SOEN 331 - S: Formal Methods for Software Engineering

Assignment 1

Nathan Grenier, Nirav Patel

March 6, 2024

PROBLEM 1: Propositional logic (5 pts)

You are shown a set of four cards placed on a table, each of which has a **number** on one side and a **color** on the other side. The visible faces of the cards show the numbers **9** and **11**, and the colors **blue**, and **yellow**.

Which card(s) must you turn over in order to test the truth of the proposition that "If the face of a card is **blue**, then it has a **prime** number on the other side"? Explain your reasoning by deciding for <u>each</u> card whether or not it should be turned over and why.

Solution:

We're trying to prove the proposition:

$$p \to q$$

Where:

- p represents the proposition that the card is blue, and
- q represents the proposition that the other side of the card has a prime number on its face.
- 1. **Blue Card:** You **should** flip this card to prove the proposition. We can apply modus ponens to the proposition to prove it. This would show that if the card is blue, then the other side has a prime number.

$$p \to q$$

$$p$$

2. **9 Card:** You **should** flip this card to prove the proposition. We can apply modus tollens to the proposition to prove it. This would show that if the other side of the

card is not a prime number, then the card is not blue.

$$p \to q$$

$$\neg q$$

$$\therefore \neg p$$

- 3. Yellow Card: You should not flip this card to prove the proposition. The proposition in question is only concerned with the blue cards. It makes no assertion about yellow cards. Flipping this card would fall under the category of affirming the consequent, which is not a valid way to prove the proposition.
- 4. 11 Card: You should not flip this card to prove the proposition. The proposition makes no claim that cards with prime numbers on them must have blue on the other side. This would fall under the category of denying the antecedent which is a logical fallacy.

PROBLEM 2: Predicate logic (14 pts)

Part 1 (8 pts)

Consider types *Object*, and the binary relation Orbits over the domain of all celestial objects, which is codified by clause object/1 in Prolog (available in solar.pl):

1. (2 pts) Construct a formula in predicate logic to define a planet, where planet is defined as an object whose mass is greater than or equal to $0.33 \times 10^{24} KG$, and which it orbits around the sun. For all practical purposes, you may ignore the $10^{24} KG$ factor.

Solution:

- Let M(x) be that the mass of object x greater than or equal to $0.33 \times 10^{24} KG$.
- Let O(x, y) be that x orbits around y.
- Let P(x) be that x is a planet.

The formula to define a planet is:

$$P(x) \equiv M(x) \wedge O(x, sun)$$

Use the formula for Planet to construct a formula that defines the binary relation is satellite of in terms of the binary relation Orbits. A satellite is an object that orbits around a planet.

Solution:

- Let S(x, y) mean that x is a satellite of y.
- Let O(x, y) be that x orbits around y.
- Let P(x) be that x is a planet.

$$S(x,y) \equiv O(x,y) \wedge P(y)$$

2. (3 pts) (PROGRAMMING) Map your formulas to Prolog rules is planet/1, and is satellite of/2, and demonstrate how it works by executing both ground- and non-

ground queries. Identify the type of each query. **Solution**: Here are the translated prologue rules:

(a) is_planet/1

```
planet(X) := orbits(X, sun), mass(X, M), M >= 0.33.
```

(b) is_satellite_of/2

```
is\_satellite\_of(X, Y) := is\_planet(Y), orbits(X, Y).
```

List of example queries:

- Query ?- is_planet(pluto).
 - Type: Ground Query
 - Result: false
- Query ?- is_planet(mars).
 - Type: Ground Query
 - Result: true
- Query ?- is_planet(P).
 - Type: Non-Ground Query
 - Result:
 - P = mercury
 - P = venus
 - P = earth
 - P = mars
 - P = jupiter
 - P = saturn
 - P = uranus
 - P = neptune
 - false
- Query ?- is_satellite_of(moon, Planet).

```
- Type: Non-Ground Query

- Result:

Planet = earth

false

• Query ?- is_satellite_of(S, mars).

- Type: Non-Ground Query

- Result:

S = deimos

S = phobos

false

A B /code/concordia/SOEN-331/Assignment1/code B P nathal

swipl solar.pl

Welcome to SWI-Prolog (threaded, 64 bits, version 9.0.4)

SWI-Prolog comes with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY. This is free software.

Please run ?- license. for legal details.

For online help and background, visit https://www.swi-prolog.org

For built-in help, use ?- help(Topic). or ?- apropos(Word).
```

```
Welcome to SWI-Prolog (threaded, 64 bits, version 9.0.4)
SWI-Prolog comes with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY. This is free software.
Please run ?- license. for legal details.
For online help and background, visit https://www.swi-prolog.org
For built-in help, use ?- help(Topic). or ?- apropos(Word).
?- is_planet(pluto).
false.
?- is_planet(mars).
true.
?- is_planet(P).
P = mercury ;
P = venus
P = earth;
P = mars ;
P = jupiter ;
P = saturn ;
P = uranus ;
  = neptune ;
?- is_satellite_of(moon, Planet).
Planet = earth ;
?- is_satellite_of(S, mars).
S = deimos ;
  = phobos.
```

Figure 1: Screenshot of CLI Outputs

3. (3 pts) (PROGRAMMING) Construct a Prolog rule obtain_all_satellites/2 that succeeds by returning a collection of all satellites of a given planet.

Solution:

obtain_all_satellites/2

```
obtain_all_satellites(Planet, Satellites):- findall(Satellite, is_satellite_of(Satellite, Planet), Satellites).
```

List of example queries:

Figure 2: CLI output of the query ?- obtain_all_satellites(earth, Satellites).

Part 2: Categorical propositions (2 pts)

In the domain of all integers, let number(x) denote the statement "x is a number", and composite(x) denote the statement "x is a composite." Formalize the following sentences and indicate their corresponding formal type:

Solution:

- 1. "Some numbers are not composite."
 - Formalization:
 - Corresponding Formal Type:
- 2. "No numbers are prime."

- Formalization:
- Corresponding Formal Type:
- 3. "Some numbers are not prime."
 - Formalization:
 - Corresponding Formal Type:
- 4. "All numbers are prime."
 - Formalization:
 - Corresponding Formal Type: