

CS Capstone Progress Report

DECEMBER 3, 2018

American Helicopter Society Micro Air Vehicle Competition

Prepared for

POTENTIALLY COLUMBIA HELICOPTERS

I OTENTIALLY COLUMBIA I	TEPICOLIE	1RS
Nancy Squires	Signature	Date
Prepared by		
Group 14		
Beaver Hawk	S	
Anton Synytsia	Signature	Date
Matthew Phillips	Signature	Date
Shanmukh Challa	Signature	Date

Abstract

NATHAN TAN

Contents

1	Proje	ect Purpose	2		
2	Proje	ect Goals	2		
	2.1	Challenge Details	2		
	2.2	Objective	2		
3	Proje	ect Development	2		
	3.1	Problem Statement	2		
	3.2	Requirements	3		
	3.3	Technology Review	3		
	3.4	Design	3		
4	Prob	olems and Solutions	3		
	4.1	Hardware Limitation	3		
	4.2	Team Collaboration	4		
5	Proj	ect Design	4		
	5.1	Front and Bottom Video Feed	4		
	5.2	Package Guidance	4		
	5.3	Collision Warning	5		
	5.4	Collision Avoidance	6		
	5.5	Flight Instruments	6		
6	Weel	kly Report	6		
	6.1	Week 3	6		
	6.2	Week 4	6		
	6.3	Week 5	7		
	6.4	Week 6	7		
	6.5	Week 7	8		
	6.6	Week 8	8		
	6.7	Week 9	6		
7	Retr	ospective	10		

1 Project Purpose

The Vertical Flight Society is the world's premiere international technical society for engineers, scientists and others working to advance vertical flight. VFS brings together industry, academia and government to face difficult challenges in vertical flight. Each year, VFS hosts the Micro Air Vehicle challenge to help prepare and attract the next generation of scientist and engineers to work in the field of vertical flight.

2 Project Goals

The goal of this project is to collaborate closely with the mechanical engineering and electrical engineering subteams in building a micro air vehicle to accomplish the mission presented by the Vertical Flight Society.

2.1 Challenge Details

The mission is to have a micro air vehicle complete an obstacle course, themed as Washington's Flight for Liberty. The competition, to be held in Philadelphia, is comprised of an obstacle course map, as displayed in the current slide. The obstacle course is to be completed in under a ten minute time limit. A grade is assigned based on the performance and reliability of completed tasks. Step-by-step objectives, include:

- Take of from Thomas Paine's helipad and acquire the package.
- Fly over a six foot net barrier and head north until reached McKonkey's Ferry.
- Cross the Delaware River and release the package at Pamphlet Delivery helipad.
- Make way to Camp Washington, flying south over a four foot obstacle and under a two foot barrier.
- Acquire a different package at Camp Washington and return all the way back to Thomas Paine's helipad, following the same route.

With the challenge described, we will now talk about our team's objective, specifically.

2.2 Objective

Computer science team's objective is to develop a graphical user interface for the pilot to rely on during the Vertical Flight Society's Micro Air Vehicle challenge. Mandatory components include video feed from front a facing camera. We, however, intend to take our user interface much further than just displaying the front video feed. Planned features include bottom camera feed, package pickup assist, collision warning, attitude indicator, velocity indicator, and height indicator. We also intend to expand computer science influence to on-board the helicopter. On-board components include collision avoidance, LED control, and speaker control. Each of these features are describes in the following sections.

3 Project Development

So far for this project, we have written a lot of documentation in preparation for the development of the project. These documents include a problem statement, a requirements document, a technology review, a design document, and finally, this progress report.

3.1 Problem Statement

The problem statement helps to define the scope of our project. It introduces important definitions that help to define what our project is about and why we are doing this project. A big part of this document is defining abilities that our helicopter needs to have to complete the obstacle course and do well in the competition.

3.2 Requirements

The requirements document outlines the technical scope of our project. It defines terms that are important for understanding the technical aspects of the desired outcome for our helicopter's build and functionality. Also outlined in the requirements document are the specific rules for the competition, including the obstacle course layout, helicopter build limitations, designated flight path, key landmark descriptions, and payload descriptions.

3.3 Technology Review

Each member of our team conducted separate technology reviews that each consisted of three technology topics relevant to deciding what technology to use when developing the helicopter. There was a bit of overlap in the technology review topics, but together all the necessary technologies were covered. Our technology reviews covered hardware components mounted on the helicopter, software libraries for on-board the helicopter, and software for sending and displaying the helicopters camera feed to the pilot. By researching different technologies and documenting them, we made informed decisions about how to design the best helicopter for the competition in terms of software.

