

STAT 210A: INTRODUCTION TO MATHEMATICAL STATISTICS

**Problem Set 4**

**Student:** Ivana Malenica

**Due:** Thursday, Oct. 6

---

Note: All measure-theoretic niceties about conditioning on measure-zero sets, almost-sure equality vs. actual equality, “all functions” vs. “all measurable functions,” etc. are disregarded for the time being.

**1. Bayesian law of large numbers**

1. Let  $p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  denote two strictly positive densities with respect to a common dominating measure  $\mu$ . The *Kullback–Leibler divergence* between  $p$  and  $q$  is defined as

$$D(p||q) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} d\mu(x).$$

Show that  $D(p||q) \geq 0$ , with equality only in the case that  $p(X) = q(X)$  almost surely (Hint: use Jensen’s inequality; you may need a more general statement of Jensen’s inequality than what is in Keener).

2. Consider a dominated likelihood model  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_{\theta}(x) : \theta \in \Omega\}$ , where the parameter space  $\Omega$  is a finite set, and the densities are strictly positive on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\lambda$  denote a prior density w.r.t. the counting measure on  $\Omega$ , and consider the Bayes posterior after observing a sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} p_{\theta_0}(x)$  for some *fixed* value  $\theta_0$  (that is, we are studying *frequentist* properties of the *Bayesian* posterior distribution).

If the prior  $\lambda$  puts positive mass on all values in  $\Omega$ , show that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with the true value  $\theta_0$  fixed, the posterior density eventually concentrates nearly all its mass on the true value  $\theta_0$ . That is,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta_0} [\lambda(\theta_0 | X_1, \dots, X_n) \geq 1 - \varepsilon] \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

(Hint: use the law of large numbers).

(Note: the requirement that the prior density should be nonzero everywhere is sometimes called Cromwell’s Rule, after Oliver Cromwell’s quotable plea to the Church of Scotland: “I beseech you, in the bowels of Christ, think it possible that you may be mistaken.”)

**2. Bayesian prediction**

Consider a Bayesian model in which the prior distribution for  $\Theta$  is uniform on  $(0, 1)$  and given  $\Theta = \theta$ ,  $X_i, i \geq 1$ , are i.i.d. Bernoulli with success probability  $\theta$ . Find

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n+1} = 1 | X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

(Note: here the probability should be read as being taken over the joint distribution of  $\Theta, X_1, X_2, \dots$ )

**3. Ridge regression**

Consider the i.i.d. linear observation model

$$Y_i = x_i' \beta + W_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \tag{1}$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , the design vectors  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are fixed and known, and  $W_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  is observation noise. Assume that  $d < n$ , and the design matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  (the  $n \times d$  matrix whose  $i$ th row is  $x_i'$ ) has full column rank.

- Assume that  $\sigma^2 > 0$  is known, and that  $\beta$  is modeled as a fixed but unknown vector. Find the UMVU estimate of  $\beta$  based on  $Y$ .
- Now consider Bayesian estimation with the prior  $\beta \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2 Q)$ , where  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is a known, positive definite symmetric matrix. Find the posterior mean of  $\beta$ .

#### 4. Absolute error loss

For a Bayesian model with a single real parameter  $\Theta$ , assume that the posterior distribution of  $\Theta$  given  $X = x$  is absolutely continuous for all  $x$ . What is the Bayes estimator for the loss  $L(\theta, d) = |\theta - d|$ ?

#### 5. Exponential-exponential model

Consider a Bayesian model in which the prior distribution for  $\Theta$  is  $\lambda(\theta) = e^{-\theta} 1\{\theta > 0\}$  (the standard exponential distribution) and the density for  $X$  given  $\Theta = \theta$  is

$$p_\theta(x) = e^{\theta-x} 1\{x > \theta\}.$$

- Find the marginal density for  $X$ , and the marginal expectation  $\mathbb{E}[X]$ .
- Find the Bayes estimator for  $\theta$  under squared error loss. (Assume  $X > 0$ .)

#### 6. Exponential families

This problem addresses the issue of implementing Bayes estimators for an  $s$ -parameter exponential family model in canonical form:

$$p_\theta(x) = e^{\theta' T(x) - A(\theta)} h(x)$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and the random vector  $\Theta$  has prior density  $\lambda(\cdot)$ .

- If  $q(x)$  denotes the marginal density of  $X$ , show that for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^s \Theta_j \frac{\partial T_j(x)}{\partial x_i} \mid X = x \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log q(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log h(x).$$

(Assume here that all relevant quantities are suitably differentiable.)

- If  $T(x) = x$ , use part (a) to conclude that the posterior mean of  $\Theta$  is given by

$$\nabla \log q(x) - \nabla \log h(x).$$

#### 7. Jeffreys prior

For each distribution and each parameter, find the Jeffreys prior (possibly improper).

- Poisson distribution  $X \sim \text{Pois}(\theta) = \frac{\theta^x e^{-\theta}}{x!}$ , parameterized by  $\theta$  and  $\eta = \log \theta$ .
- Normal distribution  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , parameterized by  $\mu$  (with  $\sigma^2$  known) and by  $\sigma^2$  (with  $\mu$  known).