## Approximation Algorithms Assignment 3

Show that the following is an integer programming formulation for the minimum spanning tree (MST) problem. Assume we are given a graph G = (V, E), |V| = n, with cost function  $c : E \to \Re^+$ . For  $A \subseteq E$ , we will denote by  $\alpha(A)$  the number of connected components in the graph  $G_A = (V, A)$ .

minimize 
$$\sum_{e} c_{e} x_{e}$$
  
subject to 
$$\sum_{e \in A} x_{e} = n - \alpha(A) \quad A \subset E$$

$$\sum_{e} x_{e} = n - 1$$

$$x_{e} \in \{0, 1\} \quad e \in E$$

The rest of this exercise develops a proof that the LP-relaxation of this integer program is exact for the MST problem.

- 1. First it will be convenient to change the objective function of the IP to  $\max \sum_{e} -c_e x_e$ . Obtain the LP-relaxation and dual of this modified formulation.
- 2. Consider the primal solution produced by Kruskal's algorithm. Let  $e_1, \ldots, e_m$  be the edges sorted by increasing cost, |E| = m. This algorithm greedily picks a maximal acyclic subgraph from this sorted list. Obtain a suitable dual feasible solution so that all complementary slackness conditions are satisfied.
- 3. Show that x is a feasible solution to the above-stated primal program iff it is a feasible solution to the following LP. That is, prove that this is also an exact relaxation for the MST problem.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{minimize} & \sum_e c_e x_e \\ \text{subject to} & & \\ \sum_{e \in S} x_e & \leq & |S|-1 & S \subset V \\ \sum_{e \in E} x_e & = & n-1 \\ x_e & \geq & 0 & e \in E \end{array}$$

Note that for  $S \subset V, e \in S$  iff Both endpoints of e are in S.