

# COL352 Lecture 16

## Contents

1	Recap	1
2	Definitions	1
3	Content	2

## 1 Recap

Discussion about grammars, parse trees, yields, and context free languages.

## 2 Definitions

### Definition 1

A (non-deterministic) pushdown automaton ((N)PDA) is a 6-tuple  $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_0, A)$  where

1.  $Q$  – finite nonempty set of states
2.  $\Sigma$  – finite nonempty input alphabet
3.  $\Gamma$  – finite stack alphabet
4.  $q_0 \in Q$  – initial state
5.  $A$  – set of accepting states
6.  $\Delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma_\epsilon \times \Gamma_\epsilon \times Q \times \Gamma_\epsilon$ , where  $X_\epsilon$  is defined as  $X \cup \{\epsilon\}$

Note that in an NFA,  $\Delta \subseteq Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times Q$ .

Informally, a string is accepted if  $\exists$  a run which reads the entire string and does what ?????

### Definition 2

Let  $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_0, A)$  be a PDA. An instantaneous description (i.d.) of  $P$  is a tuple  $(q, x, \alpha)$  where  $q \in Q$ ,  $x \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$ . (The set of instantaneous descriptions is  $Q \times \Sigma^* \times \Gamma^*$ ).

Informally, it consists of the current state, the string left to be read, and the description of the current stack.

### Definition 3

Let  $P = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \Delta, q_0, A)$  be a PDA. The relation  $\vdash_P$  (read as “changes to”) is defined on the set of i.d.s as follows:

If  $(q, a, B, q', B') \in \Delta$ , then  $(q, ax, B\alpha) \vdash_P (q', x, B'\alpha)$ , and no other pairs of i.d.s are related.

In other words:

$$(q, y, \beta) \vdash_P (q', y', \beta') \iff \exists a \in \Sigma_\epsilon, B \in \Gamma_\epsilon, \alpha \in \Gamma^*, B' \in \Gamma_\epsilon \text{ such that } y = ay', \beta = B\alpha, \beta' = B'\alpha, (q, a, B, q', B') \in \Delta$$

### Definition 4

$\vdash_P^*$  is defined as the reflexive transitive closure of  $\vdash$  (read as “changes to in finitely many steps”).

**Definition 5**

$x \in \Sigma^*$  is said to be accepted by PDA  $P = (Q, \Sigma^*, \Gamma, \Delta, q_0, A)$  iff

$$(q_0, x, \epsilon) \vdash_P^* (q, \epsilon, \alpha)$$

for some  $q \in A$  and some  $\alpha \in \Gamma^*$ .

### 3 Content

We begin with an informal discussion first.

Note that we look at two kinds of objects - languages and recognizers.

Language Class	Recognizer	Generator
Regular	DFA/NFA	Regular expressions
Context-free	Non deterministic pushdown automaton	Grammar

Note that unlike DFA/NFAs (which have the same power), NPDAs are more powerful than DPDAs.

An NFA looks like a tape where we have a read head pointing to a location in the string, with some state associated to the read head, and transitions can either go to the next position (in the string) or remain at the same position.

A PDA is similar to this, except that it has a stack as well.

$(q, a, B, q', B')$  is a transition in a PDA.

1.  $q$  is the current state.
2.  $a$  is the current input.
3.  $B$  is the top of the stack that can be popped ( $\epsilon$  if not popping).
4.  $q'$  is the next state.
5.  $B'$  is the top of the stack after pushing  $B'$  on the stack ( $\epsilon$  if we don't push anything on the stack).

**Claim 0.1**

A PDA can recognize  $\{a^n b^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ .

Intuition: try to think in terms of the stack. When is it empty? Do we need it to be empty?

See definitions section for the formal definition.

**Example 1**

$\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $L$  is the set of all palindromes.  $\Gamma = \{0, 1, \perp\}$ ,  $Q = \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}$ . Define in the usual sense (see lecture).

**Claim 0.2**

$x \in L \iff (q_0, x, \epsilon) \vdash_P^* (q_3, \epsilon, \epsilon)$ .

*Proof.* Exercise. □