3.4 Design

The purpose of the design document is to flesh out all the components that will be implemented. The document provides details for the structure of two main bodies of software, the software running on the Raspberry Pi Zero, which operates the helicopter to an extent, and the software on the laptop which will be responsible for receiving transmitted data and presenting it to the pilot in a helpful fashion.

4 Problems and Solutions

4.1 Hardware Limitation

The biggest constraint on the project is the weight limit of the vehicle. Since there is a 500 gram limit on total vehicle weight, our team could not have everything we wanted. Initially, we wanted to have an Intel Realsense camera on the front of the helicopter. This would have been great for the competition because the Realsense camera provides object detection and relative distances for each object. Also, Intel provides software packages to use with their hardware, making development using the Realsense camera much easier. Unfortunately, the Realsense camera uses a USB-C style connector. The USB-C style connector is not used on Raspberry PIs or Arduino boards, and systems that support USB-C are too heavy for the helicopter. The weight limit prevented our use of the Intel Realsense.

Next we thought to use a system of infrared lasers and an infrared camera as a range finding system. By measuring pixel distances of the infrared lasers detected by the infrared camera, we could determine how far away an object is. This system had the advantage of being cheap and light weight, but also the disadvantage of having not software packages available for use, meaning everything need would need to be coded from scratch.

Fortunately, we found another system that fit our requirements much better. The Eys3D camera is a stereographic infrared camera system. The Eys3D system does not provide object recognition, but it does provide a color video feed and a depth map of the current camera view area. Also, it is light weight and uses a micro USB style connector.

We also faced other minor challenges, like needing to purchase more expensive ultrasonic sensors because cheap ultrasonic sensors are heavy.

4.2 Team Collaboration

We faced probably the same problem that most large teams face: coordination of effort. The complete team is 23 memembers and trying to schedule a meeting time for 23 different people is very challenging. Another challenge for the large team was unifing the team's vision of the helicopter. The MEs and the CSs initially had very different ideas about the CSs' role in the project. However, the CS team's persistence and persuasiveness paid off, and we convinced the team of the value of our contributions to the project which led to changes in the planned implementation of the onboard hardware. Specifically, we convinced the team of the need for a more powerful onboard processor and the benefits of having a camera with the capability of depth mapping.

On the CS team level, we had a hard time during the run up to finals week. We were so busy that meeting and working together on the project was near impossible.

5 Project Design

As our project currently stands, in addition to the written documentation, we have designed a software architecture for our teams helicopter. This design takes into account a range of sensors and two cameras. Our design takes in this data on-board the Raspberry Pi, and sends the data to the appropriate place, whether that means it is sent back to the computer, or it is also used on-board the Raspberry Pi.

5.1 Front and Bottom Video Feed

A big part of this project for the Computer Science team is to deal with the cameras on the MAV. There are specific portions of the obstacle course where the pilot will have not have sight of the MAV. For these parts of the course, we have a front facing camera that allows the pilot to make important decisions when piloting the MAV. The MAV design has an additional downward facing camera to assist with package pick-up. These camera feeds by design will be sent to a server run locally on a laptop, where the same laptop will display a view containing these feeds for the pilot's viewing.

5.2 Package Guidance

Another major aspect of the remote-controlled helicopter is the payload pickup assistance. To accomplish this, we will be using a bottom facing camera to obtain a video feed and will use computer vision to navigate the pilot to an optimal pickup point. With the other major requirements needed to successfully compete in the competition, such as the front-facing cameras and sensors, we are classifying this as a stretch goal.

Computer Vision is an essential portion of this segment to enable the pilot to view and detect obstacles that may appear in its path. The American Helicopter Society competition contains a course with obstacles such as walls, trees, and bridges. Additionally, a part of the course cannot be seen through human eyes, so the helicopter must solely rely on a camera. Computer Vision will allow the pilot to have other intelligence data that can assist in avoiding obstacles, and reach the appropriate pickup or drop off point. If we decide to build this feature, our team will use OpenCV because of the real-time image recognition benefits and it is a low-cost option.

OpenCV has various inbuilt functions that allow a user to inference objects and perform actions quickly. Since OpenCV is a software development kit, the inferencing and machine learning must be performed on the local machine as there is no cloud option available. Additionally, OpenCV allows us to detect and recognize objects in real-time, so it is the chosen API for our product. Specifically, we will be using OpenCV on the bottom-facing camera to find an optimal pickup point for the payload. The general workflow we will be using to leverage machine learning methods to navigate the pilot to this optimal pickup point is as follows:

Each of the components performs a significant task in developing an intelligent platform to detect the pickup points.

