Entropy Guidelines

Document #: Draft 0.1 Date: 2019-04-07

Project: Programming Language C++

Reply-to: Jérémy Morosi

<jeremymorosi@hotmail.fr>

There must exist universal coding guidelines reflecting how nature works.

1 Introduction

2 Motivation and Scope

These guidelines emerged from the fact that we often tend to write big monolithic codebase that we can hardly maintain and we can't remember/explain how it works days later. The goal is to prevent such things to happen by providing coding guidelines that - when applied correctly - help us to write code that mimic how nature works; thus producing a high amount of entropy.

But why entropy? Think about the efforts required to keep a house clean. It naturally tend to be in disorder because this is simply how entropy works. Cleaning a house is like lowering the amount of entropy by reorganizing everything. Such process requires a lot of energy and it goes the same for your code.

If you are afraid of your code becoming obsolete or afraid of having someone else working on it because you know it's too complex for him to understand, it's because you are trying to maintain a codebase with too much functionalities, responsibilities or organization; which is equivalent to trying to keep it's amount of entropy low by spending a lot of efforts and energy.

Now, what would a codebase with a hight amount of entropy be like? Functionalities would be written as separate and independent subprojects. Understanding how a functionality works and is written would require little effort and it would be easy to write unit tests covering as much code as possible. The best is that you wouldn't be afraid of having to rewrite your code if it became obsolete or broken.

This is what these guidelines are about. Not how writing high performance code in a specific language, but how organizing it to result into a high amount of entropy, requiring less efforts to maintain.

3 Core principle explained with World class

Imagine we are coding a multiplayer game and have a World class that contains all spawned entities stored by their id. Each entity must have an unique id and must be spawned both on server and client. One way to implement this class is as follow. Here is our Entity base class with an enum to identify the types of our entities over network:

```
class EntityType(IntEnum):
    NPC = 0

class Entity:
    def __init__(self, id):
        self.id = id

class NPC(Entity):
    def __init__(self, id, name):
        super().__init__(id)
        self.name = name

def __str__(self):
    return "{}({})".format(self.name, self.id)
```

For the World class, as it is a multiplayer game, we have a ClientWorld class for client-side implementation and ServerWorld class for server-side implementation, but only the second one will be shown here:

```
class World:
    def __init__(self):
        self.entities = {}

class ServerWorld(World):
    GUID = 0

def spawn(self, type, *args):
    entity = None
    if type == EntityType.NPC:
        entity = NPC(ServerWorld.GUID, *args)
    self.entities[ServerWorld.GUID] = entity
    ServerWorld.GUID += 1
    # Todo: send a packet to clients
    return entity
```

You can see that it simply instantiate a new entity based on the given type and register it to a map. If we were to test our **spawn** method it would produce the following output:

```
>>> world = ServerWorld()
>>> print(world.spawn(EntityType.NPC, "Spongebob"))
Spongebob(0)
>>> print(world.spawn(EntityType.NPC, "Spongebob"))
Spongebob(1)
```

It is working, but there is something definitely wrong with this code. The ServerWorld class has too much responsibilities for itself:

- Instantiating new entities
- Attributing free ids to them
- Keeping track of them

• Sending packets to spawn them on clients

All of this has been tied up in one place in a single effort to make everything work together. But such thing is against entropy and consequently will require a lot of effort to understand and maintain.

Also, how are we going to test these classes? Should we write a single big unit test to check that spawning an entity on server would also spawn it on client with a unique id? Or should we try to test each feature with four unit tests that will inevitably look redundant?

Here follow guidelines that will answer these questions.

3.1 Removing the global GUID

First thing first, we will remove this global GUID variable. The ServerWorld shouldn't have to know how ids are attributed or care about it. Also, it force us to only have one single instance of this class because two different instances would share the variable:

```
>>> world1 = ServerWorld()
>>> print(world1.spawn(EntityType.NPC, "Spongebob"))
Spongebob(0)
>>> print(world1.spawn(EntityType.NPC, "Spongebob"))
Spongebob(1)
>>> world2 = ServerWorld()
>>> print(world2.spawn(EntityType.NPC, "Spongebob"))
Spongebob(2)
```

