Exploratory data analysis (EDA)

This is the very first data analysis I do on my own. Please take the informations on this notebook with a grain of salt. I'm open to all improvements (even rewording), don't hesitate to leave me a comment or upvote if you found it useful. If I'm completely wrong somewhere or if my findings makes no sense don't hesitate to leave me a comment.

This work was influenced by some kernels of the same competition as well as the Stanford: Statistical reasoning MOOC

The purpose of this EDA is to find insights which will serve us later in another notebook for Data cleaning/preparation/transformation which will ultimately be used into a machine learning algorithm. We will proceed as follow:

WE USE DATA ANALYSIS AND VISUALIZATION AT EVERY STEP OF THE MACHINE LEARNING PROCESS



Source

Where each steps (Data exploration, Data cleaning, Model building, Presenting results) will belongs to 1 notebook. I will write down a lot of details in this notebook (even some which may seems obvious by nature), as a beginner it's important for me to do so.

Preparations

For the preparations lets first import the necessary libraries and load the files needed for our EDA

In [1]:

```
import pandas as pd
import seaborn as sns
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# Comment this if the data visualisations doesn't work on your side
%matplotlib inline

plt.style.use('bmh')
```

In [2]:

```
df = pd.read_csv('../input/train.csv')
df.head()
```

Out[2]:

		ld	MSSubClass	MSZoning	LotFrontage	LotArea	Street	Alley	LotShape	LandContour	Utilities	•••	PoolArea	PoolQC	Fence	٨
Ī	0	1	60	RL	65.0	8450	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub		0	NaN	NaN	
	1	2	20	RL	80.0	9600	Pave	NaN	Reg	Lvl	AllPub		0	NaN	NaN	
	2	3	60	RL	68.0	11250	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub		0	NaN	NaN	
	3	4	70	RL	60.0	9550	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub		0	NaN	NaN	
	4	5	60	RL	84.0	14260	Pave	NaN	IR1	Lvl	AllPub		0	NaN	NaN	

5 rows × 81 columns

In [3]:

df.info()

```
<class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'>
RangeIndex: 1460 entries, 0 to 1459
Data columns (total 81 columns):
                 1460 non-null int64
MSSubClass
                 1460 non-null int64
                1460 non-null object
MSZoning
                1201 non-null float64
1460 non-null int64
LotFrontage
LotArea
                 1460 non-null object
Street.
                 91 non-null object
Allev
                1460 non-null object
1460 non-null object
1460 non-null object
LotShape
LandContour
Utilities
                 1460 non-null object
LotConfig
                1460 non-null object
LandSlope
Neighborhood 1460 non-null object
                 1460 non-null object
Condition1
Condition2
                  1460 non-null object
                 1460 non-null object
BldgType
                1460 non-null object
HouseStyle
OverallQual
                1460 non-null int64
                 1460 non-null int64
OverallCond
                1460 non-null int64
1460 non-null int64
1460 non-null object
YearBuilt
YearRemodAdd
RoofStyle
                 1460 non-null object
RoofMat.l
                1460 non-null object
Exterior1st
Exterior2nd
MasVnrType
                  1452 non-null object
                 1452 non-null float64
MasVnrArea
ExterQual
                 1460 non-null object
ExterCond
                 1460 non-null object
                 1460 non-null object
Foundation
                1423 non-null object
1423 non-null object
1422 non-null object
BsmtQual
BsmtCond
BsmtExposure
                1423 non-null object
BsmtFinType1
                 1460 non-null int64
BsmtFinSF1
                1422 non-null object
BsmtFinType2
BsmtFinSF2
                  1460 non-null int64
                 1460 non-null int64
BsmtUnfSF
TotalBsmtSF
                 1460 non-null int64
Heating
                 1460 non-null object
                1460 non-null object
1460 non-null object
1459 non-null object
HeatingOC
CentralAir
Electrical
                 1460 non-null int64
1stFlrSF
2ndFlrSF
                 1460 non-null int64
                1460 non-null int64
LowOualFinSF
                 1460 non-null int64
1460 non-null int64
GrLivArea
BsmtFullBath
                 1460 non-null int64
BsmtHalfBath
FullBath
                 1460 non-null int64
                 1460 non-null int64
HalfBath
                1460 non-null int64
1460 non-null int64
1460 non-null object
BedroomAbvGr
KitchenAbvGr
KitchenQual
                1460 non-null int64
TotRmsAbvGrd
Functional
                 1460 non-null object
                 1460 non-null int64
Fireplaces
FireplaceQu
                  770 non-null object
GarageType
                  1379 non-null object
                 1379 non-null float64
GarageYrBlt
GarageFinish
                 1379 non-null object
GarageCars
                 1460 non-null int64
                 1460 non-null int64
GarageArea
                 1379 non-null object
1379 non-null object
GarageQual
GarageCond
                 1460 non-null object
PavedDrive
                 1460 non-null int64
WoodDeckSF
                 1460 non-null int64
OpenPorchSF
EnclosedPorch
                  1460 non-null int64
3SsnPorch
                  1460 non-null int64
                  1460 non-null int64
ScreenPorch
PoolArea
                  1460 non-null int64
PoolQC
                  7 non-null object
```

```
281 non-null object
Fence.
               54 non-null object
MiscFeature
                1460 non-null int64
MiscVal
               1460 non-null int64
MoSold
               1460 non-null int64
YrSold
               1460 non-null object
SaleType
SaleCondition 1460 non-null object
               1460 non-null int64
SalePrice
dtypes: float64(3), int64(35), object(43)
memory usage: 924.0+ KB
```

