

What are the contributions of this paper?

*There are 3 major contributions that the SphereFace paper makes. First, they propose using the A-softmax (angular softmax) loss for CNNs to learn discriminative face features with a novel geometric interpretation. These features lie on a hypersphere manifold, matching previous hypotheses that faces themselves will lie on a manifold of the hypersphere. The second contribution is that the angular margin can be adjusted via a parameter  $m$ , for which the authors derived lower bounds for such that the loss approximates the learning task that minimal distance between classes is larger than the greatest intra-class distance. Their third important contribution is to show that using angular margins are effective for the face recognition task by achieving high performance on multiple datasets across several benchmarks.*

Illustrate the three properties of the proposed A-Softmax.

- 1) *The features learned through Softmax loss are angularly distributed instead of linearly (in normal softmax) and with adjustable difficulty*
  - *As  $m$  increases, the angular margin becomes larger, the constrained region of the manifold shrinks, and the learning task becomes more difficult*
- 2, 3) *There is a minimal optimal  $m$ , for which the authors have derived some lower bounds*
  - 2) *When doing binary classification, the lower bound for  $m \geq 2 + \sqrt{3}$*
  - 3) *When doing multi-class classification, the lower bound is  $m \geq 3$*
- *Introduces a geometric constraint such that it (the A-softmax) is sensitive to the angular relationship between feature vectors and the centroids for a class rather than just Euclidean distance*
  - *This is better for tasks like face recognition where there is a lot of intra-class variety in data*

What is the evaluative metric used for the LFW dataset? How is it calculated?

*The evaluation metric is just accuracy (%) for both LFW and YTF. Accuracy is just calculated by # correct predictions / # test examples.*