- Training Data: Gather image data of the payload pickup and drop-off point based on the competition information.
- Data Preprocessing: Before data, the data needed to be cleaned to a unified structure that allows for equal learning opportunities to learn.
- Training: Training the image data is a critical portion of image recognition. The training segment is where the machine learns to distinguish between different objects.
 - Neural Network: The current design choice is to build a neural network, which is a deep learning mechanism to train our model. While computationally expensive, a neural network generally yields accurate results when inferencing an object.
- Output Weights File: The weights file is the multidimensional output from the training. It is the neural network connections that were generated in order to achieve accurate inferencing.
- Using Weight file for object recognition: Once the weight file is ready from the training, we load the file into the computer vision application which enables the inferencing.
- Decision: Finally, the local machine has the ability to detect objects in real-time (in this scenario, we now have the ability to find an optimal payload pickup point).

The video feed of the computer vision application will be displayed on the Graphical User Interface (GUI) will be discussed later.

After talking amongst our team and other sub-teams, we feel that this computer vision application for the bottom-facing camera could assist the pilot while picking up the package. In the previous years, payload pickup was a challenging component of the competition. Teams often lose the most time when picking up and dropping off a package because they are unable to find an efficient point to disembark and load the package. The machine learning technology onboard is intended to help the pilot navigate to a position which is easiest for pickup.

5.3 Collision Warning

In addition to providing the pilot with video feed and package retrieval guidance, our design includes a collision warning system. The collision warning system provides the pilot with awareness of the surrounding obstacles, beyond the field of view provided by the front camera. The system works in the following way. Three LV-MaxSonar ultrasonic range sensors supply the interface with an instantaneous feed of obstacle detection ranges. The ranges are converted to a color scheme, ranging from green, being the farthest, to red, being the nearest. The colors are then applied to their associated semi-pie graphical user interface elements, shown in the slide. Because the pilot is not expected to look at the screen, a beeping warning sound is echoed whenever obstacles penetrate a safe radius.

One of the natural things the collision warning interface provides is the ability for the pilot to position the aircraft in a tight space with obstacles. For example, whenever performing a flight through a tunnel, the pilot can focus on balancing the left and right colors within the GUI, in turn for equalizing the distances to left and right walls.

Due to potential battery power and vehicle mass limitations, our current design does not include a sensor for the rear view of the micro air vehicle. If, however, the electrical and computer engineering team, as well as the mechanical engineering team, determines that power and mass can be allocated, additional ultrasonic range sensor will be included. In the meantime, we assume the pilot restricts their maneuverability to forward and sideways.

5.4 Collision Avoidance

Our stretch goal is to include collision avoidance system, where remote controls are overridden to keep the MAV at a safe distance from the obstacles. Collision avoidance is collision warning at the next level. Whenever the MAV is too close to an obstacle, the collision avoidance system overrides controls to prevent the MAV from moving further toward the obstacle. Additionally, the collision avoidance computes proper rejection pitch and roll components to ensure that the MAV does not keep moving in the direction of an obstacle.

Collision avoidance involves all the range sensors, accelerometer, and potentially the depth map from the front facing camera. For rapid response, collision avoidance is processed onboard the MAV. Displayed in the figure is a mock up how pitch and roll controls are to be overridden.

5.5 Flight Instruments

There are two variables used to stabilize the helicopter. The accelerometer detects magnitude and the direction of movement and the gyroscope tracks the orientation of the vehicle. These variables are fed into the helicopter's onboard computer which calculates the proper values for the helicopter's pitch, roll, collective pitch, torque, and throttle. Stable hover and stable flight are achievable because of the onboard accelerometer and gyroscope.

The third flight variable is height. Height is not directly used in stabilizing the craft, but is used for assisting with package pickup. The height value comes from a downward facing sonic sensor. Height is used, along with image recognition software, to help the pilot pick up the target package, or if selected, automatically adjust the helicopter's position over the target.

6 Weekly Report

6.1 Week 3

Progress

Overall, week 3 was a very busy week. Our group was assigned to a Micro Air Vehicle Challenge hosted by the vertical flight society. We met up with our other team members. There are three subteams to the whole team. The three subteams are made of CS students, ME students, and ECE students. The MEs and ECEs were already assigned to the project before us. Our first day we were introduced to last year's project and showed the helicopter from last year's team. Initially our group used email and text messaging exclusively, however, we decided to setup a Slack channel to help our group stay organized. We also tried to start coordinating our efforts with the ECEs at the beginning because our designs interact closely.