From these informations we can already see that some features won't be relevant in our exploratory analysis as there are too much missing values (such as Alley and PoolQC). Plus there is so much features to analyse that it may be better to concentrate on the ones which can give us real insights. Let's just remove Id and the features with 30% or less NaN values.

In [4]:

```
# df.count() does not include NaN values
df2 = df[[column for column in df if df[column].count() / len(df) >= 0.3]]
del df2['Id']
print("List of dropped columns:", end=" ")
for c in df.columns:
   if c not in df2.columns:
       print(c, end=", ")
print('\n')
df = df2
```


List of dropped columns: Id, Alley, PoolQC, Fence, MiscFeature,


Note: If we take the features we just removed and look at their description in the 'data' description.txt' file we can deduct that these features may not be present on all houses (which explains the 'NaN' values). In our next Data preparation/cleaning notebook we could tranform them into categorical dummy values.

Now lets take a look at how the housing price is distributed

```
In [5]:
print(df['SalePrice'].describe())
plt.figure(figsize=(9, 8))
sns.distplot(df['SalePrice'], color='g', bins=100, hist kws={'alpha': 0.4});
count
         1460.000000
         180921.195890
mean
         79442.502883
std
        34900.000000
min
       129975.000000
50%
       163000.000000
75%
        214000.000000
max
         755000.000000
Name: SalePrice, dtype: float64
```

```
/opt/conda/lib/python3.5/site-packages/statsmodels/nonparametric/kdetools.py:20:
VisibleDeprecationWarning: using a non-integer number instead of an integer will result in an
error in the future
 y = X[:m/2+1] + np.r_[0,X[m/2+1:],0]*1j
```





With this information we can see that the prices are skewed right and some outliers lies above ~500,000. We will eventually want to get rid of the them to get a normal distribution of the independent variable (`SalePrice`) for machine learning.

Note: Apparently using the log function could also do the job but I have no experience with it

Numerical data distribution

For this part lets look at the distribution of all of the features by ploting them

To do so lets first list all the types of our data from our dataset and take only the numerical ones:

In [6]:

```
list(set(df.dtypes.tolist()))
```

Out[6]:

[dtype('int64'), dtype('O'), dtype('float64')]

In [7]:

```
df_num = df.select_dtypes(include = ['float64', 'int64'])
df_num.head()
```


Out[7]:

	MSSubClass	LotFrontage	LotArea	OverallQual	OverallCond	YearBuilt	YearRemodAdd	MasVnrArea	BsmtFinSF1	BsmtFinSF2	
0	60	65.0	8450	7	5	2003	2003	196.0	706	0	
1	20	80.0	9600	6	8	1976	1976	0.0	978	0	
2	60	68.0	11250	7	5	2001	2002	162.0	486	0	
3	70	60.0	9550	7	5	1915	1970	0.0	216	0	
4	60	84.0	14260	8	5	2000	2000	350.0	655	0	

