## **Project Proposal: Extending ROMANSETU to Diverse Languages**

## **Group 35**

Anusha Pant Nav Sanya Anand Paul Kurian Sebastian Escalante Shreyas Malewar

University of Southern California

#### **Abstract**

This document is to provide details for Group 35's project proposal for the CSCI 544 - Applied Natural Language Processing Course Project. We aim to extend the findings of the paper "ROMANSETU: Efficiently unlocking multilingual capabilities of Large Language Models models via Romanization" [1] by Kunchukuttan et al. In this proposal, we will cover the motivation for pursuing, this project, our objectives, the proposed methodologies for achieving them, and the tentative timeline of project execution and analysis.

#### 1 Background

005

007

011

013

022

027

Large Language Models (LLMs) have revolutionized Natural Language Processing (NLP) tasks, demonstrating remarkable proficiency in various English applications. However, extending their capabilities to non-English languages, particularly those with non-Latin scripts, remains a challenge. This project investigates the applicability and effectiveness of "ROMANSETU," a novel approach utilizing romanization to bridge the language gap and enhance LLM performance in diverse languages. The language considered in their paper was Hindi, but this approach can be applied to other languages of non-Latin script.

## 2 Literature Review

While performing research on this topic we looked at the following paper which also delved into the issue of translation between languages of differing resource-richness:

• The Impact of Translating Resource-Rich Datasets to Low-Resource Languages Through Multi-Lingual Text Processing [2]

This paper however looked into translation from resource-rich datasets to low-resource languages

Additionally, we looked at another paper that studied the impact of romanization to boost the capabilities of LLMs on low-resource languages:

037

039

041

042

043

044

046

050

053

058

060

061

062

063

064

065 066

067

068

069

070

071

 Romanization-based Large-scale Adaptation of Multilingual Language Models [3]

## 3 Objectives

The primary objectives of this project are:

- Evaluate the effectiveness of RO-MANSETU: To assess the performance improvement in LLM tasks achieved by romanized text compared to the native script for Hindi. These tasks include the ones mentioned in the ROMANSETU paper namely:
  - Machine Translation
  - Sentiment Analysis
- Explore language-specific adjustments: To identify potential modifications to the RO-MANSETU approach necessary for optimal performance in specific languages.
- Explore Many-to-Many NMT: Zero-shot Transfer: To identify the performance of LLM translation between two or more non-Latin languages

#### 4 Methodology

#### 4.1 Language Selection

We will evaluate the effectiveness of the RO-MANSETU paper by selecting Hindi and performing the tasks proposed in the paper.

Additionally, we will select from a set of four languages representing diverse script types and levels of established romanization systems:

 Arabic: Complex script with a well-defined romanization system (ISO 2332)

072	• Cyrillic: Relatively complex script with various romanization schemes (e.g., GOST, Library of Congress)	<ul> <li>Addressing homophor</li> </ul>
073		arising from romaniza
074		<ul> <li>Investigating the use</li> </ul>
075	<ul> <li>Japanese: Logographic script with existing ro- manization systems like Hepburn and Kunrei- shiki</li> </ul>	fication techniques to romanization.
076		romanization.
077		5 Expected Outcomes
078 079	<ul> <li>Swahili: Latin script language with limited formal romanization standards</li> </ul>	This project is expected to contring:
		• Enhanced understanding:
080	4.2 Dataset Preparation	edge of how romanization
081	For each selected language, we will:	formance across diverse la
082	Gather a balanced dataset of text and labels	• Identification of influencin
083	for the chosen LLM task (e.g., machine trans-	into the impact of script co
084	lation, sentiment analysis).	ing romanization systems of the approach.
085	• Prepare two versions of the dataset: one in the	of the approach.
086	native script and another in its corresponding	<ul> <li>Language-specific adaptat</li> </ul>
087	romanized form using established standards	dations for potential mod
880	or a consistent transliteration scheme.	MANSETU for optimal p ferent language contexts.
089	4.3 LLM Training and Evaluation	
090	• We will train an LLM model on each language	<b>6</b> Tentative Timeline
091	dataset (native and romanized) using a pre-	1. Language Selection (Marc
092	trained LLM like LLaMA2 7B, BART, or T5.	(a) Selection of another n
093	• The trained models will be evaluated on the	to run experiments on
093	held-out test set for the chosen LLM task	(b) Selection and familia
095	based on established metrics (e.g., BLEU	new language's correstion tool.
096	score for translation, and F1 score for sen-	
097	timent analysis).	2. Replication of ROMANS

# 4.4 Data Analysis and Adjustment **Exploration**

manized data for each language.

099

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

• We will statistically analyze the performance differences between models to assess the effectiveness of romanization across languages.

• Performance comparisons will be made between the models trained on native and ro-

- Script complexity and the presence of established romanization systems will be analyzed as potential influencing factors on the observed results.
- We will explore potential language-specific adjustments to the ROMANSETU approach, such as:
  - Customized romanization schemes for languages with diverse script types

-	Addressing homophones and ambiguities
	arising from romanization

115 116

117

118 119 120

121 122

123

124

125

126

127

128 129

130

131

132 133

134

135

136 137

138

139 140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

of language identiselectively apply

ibute to the follow-

- Improved knowlimpacts LLM pernguages.
- g factors: Insights mplexity and existon the effectiveness
- tions: Recommendifications to ROerformance in dif-
- ch 1 March 5)
  - on-Latin language
  - arization with the ponding romaniza-
- SETU Results for Hindi (March 6 - March 15)
- 3. Dataset Preparation for the new guage (March 18 - March 22)
- 4. LLM Training and evaluation for the new language (March 25 - April 2)
- 5. Analysis and preparation for presentation (April 3 - April 7)
- 6. Report Preparation (April 8 April 20)

## Conclusion

By extending ROMANSETU to diverse languages and analyzing its efficacy, this project aims to contribute to bridging the language gap in LLM applications. The findings could pave the way for more inclusive and effective LLMs that empower communication and information access across different languages and cultures.

## References

158

159

160

161

162163

164

165

167

168

169

170

171

- 1. Husain, J. A., Dabre, R., Kumar, A., Puduppully, R., & Kunchukuttan, A. (2024). RomanSetu: Efficiently unlocking multilingual capabilities of Large Language Models models via Romanization.
- 2. A. Ghafoor et al., "The Impact of Translating Resource-Rich Datasets to Low-Resource Languages Through Multi-Lingual Text Processing," in IEEE Access, vol. 9
- 3. Purkayastha, S., Ruder, S., Pfeiffer, J., Gurevych, I., & Vulić, I. (2023). Romanization-based Large-scale Adaptation of Multilingual Language Models.