For us this look fine because we know there will only be one world in the whole program. But we shouldn't care about this limitation or force it on anyone else. More important, this is against entropy to force such things. So we are simply going to remove the GUID variable and pass a function returning new ids to our ServerWorld instead:

```
class ServerWorld(World):
    def __init__(self, get_free_id):
        super().__init__(self)
        self.get_free_id = get_free_id

def spawn(self, type, *args):
    entity = None
    if type == EntityType.NPC:
        entity = NPC(self.get_free_id(), *args)
    self.entities[entity.id] = entity
    # Todo: send a packet to clients
    return entity
```

Now we can instantiate our world with any function or object that can return free ids which remove this responsibility from ServerWorld and just make everyhing simpler:

```
class IdAttributor:
    def __init__(self):
        self.next_id = 0

    def __call__(self):
        id = self.next_id
        self.next_id += 1
        return id

>>> world1 = ServerWorld(IdAttributor())
>>> print(world1.spawn(EntityType.NPC, "Spongebob"))
Spongebob(0)
>>> print(world1.spawn(EntityType.NPC, "Spongebob"))
Spongebob(1)
>>> world2 = ServerWorld(IdAttributor())
>>> print(world2.spawn(EntityType.NPC, "Spongebob"))
Spongebob(0)
```

We can now have as many world instances as we want and have total control over how ids are attributed without modifying the ServerWorld class. We disorganized our code a little which increased its entropy and made it easier to maintain. But we are not done yet.

3.2 Adding an EntityFactory

In our code we have an enum corresponding to the types of our entities:

```
class EntityType(IntEnum):
    NPC = 0
```

This is required for telling the client what type of entity must be spawned and to know how to instantiate it. But this is weird that the ServerWorld has to know about it as it just wan't to manage living entities and not to know how many different type there exist. Furthermore, there are too many ways our ServerWorld can become broken by modifying constructors of our entities and adding new values to the enum.

This is why we are going to rely on a separate factory to instantiate entities:

```
class ServerEntityFactory:
    def __init__(self, get_free_id, on_spawned):
        self.get_free_id = get_free_id
        self.on_spawned = on_spawned

def spawn(self, type, *args):
    entity = None
    if type == EntityType.NPC:
        entity = NPC(self.get_free_id(), *args)
# Todo: send a packet to clients
    self.on_spawned(entity)
    return entity
```

This is our factory server-side. You can see that it takes a function <code>get_free_id</code> that return the next free id and a callback <code>on_spawned</code> that is called when a new entity is instantiated. It will be used to automatically add spawned entities to our world. We will also remove the <code>ServerWorld</code> class and rewrite the <code>World</code> class as follow:

```
class World:
    def __init__(self):
        self.entities = {}

    def add(self, entity):
        self.entities[entity.id] = entity
        print("Entity {} added".format(entity.id))
```

To test this code, we will simply create a World instance and bind the add function of the world to the on_spawned callback of the factory:

Now, the world is simply a World whose only responsibility is managing living entities, not instantiating them, attributing free ids, or sending packets to clients.

3.3 Conclusion

Final version of the code:

```
class EntityType(IntEnum):
    NPC = 0

class Entity:
    def __init__(self, id):
        self.id = id

class NPC(Entity):
    def __init__(self, id, name):
        super().__init__(id)
        self.name = name

    def __str__(self):
        return "{}({})".format(self.name, self.id)

class IdAttributor:
    def __init__(self):
        self.next_id = 0
```

```
def __call__(self):
        id = self.next_id
        self.next_id += 1
        return id
class ServerEntityFactory:
    def __init__(self, get_free_id, on_spawned):
        self.get_free_id = get_free_id
        self.on_spawned = on_spawned
    def spawn(self, type, *args):
        entity = None
        if type == EntityType.NPC:
            entity = NPC(self.get_free_id(), *args)
        # Todo: send a packet to clients
        self.on_spawned(entity)
        return entity
class World:
   def __init__(self):
       self.entities = {}
    def add(self, entity):
        self.entities[entity.id] = entity
        print("Entity {} added".format(entity.id))
>>> world = World()
>>> factory = ServerEntityFactory(
       IdAttributor(),
        lambda entity: world.add(entity)
>>> print(factory.spawn(EntityType.NPC, "Spongebob"))
Entity 0 added
Spongebob(0)
```

We greatly increased entropy of our code by separating the different features into three totally independent classes. This result into an easier understandable and testable code. # References