5 rows × 37 columns

In [8]:

 $df_num.hist(figsize=(16,\ 20),\ bins=50,\ xlabelsize=8,\ ylabelsize=8);\ \#\ ;\ avoid\ having\ the\ matplotlib\ verbose\ informations$



Features such as `1stFlrSF`, `TotalBsmtSF`, `LotFrontage`, `GrLiveArea`... seems to share a similar distribution to the one we have with `SalePrice`. Lets see if we can find new clues later.

Correlation

In [9]:

```
df_num_corr = df_num.corr()['SalePrice'][:-1] # -1 because the latest row is SalePrice
golden_features_list = df_num_corr[abs(df_num_corr) > 0.5].sort_values(ascending=False)
print("There is {} strongly correlated values with SalePrice:\n{}".format(len(golden_features_list))
, golden_features_list))
```

```
There is 10 strongly correlated values with SalePrice:
OverallOual
                0.790982
GrLivArea
                0.708624
GarageCars
                0.640409
                0.623431
GarageArea
TotalBsmtSF
                0.613581
1stFlrSF
                0.605852
FullBath
                0.560664
TotRmsAbvGrd
                0.533723
YearBuilt
                0.522897
YearRemodAdd
                0.507101
Name: SalePrice, dtype: float64
```

Perfect, we now have a list of strongly correlated values but this list is incomplete as we know that correlation is affected by outliers. So we could proceed as follow:


- · Plot the numerical features and see which ones have very few or explainable outliers
- · Remove the outliers from these features and see which one can have a good correlation without their outliers

Btw, correlation by itself does not always explain the relationship between data so ploting them could even lead us to new insights and in the same manner, check that our correlated values have a linear relationship to the SalePrice.

For example, relationships such as curvilinear relationship cannot be guessed just by looking at the correlation value so lets take the features we excluded from our correlation table and plot them to see if they show some kind of pattern.

In [10]:

```
for i in range(0, len(df num.columns), 5):
     sns.pairplot(data=df num,
                    x vars=df_num.columns[i:i+5],
                     y vars=['SalePrice'])
   800000
  600000
  400000
  200000
        0
                  100
                      150
                            200
                                2
                                                                                         4
                                                                                            6
                                                                                                8
                                                                                                   10
                                                                                                       12
                                                                                                           0
                                                                                                                   4
                                                                                                                       6
              MSSubClass
                                       LotFrontage
                                                                 LotArea
                                                                                         OverallQual
                                                                                                                 OverallCond
   800000
SalePrice
  400000
  200000
      0
                                                                500 1000 1500 2000-1000 0 100020003000400050006000 -200 0 200400600801000200400600
       1860 880 900 920 940 960 980 000 020 1940 950 960 970 980 990 000 100 20 -500 0
                YearBuilt
                                     YearRemodAdd
                                                               MasVnrArea
                                                                                        BsmtFinSF1
                                                                                                                 BsmtFinSF2
   800000
  600000
SalePrice
   400000
  200000
      0
              1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 -500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 -100 0 100 200 300 400 500 600 700
       -500
                                       TotalBsmtSF
                                                                 1stFlrSF
                                                                                         2ndFlrSF
                                                                                                                LowQualFinSF
```



We can clearly identify some relationships. Most of them seems to have a linear relationship with the SalePrice and if we look closely at the data we can see that a lot of data points are located on x = 0 which may indicate the absence of such feature in the house.

Take OpenPorchSF, I doubt that all houses have a porch (mine doesn't for instance but I don't lose hope that one day... yeah one day...).

