

COL352 Lecture 20

Contents

1	Recap	1
2	Definitions	1
3	Content	2

1 Recap

Grammar \implies PDA completed.

More precisely, we showed in the last to last lecture that L is recognized by a fast PDA $\iff L$ is recognized by a PDA, and in the last lecture that L is recognized by a fast PDA if L is generated by a grammar.

2 Definitions

Definition 1

A (non-deterministic) pushdown automaton ((N)PDA) is a 6-tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_0, A)$ where

1. Q – finite nonempty set of states
2. Σ – finite nonempty input alphabet
3. Γ – finite stack alphabet
4. $q_0 \in Q$ – initial state
5. A – set of accepting states
6. $\Delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \times Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon$, where X_ϵ is defined as $X \cup \{\epsilon\}$

Note that in an NFA, $\Delta \subseteq Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times Q$.

Definition 2

Let $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_0, A)$ be a PDA. An instantaneous description (i.d.) of P is a tuple (q, x, α) where $q \in Q$, $x \in \Sigma^*$, $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$. (The set of instantaneous descriptions is $Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$).

Definition 3

Let $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_0, A)$ be a PDA. The relation \vdash_P (read as “changes to”) is defined on the set of i.d.s as follows:

If $(q, a, B, q', B') \in \Delta$, then $(q, ax, B\alpha) \vdash_P (q', x, B'\alpha)$, and no other pairs of i.d.s are related.

In other words:

$$(q, y, \beta) \vdash_P (q', y', \beta') \iff \exists a \in \Sigma_\epsilon, B \in \Gamma_\epsilon, \alpha \in \Gamma^*, B' \in \Gamma_\epsilon \text{ such that } y = ay', \beta = B\alpha, \beta' = B'\alpha, (q, a, B, q', B') \in \Delta$$

Definition 4

\vdash_P^* is defined as the reflexive transitive closure of \vdash (read as “changes to in finitely many steps”).

Definition 5

$x \in \Sigma^*$ is said to be accepted by PDA $P = (Q, \Sigma^*, \Gamma, \Delta, q_0, A)$ iff

$$(q_0, x, \epsilon) \vdash_P^* (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$$

for some $q \in A$ and some $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$.

Definition 6

The language recognized by PDA P denoted by $\mathcal{L}(P)$ is $\{x \in \Sigma^* \mid P \text{ accepts } x\}$.

Definition 7

We define a simple PDA P to be a PDA such that

1. $\Delta = \Delta_{push} \uplus \Delta_{pop}$, where
 - (a) Δ_{push} contains transitions (q, a, ϵ, q', B) where $q, q' \in Q, a \in \Sigma_\epsilon, B \in \Gamma$ (i.e., not allowed to pop, must push), and
 - (b) Δ_{pop} contains transitions (q, a, B, q', ϵ) where $q, q' \in Q, a \in \Sigma_\epsilon, B \in \Gamma$ (i.e., must pop, not allowed to push).
2. $|A| = 1$, i.e., unique accepting state.
3. If x is accepted, then x is accepted with an empty stack, i.e., $(q_{init}, x, \epsilon) \vdash^* (q_{acc}, \epsilon, \alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \Sigma^*$ iff $q_{init}, x, \epsilon) \vdash^* (q_{acc}, \epsilon, \epsilon)$.

3 Content

Now we need the following: If L is recognized by a PDA, L is generated by a grammar.

We shall define something called a simple PDA, and show that it is as powerful as a PDA.

Recall that PDA $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{init}, A)$ where $q_{init} \in Q, A \subseteq Q, \Delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \times Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon$.

A simple PDA is defined as in the previous section.

Claim 0.1

L is recognized by a PDA iff L is recognized by a simple PDA.

Proof. \Leftarrow is trivial. We'll look at the other direction.

Suppose L is recognized by PDA $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{init}, A)$.

