Resilience of the U.S. Gig Economy to COVID-19 Pandemic: Insights from Location Big Data - Online Appendix

June 22, 2021

References

- [1] Rein Ahas, Siiri Silm, Olle Järv, Erki Saluveer, and Margus Tiru. Using mobile positioning data to model locations meaningful to users of mobile phones. *Journal of urban technology*, 17(1):3–27, 2010.
- [2] Erik Brynjolfsson, John J Horton, Adam Ozimek, Daniel Rock, Garima Sharma, and Hong-Yi TuYe. Covid-19 and remote work: An early look at us data. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
- [3] Gordon Burtch, Seth Carnahan, and Brad N Greenwood. Can you gig it? an empirical examination of the gig economy and entrepreneurial activity. *Management Science*, 64(12):5497–5520, 2018.
- [4] M Keith Chen, Peter E Rossi, Judith A Chevalier, and Emily Oehlsen. The value of flexible work: Evidence from uber drivers. *Journal of political economy*, 127(6):2735–2794, 2019.
- [5] Wei Cheng, Patrick Carlin, Joanna Carroll, Sumedha Gupta, Felipe Lozano Rojas, Laura Montenovo, Thuy D Nguyen, Ian M Schmutte, Olga Scrivner, Kosali I Simon, et al. Back to business and (re) employing workers? labor market activity during state covid-19 reopenings. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
- [6] Raj Chetty, John Friedman, Nathaniel Hendren, Michael Stepner, et al. How did covid-19 and stabilization policies affect spending and employment? a new real-time economic tracker based on private sector data. NBER working paper, (w27431), 2020.
- [7] Judith A Chevalier, Jason L Schwartz, Yihua Su, Kevin R Williams, et al. Measuring movement and social contact with smartphone data: A real-time application to covid-19. Technical report, Cowles Foundation for Research in Economics, Yale University, 2021.
- [8] Lesley Chiou and Catherine Tucker. Social distancing, internet access and inequality. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
- [9] Joshua Coven and Arpit Gupta. Disparities in mobility responses to covid-19. New York University, 2020.

- [10] Judd Cramer and Alan B Krueger. Disruptive change in the taxi business: The case of uber. *American Economic Review*, 106(5):177–82, 2016.
- [11] Jonathan I Dingel and Brent Neiman. How many jobs can be done at home? *Journal of Public Economics*, 189:104235, 2020.
- [12] Benjamin Edelman, Michael Luca, and Dan Svirsky. Racial discrimination in the sharing economy: Evidence from a field experiment. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 9(2):1–22, 2017.
- [13] Samuel Engle, John Stromme, and Anson Zhou. Staying at home: mobility effects of covid-19. *Available at SSRN*, 2020.
- [14] Eyal Ert, Aliza Fleischer, and Nathan Magen. Trust and reputation in the sharing economy: The role of personal photos in airbnb. *Tourism management*, 55:62–73, 2016.
- [15] Gerald Friedman. Workers without employers: shadow corporations and the rise of the gig economy. *Review of Keynesian Economics*, 2(2):171–188, 2014.
- [16] Yanbo Ge, Christopher R Knittel, Don MacKenzie, and Stephen Zoepf. Racial and gender discrimination in transportation network companies. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2016.
- [17] Anindya Ghose, Beibei Li, Meghanath Macha, Chenshuo Sun, and Natasha Zhang Foutz. Trading privacy for the greater social good: How did america react during covid-19? *Available at SSRN 3624069*, 2020.
- [18] Fabien Girardin, Francesco Calabrese, Filippo Dal Fiore, Carlo Ratti, and Josep Blat. Digital footprinting: Uncovering tourists with user-generated content. *IEEE Pervasive computing*, 7(4):36–43, 2008.
- [19] Andrew Glover, Jonathan Heathcote, Dirk Krueger, and José-Víctor Ríos-Rull. Health versus wealth: On the distributional effects of controlling a pandemic. Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
- [20] Brad N Greenwood and Sunil Wattal. Show me the way to go home: an empirical investigation of ride sharing and alcohol related motor vehicle homicide. *Fox School of Business Research Paper*, (15-054), 2015.
- [21] Sumedha Gupta, Laura Montenovo, Thuy Dieu Nguyen, Felipe Lozano-Rojas, Ian M Schmutte, Kosali Ilayperuma Simon, Bruce A Weinberg, and Coady Wing. Effects of social distancing policy on labor market outcomes.

