

There are 2 questions for a total of 10 points.

1. (5 points) Prove that the language

$$\{x \mid x \text{ is the binary representation of } n!, \text{ without leading 0's, for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is not regular.

Solution: Consider the set S of binary representations of $(2^n - 1)!$ for all n in \mathbb{N} .

We claim that all of these are in different equivalence classes.

Consider any two distinct natural numbers n, m , and wlog assume $n < m$. Suppose $(2^n - 1)!$ has a binary representation x , and $(2^m - 1)!$ has a binary representation y .

Consider the binary representation of $(2^n - 1)! \times (2^n)$ and $(2^m - 1)! \times (2^n)$. Clearly, they are x followed by n zeros and y followed by n zeros respectively.

The first of them is the binary representation of $(2^n)!$, and hence is in the language.

Now note that

$$(2^m - 1)! < (2^m - 1)! \times 2^n < (2^m - 1)! \times 2^m = (2^m)!$$

Since this implies that this integer lies strictly between two consecutive factorials, the fact that factorials form a monotonically non-decreasing sequence implies that it is not the factorial of any integer. Hence, its binary representation is not in the language.

So one of $x0^n$ and $y0^n$ is in the language, while the other one is not, so both of them are in different equivalence classes.

This shows that any two strings in the set S are in different equivalence classes. Since S is infinite, there are infinitely many equivalence classes.

Since there are infinitely many equivalence classes, the language can't be regular, as needed.

2. (5 points) Let $L_k \subseteq \{0, 1\}^*$ be the language defined as

$$L_k = \{xy \mid x, y \in \{0, 1\}^k, \text{ and the bitwise-AND of } x \text{ and } y \text{ is } 0^k\}.$$

Observe that L_k is finite, and hence, regular. Prove that for all k , any DFA that recognizes L_k has at least 2^k states.

Solution: Using the Myhill Nerode theorem, it suffices to show that there are at least 2^k equivalence classes of $=_{L_k}$, since the number of states in the minimal DFA equals the number of equivalence classes of $=_{L_k}$.

For this, we shall show that each binary string of size k is in its own equivalence class.

Consider any two distinct binary strings x and y . We say that a string u is dominated by string v (and equivalently, v dominates u) iff for all i , $u[i] = 1 \implies v[i] = 1$.

We make two cases:

1. x does not dominate y .

Let x' be the bitwise NOT of x (i.e., $x'[i] = 0$ if and only if $x[i] = 1$). Then xx' is in L_k since the bitwise AND of x and x' is 0^k by definition of bitwise NOT. Now since x does not

dominate y , there must exist an i such that $x[i] = 0$ and $y[i] = 1$. Then we have $x'[i] = 1$, so the bitwise AND of y and x' has a 1 at the i^{th} bit, which means that yx' is not in L_k . Since we have exhibited x' such that exactly one of xx' and yx' is in the language and the other isn't, this shows that x and y belong to different equivalence classes of $=_{L_k}$.

2. x dominates y .

Let y' be the bitwise NOT of y . Since x dominates y , $y[i] = 1 \implies x[i] = 1$. If the converse were true (i.e., if it were the case that for all i , $x[i] = 1 \implies y[i] = 1$), then it would imply that $y = x$, which doesn't hold for unequal x and y . Hence, there exists at least one i for which the converse is false, i.e., for which $x[i] = 1$ and $y[i] = 0$. In this case, $x[i] = 1$ and $y'[i] = 1$, so xy' is not in L_k . Also, by a similar argument as in the previous case, yy' is in L_k . Hence, x and y are in different equivalence classes of $=_{L_k}$ again.

Since the above cases are exhaustive, we can see that each binary string of length k belongs to a distinct equivalence class of $=_{L_k}$, and from here, it follows that there are at least 2^k equivalence classes of $=_{L_k}$. Combining this with the first comment, we are done.