To ensure condition 2, add a new state q_{acc} to Q , make it the unique accepting state, and add transitions $(q, \epsilon, \epsilon, q_{acc}, \epsilon)$ for each $q \in A$.

To ensure condition 3, add transitions $(q_{acc}, \epsilon, B, q_{acc}, \epsilon) \forall B \in \Gamma$.

To ensure condition 1, we need to break each transition that pushes as well as pops into two, i.e., replace the transition (q, a, B, q', C) where $a \in \Sigma_\epsilon, B, C \in \Gamma$ by (q, a, B, q'', ϵ) and $(q'', \epsilon, C, q', \epsilon)$, and replace $(q, a, \epsilon, q', \epsilon)$ with $(q, a, \epsilon, q'', \$)$ and $(q'', \epsilon, \$, q', \epsilon)$.

$P' = (Q \uplus \{q_{acc}\} \uplus \text{intermediate states}, \Sigma, \Gamma \uplus \{\$, \epsilon\}, q_{init}, \{q_{acc}\})$. □

Now our goal shall be the following.

Question 1

Given simple PDA $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_{init}, \{q_{acc}\})$, $\Delta = \Delta_{push} \uplus \Delta_{pop}$, construct a grammar $G = (N, \Sigma, R, S)$ such that

$$\forall x \in \Sigma^* : ((q_{init}, x, \epsilon) \vdash^* (q_{acc}, \epsilon, \epsilon) \iff S \xRightarrow{*} x)$$

Note 1

Idea: $N = \{V_{qq'} \mid (q, q') \in Q \times Q\}$. R should ensure that $V_{qq'} \xRightarrow{*} x \iff (q, x, \epsilon) \vdash^* (q', \epsilon, \epsilon)$ (i.e., \exists a run of P on x starting from q with empty stack and ending in q' with empty stack).

We also set S to $v_{q_{init}q_{acc}}$.

The derivation should be something like $(q, x, \epsilon) \vdash _ \vdash \dots \vdash _ \vdash (q', \epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Is there an intermediate instantaneous description in which the stack is empty? If there is, then in the first part of the run, we have read off some prefix of x , and in the second part of the run, we have read off the remaining suffix of x , say $x = x_1x_2$. We hope that $V_{qr} \xRightarrow{*} x_1$ and $V_{rq'} \xRightarrow{*} x_2$.

We want $V_{qq'} \xRightarrow{*} x_1x_2$, so we add the rule $V_{qq'} \rightarrow V_{qr}V_{rq'}$ to R , which will give us $V_{qq'} \xRightarrow{*} V_{qr}V_{rq'} \xRightarrow{*} x_1x_2 = x$.

Now suppose the answer is no. Then the first transition must be a push transition and the last must be a pop transition, i.e., we go from (q, x, ϵ) to $(r, _, B)$ where $(q, a, \epsilon, r, B) \in \Delta$ where $a = \epsilon$ or $x[1]$, and from $(r', _, B')$ to (q', ϵ, ϵ) where (r', b, B', q, ϵ) where $b = \epsilon$ or $x[n]$. Note that the stack has never been empty, so $B' = B$ (look at it from the perspective of the evolution of the stack over time).

So since $(q, x_1x_2, \epsilon) \vdash^* (r, x_1, \epsilon)$, and x_1 is not touched, we should have $(q, x_1, \epsilon) \vdash^* (r, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ maybe. In better terms, we have $(q, x, \epsilon) \vdash (r, x'b, B) \vdash \dots \vdash (r', b, B) \vdash (q', \epsilon, \epsilon)$. B is always in the stack for the intermediate places.

So we'll add $V_{qq'} \rightarrow aV_{rr'}b$ for $q, q', r, r' \in Q, a, b \in \Sigma_\epsilon$ if $\exists B \in \Gamma$ such that $(q, a, \epsilon, r, B) \in \Delta_{push}$ and $(r', b, B, q', \epsilon) \in \Delta_{pop}$. Runs with 0 transitions: consume ϵ , must start and end in the same state. So we'll add $V_{qq} \rightarrow \epsilon \forall q \in Q$.