 NBER Working paper, (w27280), 2020.
- [22] Jonathan V Hall and Alan B Krueger. An analysis of the labor market for uber's driver-partners in the united states. *Ilr Review*, 71(3):705–732, 2018.

- [23] Anikó Hannák, Claudia Wagner, David Garcia, Alan Mislove, Markus Strohmaier, and Christo Wilson. Bias in online freelance marketplaces: Evidence from taskrabbit and fiverr. In *Proceedings of the 2017 ACM conference* on computer supported cooperative work and social computing, pages 1914–1933, 2017.
- [24] Ni Huang, Gordon Burtch, Yili Hong, and Paul A Pavlou. Unemployment and worker participation in the gig economy: Evidence from an online labor market. *Information Systems Research*, 31(2):431–448, 2020.
- [25] Otto Kässi and Vili Lehdonvirta. Online labour index: Measuring the online gig economy for policy and research. *Technological forecasting and social change*, 137:241–248, 2018.
- [26] Lawrence F Katz and Alan B Krueger. The rise and nature of alternative work arrangements in the united states, 1995–2015. *ILR review*, 72(2):382–416, 2019.
- [27] Dmitri Koustas. Consumption insurance and multiple jobs: Evidence from rideshare drivers. *Unpublished working paper*, 2018.
- [28] James Manyika, Susan Lund, Jacques Bughin, Kelsey Robinson, Jan Mischke, and Deepa Mahajan. Independent work: Choice, necessity, and the gig economy. *McKinsey Global Institute*, 2016:1–16, 2016.
- [29] Simon Mongey, Laura Pilossoph, and Alex Weinberg. Which workers bear the burden of social distancing policies? Technical report, National Bureau of Economic Research, 2020.
- [30] Jerzy Neyman and Elizabeth L Scott. Consistent estimates based on partially consistent observations. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, pages 1–32, 1948.
- [31] Carlo Ratti, Dennis Frenchman, Riccardo Maria Pulselli, and Sarah Williams. Mobile landscapes: using location data from cell phones for urban analysis. *Environment and planning B: Planning and design*, 33(5):727–748, 2006.
- [32] Georgios Zervas, Davide Proserpio, and John W Byers. The rise of the sharing economy: Estimating the impact of airbnb on the hotel industry. *Journal of marketing research*, 54(5):687–705, 2017.

Additional Tables

Table 1: IMPACT OF COVID-19 POLICIES ON STAY-AT-HOME COUNTS

-	Stay-at-home Counts by CBG
T1	0.265***
	(0.006)
T2	0.216***
	(0.007)
COVID controls	Yes
Other controls	Yes
CBG FE	Yes
Log-Likelihood	-57908.209
Observations	31210

^{***}p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05; 'p < 0.1

Table 2: IMPACT OF COVID-19 POLICIES ON GIG LABOR COUNTS

	FTFD	PTFD	FTGD	PTGD	FTDR	PTDR
T1	-1.918***	-1.665***	-1.190***	-1.170***	-0.813***	-0.849***
	(0.100)	(0.067)	(0.091)	(0.065)	(0.053)	(0.053)
T2	-1.519^{***}	-1.294***	-1.085^{***}	-1.060***	-0.487^{***}	-0.432^{***}
	(0.123)	(0.078)	(0.138)	(0.095)	(0.062)	(0.064)
COVID controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CBG FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Log-Likelihood	-9144.788	-15487.057	-7036.300	-13062.430	-14010.421	-14400.863
Observations	17247	23291	17694	24149	18335	18783