Problems

We also still needed to contact our client and determine our meeting times with the TA.

Plans

We decided to meet up on Friday with the ME subteam lead to discuss the project and sensors we would be interested in implementing.

6.2 Week 4

Progress

From Tuesday through Thursday, we worked on and completed our group problem statement. Using the required LaTeX capstone template, we submitted it on Friday. On Wednesday, at noon, we met with our TA, Ben. We asked Ben for insight on choosing the right kind of camera for recognition and parallax vision, as well as, the right type of ultrasonic range sensor.

Luckily for us, Ben's thesis is on computer vision and turned out to be very skilled in the area of our project. Ben guided us toward choosing the right kind of equipment for the helicopter, including an Intel camera for parallax vision and reliable ultrasonic range sensor for collision avoidance. Ben as well pointed us toward his GitHub project, where we can refer to examples for our requirements document next week.

Problems

One of our team members attended the ECE group meeting and found out that they are not planning to reserve us, the computer science team, a way for controlling the helicopter. They only have planned for providing us with feed from cameras and sensors, but not allowing us to send information to the helicopter. This seems like our work will not play a role in the competition, other than a sort of visual/collision alarm assist to the pilot. We wanted to incorporate some autonomous behavior utilizing the sensors, such as actually collision avoidance, where controls are overwritten in case the helicopter is not at a safe distance from an obstacle.

Plans

We are going to make a powerpoint presentation to present to the rest of the team with our ideas for the helicopter. We have three features that we want and need in order to actually have something to do for this competition, and one idea we are willing to part with in order to smooth over getting what we want. We will present our ideas at the next team meeting to ensure that we are not around to just write up documents.

6.3 Week 5

Progress

During the weekly full ME, ECE, and CS gathering, our team gave a presentation about the features we would like to have on the helicopter and the anticipated constraints with the current solutions to range sensors and computer vision. The electrical and mechanical teams gave us feedback about what is feasible and we now have an idea of future challenges. On Tuesday, we started writing the requirements document. On Wednesday, we met with our TA, Ben, and found out Intel RealSense would not be feasible. Ben proposed us an alternative solution where we have 2 to 4 infrared lasers intersecting at a common point and using geometry to compute distance to target. This is a feasible solution as lasers are cheap.

Problems

Some of our suggested sensors that we wanted to use did not meet the requirements of the helicopter. They were either too heavy or they required too much bandwidth to transmit data, which would drain the battery life of the aircraft. The Mechanical engineers do not want any moving cameras on board. Also, we will have to figure out how to keep the camera steady. We additionally figured ultrasonic range sensors have limits to the objects they can detect. We may have to use a combination of ultrasonic and infrared obstacle detection sensors to develop a reliable collision avoidance system.

Plans

We need figure out the best way for utilizing the new camera system. We plan on meeting with our team next week to discuss the new system. We additionally have to complete the requirements document during the weekend, and research the components we would need for the MAV. This will aide us in researching the type of components for the upcoming individual assignment.

6.4 Week 6

Progress

On Monday and Tuesday we were working hard and finished our first draft for our requirements document. Also, we have

received some feedback for another future submission. On Wednesday, we met with our TA and reviewed our requirements document, as well as, provided additional insight regarding infrared laser distance sensing. Ben suggested us to use the SparkFun depth camera as a simpler, but a more viable solution. That same day, we went to Electrical Engineers meeting regarding the depth camera, which can also provide a depth map. They said, it has a good cost and voltage requirements but because it uses USB3.0, they will not be able to implement it. So, we will have to use simple technologies, like lasers and cameras or discard the idea of lasers all together.

Problems

Choosing a camera for the bottom and front sides of our camera is not easy. There are strict weight, power, and quality requirements we need to keep in mind when picking hardware. Additionally we have an overall budget of 3000 dollars to stick to, but that will be less of an issue. Also, if our camera is good enough we will not need extra infrared lasers on the front to calculate the distance, however stronger cameras like this typically use micro usb and do not connect to the Arduino board that the Electrical Engineering subteam wants to use.

Plans

In terms of writing assignments for the capstone class, our workload looks lighter this upcoming week. This will give us more time to research our sensors so we can get that finalized for the rest of our team. Additionally, we may work more on tidying up our documents.

