is $O(n^2)$.

So, the worst case scenario for this algorithm is $O(n^2)$.

Algorithm loop4: this algorithm is a nested for loop. The first one goes from i (equal to 1) to $2n$. n being the input. And the second one goes from j (equal to 1) to i .

This algorithm being a nested for loop we need to use multiplication to work out the notation.

First for loop : $2n$ is $O(n)$.

Second for loop : $O(i)$

So: $O(n) * O(i) = O(n * i) = O(n^2)$.

So, the worst case scenario for this algorithm is $O(n^2)$.

Algorithm loop5: this algorithm is a nested for loop. The first one goes from i (equal to 1) to n^2 . n being the input. And the second one goes from j (equal to 1) to i .

First for loop : n^2 is $O(n^2)$.

Second for loop : $O(i)$

So: $O(n^2) * O(i) = O(2n^2 * i) = O(n^4)$.

So, the worst case scenario for this algorithm is $O(n^4)$.

And the total of operations is : $((n^2+1)*(n^2))/2$