Theorem 1

Let $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta_{push} \uplus \Delta_{pop}, q_{init}, \{q_{acc}\})$ be a simple PDA. Let $G = (N, \Sigma, R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3, V_{q_{init}q_{pop}})$ be the grammar where

1. $N = \{V_{qq'} \mid (q, q') \in Q \times Q\}$
2. $R_1 = \{V_{qq} \rightarrow \epsilon \mid q \in Q\}$, $R_2 = \{V_{qq'} \rightarrow V_{qr}V_{rq'} \mid (q, q', r) \in Q \times Q \times Q\}$, and $R_3 = \{V_{qq'} \rightarrow aV_{rr'}b \mid (q, q', r, r') \in Q \times Q \times Q \times Q, a, b \in \Sigma_\epsilon, \exists B \in \Gamma : (q, a, \epsilon, r, B) \in \Delta_{push}, (r', b, B, q', \epsilon) \in \Delta_{pop}\}$

Then $\forall q, q' \in Q, x \in \Sigma^*, V_{qq'} \xRightarrow{*} x$ iff $(q, x, \epsilon) \vdash^* (q', \epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Proof.

Claim 1.1

P, G as before, then $\forall q, q' \in Q, x \in \Sigma^*$, if $(q, x, \epsilon) \vdash^* (q', \epsilon, \epsilon)$, then $V_{qq'} \xRightarrow{*} x$.

Proof. By induction on the number of transitions in a shortest run of P from (q, x, ϵ) to (q', ϵ, ϵ) .

If the number of transitions is 0, then $x = \epsilon$, so $q = q'$ and since $V_{qq} \rightarrow \epsilon \in R$, we have $V_{qq'} \xRightarrow{*} x$.

If the number of transitions is more than 0.

1. \exists an intermediate I.D. in the run having an empty stack. Let r be the state in that I.D., suppose the run consumes x_1 before r and x_2 after r . Then $x = x_1x_2, U = (r, x_2, \epsilon)$. Now break the run into two and show that it devolves into case 1 in the note (exercise).
2. No intermediate I.D. has an empty stack, so $\exists B \in \Gamma, a, b \in \Sigma_\epsilon$ and states r, r' such that $x = ax'b, (q, ax'b, \epsilon) \vdash (r, x; b, B) \vdash^* (r', b, B) \vdash (q', \epsilon, \epsilon)$, and the run from $(r, x'b, B)$ to (r', b, B) doesn't pop the bottom-most B .

Since $(q, ax'b, \epsilon) \vdash (r, x'b, B), (q, a, \epsilon, r, B) \in \Delta_{push}$.

Since $(r', b, B) \vdash (q', \epsilon, \epsilon), (r', b, B, q', \epsilon) \in \Delta_{pop}$.

Both of these together imply that $V_{qq} \rightarrow aV_{rr'}b \in R_3$.

Moreover, $(r, x', \epsilon) \vdash^* (r', \epsilon, \epsilon)$. By induction hypothesis, we have $V_{rr'} \xRightarrow{*} x'$ since this run has 2

less transitions than the original run. So we have $V_{qq'} \implies aV_{rr'}b \xRightarrow{*} x$.

□

Claim 1.2

P, G as before, then $\forall q, q' \in Q, x \in \Sigma^*$, if $V_{qq'} \xRightarrow{*} x$, then $(q, x, \epsilon) \vdash^* (q', \epsilon, \epsilon)$.

Proof. In the next class

□

□

Corollary 1

$\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}(P)$

Proof. $V_{q_{init}q_{acc}} \xRightarrow{*} x$ iff $(q_{init}, x, \epsilon) \vdash^* (q_{acc}, \epsilon, \epsilon)$.

□