

Department of Statistics  
University of Wisconsin, Madison  
PhD Qualifying Exam Part II  
Thursday, January 26, 2012  
1:00-4:00pm, Room 133 SMI

- There are a total of FOUR (4) problems in this exam. Please do a total of TWO (2) problems.
- Each problem must be done in a separate exam book.
- Please turn in TWO (2) exam books.
- Please write your code name and **NOT** your real name on each exam book.

1. Suppose  $X$  and  $Y$  are non-negative random variables on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Let  $H(x, y)$  be a function on  $[0, \infty)^2$  such that  $E[|H(X, Y)|] < \infty$ . Define function  $\varphi(u) = u/(1+u)$  for  $u \geq 0$ . For integer  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $m = 2^n$ , let

$$U_n = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j-1}{m} I\left(\frac{j-1}{m} \leq \varphi(X) < \frac{j}{m}\right), \quad V_n = E[H(X, Y)|U_n],$$

where  $I(\cdot)$  is the indicator function.

- (a) Show

$$V_n = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{nj} I\left(\frac{j-1}{m} \leq \varphi(X) < \frac{j}{m}\right),$$

where

$$c_{nj} = \frac{E[H(X, Y)I\{(j-1)/(m-j+1) \leq X < j/(m-j)\}]}{P((j-1)/(m-j+1) \leq X < j/(m-j))}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

$$c_{nm} = \frac{E[H(X, Y)I(m-1 \leq X < \infty)]}{P(m-1 \leq X < \infty)}.$$

- (b) Show  $E[V_{n+k}|U_n] = V_n$  for any integers  $k \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ .  
(c) Prove that there exists a random variable  $Z$  such that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $V_n$  converges to  $Z$  almost surely.  
(d) Show that the random variable  $Z$  in (c) is almost surely equal to  $E[H(X, Y)|X]$ .

2. Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be positive, i.i.d. random variables. Define

$$\bar{X}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad G_n \equiv \left( \prod_{i=1}^n X_i \right)^{1/n}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_n \equiv \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{X_i} \right)^{-1}$$

to be the arithmetic, geometric, and harmonic means, respectively. Finally, let  $\mathbf{M}_n = (\bar{X}_n, G_n, H_n)$ .

(a) Prove that  $\mathbf{M}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbf{m}$ . Determine  $\mathbf{m}$  and state any additional assumptions used in the proof.

(b) Prove that  $\sqrt{n}(\mathbf{M}_n - \mathbf{m})$  converges in distribution. Determine the limiting distribution and state any additional assumptions used in the proof.

(c) Prove that

$$\sqrt{n} \left( \frac{\bar{X}_n - G_n}{H_n} - c \right)$$

converges in distribution, for some constant  $c$ . Find the value of  $c$  and state any additional assumptions used in the proof.

(d) Additionally assume  $EX_1 = 1$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Prove the following: if  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\bar{X}_{k_n}^n \xrightarrow{d} \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n^2/k_n \rightarrow 0, \\ e^{cZ} & \text{if } n^2/k_n \rightarrow c^2, \\ \infty & \text{if } n^2/k_n \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases}$$

where  $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

3. In a school chemistry experiment, heated copper oxide is reduced to copper by passing a stream of hydrogen over it. The mass,  $x$  grams, of copper oxide and the resulting mass of copper,  $y$  grams, are noted. Three students perform this experiment four times each.

Let  $x_{ij}$  and  $y_{ij}$  denote the  $x$  and  $y$  values of student  $i$  in trial  $j$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ). Let  $\bar{x}_i$  denote the mean value of  $x_{ij}$  for student  $i$  and  $\bar{x}$  the average of all the  $x_{ij}$  values, with similar definitions for  $\bar{y}_i$  and  $\bar{y}$ . The following summary results are obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}_1 &= 32.5, \bar{x}_2 = 36, \bar{x}_3 = 35, & \bar{y}_1 &= 24.75, \bar{y}_2 = 27.75, \bar{y}_3 = 23.75 \\ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2 &= 93, & \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 (x_{ij} - \bar{x})^2 &= 119 \\ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i)^2 &= 70.25, & \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 (y_{ij} - \bar{y})^2 &= 104.9167 \\ \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)(y_{ij} - \bar{y}_i) &= 74.5, & \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^4 (x_{ij} - \bar{x})(y_{ij} - \bar{y}) &= 90.5 \end{aligned}$$

- (a) R is used to fit the model  $Y_{ij} = \beta_i + \gamma x_{ij} + \epsilon_{ij}$ , ( $i = 1, 2, 3; j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ), where the  $\epsilon_{ij}$  are independent identically distributed normal with zero means. It gives the Type I ANOVA table:

	Df	Sum Sq	Mean Sq	F value
student	2	34.667	17.333	13.119
x	1	59.680	59.680	45.170
Residual	8	10.570	1.321	

Obtain the Type III ANOVA table and use it to test whether the students have equal ability to perform the experiment, at the 0.05 level of significance.

- (b) Test the hypothesis  $H_0 : \beta_1 = \beta_3$  at the 0.05 level of significance.

4. An experiment was carried out with five treatments in a randomized complete block design with ten blocks. Let  $y_{ik}$  denote the response for block  $i$  and treatment  $k$ .

(a) Complete the following partial ANOVA table for the model

$$y_{ik} = \eta + \beta_i + \tau_k + \epsilon_{ik} \quad (i = 1, \dots, 10; k = 1, \dots, 5)$$

where  $\beta_i$  and  $\tau_k$  denote the block and treatment effects, respectively, with  $\sum_{i=1}^{10} \beta_i = \sum_{k=1}^5 \tau_k = 0$  and where the  $\epsilon_{ik}$  are independent identically distributed normal with zero mean.

Source	d.f.	SS	MS
Blocks		135	
Treatments		100	
Residual			
Total (corr.)		307	

- (b) Give an expression (in terms of  $y_{ik}$ ) for the  $F$ -statistic for testing  $H_0 : \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \dots = \tau_5 = 0$  and report its numerical value.
- (c) Let  $\hat{\tau}_k$  denote the least-squares estimate of  $\tau_k$ . Estimate the variance of  $\hat{\tau}_1 - (\hat{\tau}_2 + \hat{\tau}_3)/2$ .
- (d) Suppose there is supplementary information in the form of a covariate  $x$ . Let  $x_{ik}$  denote the covariate value for block  $i$  and treatment  $k$ . Let  $\bar{x}_{i\cdot}$ ,  $\bar{x}_{\cdot k}$  and  $\bar{x}_{\cdot\cdot}$  denote the means of  $x_{ik}$  over the dotted subscripts, with similar definitions for  $\bar{y}_{i\cdot}$ ,  $\bar{y}_{\cdot k}$  and  $\bar{y}_{\cdot\cdot}$ . Suppose that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} \sum_{k=1}^5 (y_{ik} - \bar{y}_{i\cdot} - \bar{y}_{\cdot k} + \bar{y}_{\cdot\cdot})(x_{ik} - \bar{x}_{i\cdot} - \bar{x}_{\cdot k} + \bar{x}_{\cdot\cdot}) = -20$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{10} \sum_{k=1}^5 (x_{ik} - \bar{x}_{i\cdot} - \bar{x}_{\cdot k} + \bar{x}_{\cdot\cdot})^2 = 10.$$

Estimate  $\gamma$  in the ANCOVA model

$$y_{ik} = \eta + \beta_i + \tau_k + \gamma x_{ik} + \epsilon_{ik}.$$

- (e) Test the hypothesis  $H_0 : \gamma = 0$  at the 0.05 level.