^{***}p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05; *p < 0.1

Table 3: Impact of COVID-19 Policies Gig Work Transitions

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		FTFD	PTFD	FTGD	PTGD	FTDR	PTDR
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T1	-2.879***	-2.603***	-1.426***	-1.475^{***}	-1.214***	-1.109***
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		(0.101)	(0.067)	(0.099)	(0.066)	(0.073)	(0.068)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	T2	-2.345***	-2.078***	-1.326***	-1.356***	-0.703***	-0.575^{***}
LagNonGig x T1 (0.076) (0.056) (0.099) (0.067) (0.075) (0.069) LagNonGig x T1 0.811*** 0.831*** 0.753*** 0.614*** 0.182 0.259 (0.146) (0.099) (0.163) (0.113) (0.126) (0.114 LagNonGig x T2 0.341** 0.500*** 0.128 0.160* 0.225* 0.144 (0.107) (0.075) (0.141) (0.095) (0.098) (0.09 COVID controls Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Other controls Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Individual FE Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		(0.160)	(0.106)	(0.165)	(0.111)	(0.122)	(0.112)
LagNonGig x T1 0.811*** 0.831*** 0.753*** 0.614*** 0.182 0.259 (0.146) (0.099) (0.163) (0.113) (0.126) (0.114 LagNonGig x T2 0.341** 0.500*** 0.128 0.160' 0.225* 0.144 (0.107) (0.075) (0.141) (0.095) (0.098) (0.09 COVID controls Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Other controls Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Individual FE Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	LagNonGig	-0.568***	-0.539***	-0.583***	-0.577***	-0.439***	-0.106
COVID controls Yes Yes		(0.076)	(0.056)	(0.099)	(0.067)	(0.075)	(0.069)
LagNonGig x T2 0.341** (0.107) 0.500*** (0.128) 0.128 0.160' (0.095) 0.225* (0.098) 0.144 COVID controls Yes Yes <td< td=""><td>LagNonGig x T1</td><td>0.811***</td><td>0.831***</td><td>0.753***</td><td>0.614***</td><td>0.182</td><td>0.259*</td></td<>	LagNonGig x T1	0.811***	0.831***	0.753***	0.614***	0.182	0.259*
(0.107) (0.075) (0.141) (0.095) (0.098) (0.095) COVID controls Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Other controls Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Individual FE Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		(0.146)	(0.099)	(0.163)	(0.113)	(0.126)	(0.114)
COVID controlsYesYesYesYesYesOther controlsYesYesYesYesYesIndividual FEYesYesYesYesYes	LagNonGig x T2	0.341**	0.500***	0.128	0.160	0.225*	0.144
Other controls Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Individual FE Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		(0.107)	(0.075)	(0.141)	(0.095)	(0.098)	(0.091)
Individual FE Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	COVID controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Individual FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Log Likelihood -11000.969 -22169.370 -8761.823 -18639.070 -14132.497 -16622	Log Likelihood	-11000.969	-22169.370	-8761.823	-18639.070	-14132.497	-16622.214
Observations 42171 75022 37374 72499 47425 5193	Observations	42171	75022	37374	72499	47425	51939

^{***} p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05; p < 0.1

Table 4: IMPACT OF COVID-19 POLICIES ON LABOR COUNTS WITH DIFFERENT TREATMENT WEEKS FOR T1

		Labor Cou	nts by CBG	
	T1 = 1 for week > 9		•	week > 13
T1	-1.002***	-0.996***	-1.002***	-0.996***
	(0.028)	(0.035)	(0.028)	(0.035)
T2	-0.791****	-0.654^{***}	-0.791^{***}	-0.654****
	(0.029)	(0.029)	(0.029)	(0.029)
WorkTypeNG	0.223***	0.325***	0.223***	0.325***
• •	(0.022)	(0.020)	(0.022)	(0.020)
WorkTypeNG x T1	` ,	-0.027	,	-0.027
• •		(0.037)		(0.037)
WorkTypeNG x T2		-0.257^{***}		-0.257****
		(0.024)		(0.024)
COVID controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
CBG FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Log-Likelihood	-63912.964	-63800.588	-63912.964	-63800.588
Observations	61612	61612	61612	61612

^{***}p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05; 'p < 0.1

Table 5: Impact of COVID-19 Policies on Labor Counts with different Treatment Week for T2

	Labor Counts by CBG		
T1	-1.002***	-0.996***	
	(0.028)	(0.035)	
T2	-0.791^{***}	-0.654***	
	(0.029)	(0.029)	
WorkTypeNG	0.223***	0.325***	
	(0.022)	(0.020)	
WorkTypeNG x T1		-0.027	
		(0.037)	
WorkTypeNG x T2		-0.257***	
		(0.024)	
COVID controls	Yes	Yes	
Other controls	Yes	Yes	
CBG FE	Yes	Yes	
Log-Likelihood	-63912.964	-63800.588	
Observations	61612	61612	

^{***}p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05; 'p < 0.1