So now lets remove these 0 values and repeat the process of finding correlated values:

In [11]:

```
import operator

individual_features_df = []
for i in range(0, len(df_num.columns) - 1): # -1 because the last column is SalePrice
    tmpDf = df_num[[df_num.columns[i], 'SalePrice']]
    tmpDf = tmpDf[tmpDf[df_num.columns[i]] != 0]
    individual_features_df_ampand(tmpDf)
```

```
THOIVIQUAL_reacures_or.append(cmpDr)
all_correlations = {feature.columns[0]: feature.corr()['SalePrice'][0] for feature in individual fe
atures df}
all correlations = sorted(all correlations.items(), key=operator.itemgetter(1))
for (key, value) in all_correlations:
   print("{:>15}: {:>15}".format(key, value))
  KitchenAbvGr: -0.13920069217785566
      HalfBath: -0.08439171127179887
    MSSubClass: -0.08428413512659523
   OverallCond: -0.0778558940486776
        YrSold: -0.028922585168730426
   BsmtHalfBath: -0.028834567185481712
      PoolArea: -0.014091521506356928
   BsmtFullBath: 0.011439163340408634
        MoSold: 0.04643224522381936
     3SsnPorch: 0.06393243256889079
   OpenPorchSF: 0.08645298857147708
       MiscVal: 0.08896338917298924
    Fireplaces: 0.1216605842136395
     BsmtUnfSF: 0.16926100049514192
   BedroomAbvGr: 0.18093669310849045
    WoodDeckSF: 0.19370601237520677
    BsmtFinSF2: 0.19895609430836586
 EnclosedPorch: 0.2412788363011751
   ScreenPorch: 0.25543007954878405
       LotArea: 0.2638433538714063
  LowQualFinSF: 0.3000750165550133
   LotFrontage: 0.35179909657067854
    MasVnrArea: 0.4340902197568926
    BsmtFinSF1: 0.4716904265235731
   GarageYrBlt: 0.48636167748786213
   YearRemodAdd: 0.5071009671113867
     YearBuilt: 0.5228973328794967
   TotRmsAbvGrd: 0.5337231555820238
      FullBath: 0.5745626737760816
      1stFlrSF: 0.6058521846919166
    GarageArea: 0.6084052829168343
   TotalBsmtSF: 0.6096808188074366
    GarageCars: 0.6370954062078953
      2ndFlrSF: 0.6733048324568383
     GrLivArea: 0.7086244776126511
   OverallQual: 0.7909816005838047
```

Very interesting! We found another strongly correlated value by cleaning up the data a bit. Now our <code>golden_features_list</code> var looks like this:

```
In [12]:
```

```
golden_features_list = [key for key, value in all_correlations if abs(value) >= 0.5]
print("There is {} strongly correlated values with SalePrice:\n{}".format(len(golden_features_list)), golden_features_list))
There is 11 strongly correlated values with SalePrice:
```

['YearRemodAdd', 'YearBuilt', 'TotRmsAbvGrd', 'FullBath', '1stFlrSF', 'GarageArea', 'TotalBsmtSF', 'GarageCars', '2ndFlrSF', 'GrLivArea', 'OverallQual']

We found strongly correlated predictors with `SalePrice`. Later with feature engineering we may add dummy values where value of a given feature > 0 would be 1 (precense of such feature) and 0 would be 0.

For '2ndFirSF' for example, we could create a dummy value for its precense or non-precense and finally sum it up to '1stFirSF'.

Conclusion

By looking at correlation between numerical values we discovered 11 features which have a strong relationship to a house price. Besides correlation we didn't find any notable pattern on the datas which are not correlated.


Notes:

- . I here may be some patterns I wasn't able to identify due to my lack of expertise
- Some values such as GarageCars -> SalePrice or Fireplaces -> SalePrice shows a particular pattern with verticals lines roughly meaning that they are discrete variables with a short range but I don't know if they need some sort of "special treatment".

Feature to feature relationship

Trying to plot all the numerical features in a seaborn pairplot will take us too much time and will be hard to interpret. We can try to see if some variables are linked between each other and then explain their relation with common sense.

```
In [13]:
```



A lot of features seems to be correlated between each other but some of them such as YearBuild / GarageYrBlt may just indicate a price inflation over the years. As for <code>lstFlrSF</code> / <code>TotalBsmtSF</code>, it is normal that the more the 1st floor is large (considering many houses have only 1 floor), the more the total basement will be large.