6.5 Week 7

Progress

Our team and I made good progress by discussing our technology reviews. We made sure that every team member was an expert on the topics they chose to write about. Additionally, we solidified our plans with the electrical and mechanical engineers so they could get the hardware portions running.

Problems

The only problem we faced this week was an inconsistent timeline for the hardware to be set up with the raspberry so that we can start implementing the software features of the project. However, we can make a proper plan with the electrical engineering team to figure this out.

Plans

Our plan for next week is to set a plan with the electrical engineers so we can help purchase our sensors and cameras to be set up on the raspberry pi. Also, we will be working with them to get a proper feed for the sensor and visual data so the computer science team can begin developing software features.

6.6 Week 8

Progress

This week, my team and I made a concrete plan in order to tackle the design document by discussing all the components of the product. Additionally, we divided tasks and will begin drafting our document once we discuss our plans at the large team meeting which is comprised of the mechanical engineers, electrical engineers, and our client.

Problems We did not face any problems this week. However, we may need to revise our design plan after meeting with our client and the electrical engineering team.

Plans Our plan for next week is to pitch our design to the large team and make any revisions as necessary. Once we finalize our plan, we will begin writing our sections of the document and will be ready to submit it by next Tuesday.

6.7 Week 9

Progress

Monday's meeting with electrical and mechanical engineering teams was reassuring in a way that it allowed us to see that all our design plans and ideas are coming together. The mechanical engineering team presented their helicopter design and the plan for implementing components and sensors. Their solution for the helicopter, amazingly, turned out light weight and the motors themselves shown capable of lifting 1000 grams with ease. This means we no longer have to worry about the mass constraints when finalizing our design components, other than having to stick with the 500 gram mass limit. The electrical and computer engineering team presented their plan for using Raspberry Pi Zero. From our side, we gave a brief presentation regarding our onboard system architecture. This was meant for the electrical and computer engineering team to analyze our plans and provide feedback regarding the feasibility. We, as well, received reassurance from the electrical and computer engineering team on ordering the Eys3D stereo camera for our front-facing camera. The down facing camera has already been ordered, so we do not have to worry about that. Regarding other components, we are awaiting Electrical and Computer Engineering team's approval to order the four LV-MaxSonar range sensors and LEDs.

Problems

It is a unfortunate that the design document is to be worked on during the Thanksgiving holiday. The design document needs to be finished but the holiday is a blocker.

Plans

The objective is to work on the design document throughout the week and the weekend. Our TA, Ben, provided us with information on generating UML diagrams for the design document, so we have the resources we need to complete the task. We plan to meet up on Tuesday to finish up the design document.

7 Retrospective

	Positive	Deltas	Action
Week 3	When meeting with the large	Weekly full team meeting times	We needed to meet with the Me-
	team, we found that the mechan-	need to be changed.	chanical Team leader to request
	icals engineers and electrical engi-		that the time allows everyone in
	neers have already started working		the CS team to be there.
	on the project.		
Week 4	We turned our group problem	The other subteams need to be	Create PowerPoint presentation to
	statement and received good ad-	convince about the value of our	present to complete team.
	vice about range finding solutions.	contributions.	
Week 5	Received good feedback from ECE	Did not have range finding solu-	Research infrared lasers and in-
	subteam about onboard electron-	tion.	frared cameras to build custom
	ics. Also Ben proposed an alter-		range finding solution.
	ative to the Intel Realsense Cam-		
TT7 1 0	era.	D : D :	
Week 6	We finished part of Requirments	Revise Requirements Document.	Scheduled CS subgroup meeting
	Doc and Ben gave us some good		for Requirements Document revi-
	tips about it. Also, we found the		sions.
	Eys3D camera system which is a		
	better fit for our range finding needs.		
Week 7	ECE team decided to use a RPi	Revise our Tech Reviews.	Planned time for each indivual to
Week 1	instead of an Arduino board. This	Revise our Tech Reviews.	work on their Tech Review.
	is a large boost in processing power		work on their reen neview.
	available to use.		
Week 8	We finally started designing the	We needed to check with the ME	We met during our weekly meet-
	software architecture for our heli-	and ECE parts of the team to	ing and presented our software ar-
	copter and computer. This was a	amke sure that our design was	chitecture diagrams to make sure
	big boost for team moral.	good.	it didn't conflict with the ME or
			ECE team's vision of the project.
Week 9	The mechanical engineering team	The Thanksgiving holiday is in a	We distributed work before the
	presented a light weight design and	way of the design document.	holiday and planned to meet on
	assured room and plan for includig		Tuesday to finalize the design.
	all the electrical components and		
	sensors.		