Now for the ones which are less obvious we can see that:

• There is a strong negative correlation between BsmtUnfSF (Unfinished square feet of basement area) and BsmtFinSF2

(Type 2 finished square feet). There is a definition of unfinished square feet <u>here</u> but as for a house of "Type 2", I can't tell what it really is.

• HalfBath / 2ndFlrSF is interesting and may indicate that people gives an importance of not having to rush downstairs in case of urgently having to go to the bathroom (I'll consider that when I'll buy myself a house uh...)

There is of course a lot more to discover but I can't really explain the rest of the features except the most obvious ones.

We can conclude that, by essence, some of those features may be combined between each other in order to reduce the number of features ('1stFlrSF'/TotalBsmtSF', 'GarageCars'/GarageArea') and others indicates that people expect multiples features to be packaged together.

Q -> Q (Quantitative to Quantitative relationship)

Let's now examine the quantitative features of our dataframe and how they relate to the SalePrice which is also quantitative (hence the relation Q -> Q). I will conduct this analysis with the help of the Q -> Q chapter of the Standford MOOC

Some of the features of our dataset are categorical. To separate the categorical from quantitative features lets refer ourselves to the data description.txt file. According to this file we end up with the following columns:

In [14]:

Out[14]:

	LotFrontage	LotArea	MasVnrArea	BsmtFinSF1	BsmtFinSF2	TotalBsmtSF	1stFlrSF	2ndFlrSF	LowQualFinSF	GrLivArea	 Gara
0	65.0	8450	196.0	706	0	856	856	854	0	1710	
1	80.0	9600	0.0	978	0	1262	1262	0	0	1262	
2	68.0	11250	162.0	486	0	920	920	866	0	1786	
3	60.0	9550	0.0	216	0	756	961	756	0	1717	
4	84.0	14260	350.0	655	0	1145	1145	1053	0	2198	

5 rows × 28 columns

4

Still, we have a lot of features to analyse here so let's take the *strongly correlated quantitative* features from this dataset and analyse them one by one

In [15]:

```
features_to_analyse = [x for x in quantitative_features_list if x in golden_features_list]
features_to_analyse.append('SalePrice')
features_to_analyse
```

Out[15]:


```
['TotalBsmtSF',
  'lstFlrSF',
  '2ndFlrSF',
  'GrLivArea',
  'FullBath',
  'TotRmsAbvGrd',
  'GarageCars',
  'GarageArea',
  'SalePrice']
```

Let's look at their distribution.

In [16]:

```
fig, ax = plt.subplots(round(len(features_to_analyse) / 3), 3, figsize = (18, 12))

for i, ax in enumerate(fig.axes):
   if i < len(features_to_analyse) - 1:
        sns.regplot(x=features_to_analyse[i], y='SalePrice', data=df[features_to_analyse], ax=ax)</pre>
```



We can see that features such as <code>TotalBsmtSF</code> , <code>lstFlrSF</code> , <code>GrLivArea</code> have a big spread but I cannot tell what insights this information gives us

C -> Q (Categorical to Quantitative relationship)

We will base this part of the exploration on the C -> Q chapter of the Standford MOOC

Lets get all the categorical features of our dataset and see if we can find some insight in them. Instead of opening back our data_description.txt file and checking which data are categorical, lets just remove quantitative_features_list from our entire dataframe.

In [17]:

```
# quantitative_features_list[:-1] as the last column is SalePrice and we want to keep it
categorical_features = [a for a in quantitative_features_list[:-1] + df.columns.tolist() if (a not
in quantitative_features_list[:-1]) or (a not in df.columns.tolist())]
df_categ = df[categorical_features]
df_categ.head()
```

Out[17]:

	MSSubClass	MSZoning	Street	LotShape	LandContour	Utilities	LotConfig	LandSlope	Neighborhood	Condition1	 GarageYrBl
0	60	RL	Pave	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	Inside	Gtl	CollgCr	Norm	 2003.0
1	20	RL	Pave	Reg	Lvl	AllPub	FR2	Gtl	Veenker	Feedr	 1976.0
2	60	RL	Pave	IR1	LvI	AllPub	Inside	Gtl	CollgCr	Norm	 2001.0
3	70	RL	Pave	IR1	Lvl	AllPub	Corner	GtI	Crawfor	Norm	 1998.0
4	60	RI	Pave	IR1	l vl	AllPub	FR2	Gtl	NoRidae	Norm	2000 (

And don't forget the non-numerical features

In [18]:

```
df_not_num = df_categ.select_dtypes(include = ['0'])
print('There is {} non numerical features including:\n{}'.format(len(df_not_num.columns),
df_not_num.columns.tolist()))
```

```
There is 39 non numerical features including:
['MSZoning', 'Street', 'LotShape', 'LandContour', 'Utilities', 'LotConfig', 'LandSlope',
'Neighborhood', 'Condition1', 'Condition2', 'BldgType', 'HouseStyle', 'RoofStyle', 'RoofMatl',
'Exterior1st', 'Exterior2nd', 'MasVnrType', 'ExterQual', 'ExterCond', 'Foundation', 'BsmtQual',
'BsmtCond', 'BsmtExposure', 'BsmtFinType1', 'BsmtFinType2', 'Heating', 'HeatingQC', 'CentralAir',
'Electrical', 'KitchenQual', 'Functional', 'FireplaceQu', 'GarageType', 'GarageFinish',
'GarageQual', 'GarageCond', 'PavedDrive', 'SaleType', 'SaleCondition']
```

Looking at these features we can see that a lot of them are of the type `Object(O)`. In our data transformation notebook we could use [Pandas categorical functions](http://pandas.pydata.org/pandas-docs/stable/categorical.html) (equivalent to R's factor) to shape our data in a way that would be interpretable for our machine learning algorithm. `ExterQual` for instace could be transformed to an ordered categorical object.


Now lets plot some of them

In [19]:

```
plt.figure(figsize = (10, 6))
ax = sns.boxplot(x='BsmtExposure', y='SalePrice', data=df_categ)
plt.setp(ax.artists, alpha=.5, linewidth=2, edgecolor="k")
plt.xticks(rotation=45)
```

Out[19]:

```
(array([0, 1, 2, 3]), <a list of 4 Text xticklabel objects>)
```




```
ıı [∠∪]•
```

```
plt.figure(figsize = (12, 6))
ax = sns.boxplot(x='SaleCondition', y='SalePrice', data=df_categ)
plt.setp(ax.artists, alpha=.5, linewidth=2, edgecolor="k")
plt.xticks(rotation=45)
```

Out[20]:


(array([0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5]), <a list of 6 Text xticklabel objects>)



And finally lets look at their distribution

```
In [21]:
fig, axes = plt.subplots(round(len(df not num.columns) / 3), 3, figsize=(12, 30))
for i, ax in enumerate(fig.axes):
     if i < len(df not num.columns):</pre>
           ax.set_xticklabels(ax.xaxis.get_majorticklabels(), rotation=45)
           sns.countplot(x=df_not_num.columns[i], alpha=0.7, data=df_not_num, ax=ax)
fig.tight_layout()
                                                1600
1400
1200
1000
800
600
400
200
   1200
                                                                                               1000
   1000
                                                                                                800
    800
                                                                                                600
    600
                                                                                                400
    400
                                                                                                200
    200
      0
                                                                                                  0
                       Claff
                                                            Paye
                                                                               Chi
                                                                                                      QEO
                                                                                                                        &2
                                                                                                                12m
                                                                                                                                  €3
                                                                                                                 LotShape
                                                                     Street
                     MSZoning
                                              1600
1400
1200
1000
800
600
400
   1400
                                                                                               1200
   1200
1000
                                                                                               1000
                                                                                                800
   800
600
                                                                                                600
                                                                                                400
                                                                                                200
    200
                                                                                                             Ø2
                                                            AllPub
           'n
                   BUY
                            ON
                   LandContour
                                                                                                                  LotConfig
                                                                    Utilities
   1400
                                                                                               1400
                                                  250
   1200
                                                                                               1200
                                                  200
                                                                                               1000
   1000
                                               ij 150
100
                                                                                             xount
                                                                                                800
    800
```





We can see that some categories are predominant for some features such as `Utilities`, `Heating`, `GarageCond`, `Functional`... These features may not be relevant for our predictive model