

# COL352 Lecture 12

## Contents

1	Recap	1
2	Definitions	1
3	Content	1

## 1 Recap

Discussion in previous class.

## 2 Definitions

## 3 Content

### Theorem 1

#### Myhill-Nerode Theorem (Part 1)

If  $L$  is regular, then  $=_L$  has finitely many equivalence classes.

*Proof.*  $L$  is regular, so there exists DFA  $D$  that recognizes  $L$ . So the number of states of  $D$  is at least the number of equivalence classes of  $D$ , which is at least the number of equivalence classes of  $=_L$ . Hence, this claim follows since there are finitely many states in  $D$ .  $\square$

### Theorem 2

#### Myhill-Nerode Theorem (Part 2)

Suppose  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  is a language such that  $=_L$  has finitely many equivalence classes, say  $k$ . Then  $L$  is recognized by a DFA  $D(L)$  with  $k$  states. (Note that this would imply that  $D(L)$  would be a minimum-state DFA for  $L$ ).

*Proof.* Consider  $Q = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid w \text{ is the lexicographically smallest string in its equivalence class of } =_L\}$ .

$=_L$  has finitely many equivalence classes, so  $Q$  is finite, and  $|Q| = k$ .

Define  $rep : \Sigma^* \rightarrow Q$  as  $rep(x) =$  the lexicographically smallest string in the equivalence class of  $x$  under  $=_L$ .

Initial state of  $D(L) = \epsilon$  (since  $\epsilon \in Q$ , as it is the lexicographically smallest string in its equivalence class).

Accepting states of  $D(L)$ ,  $A = \{w \in Q \mid w \in L\}$ .

Transition function of  $D(L) : \forall w \in Q, a \in \Sigma : \delta(w, a) = rep(wa)$ .

### Claim 2.1

$$\hat{\delta}(\epsilon, x) = rep(x)$$

*Proof.* By induction on  $|x|$ . If  $|x| = 0$ , then  $x = \epsilon$ , so since  $\hat{\delta}(\epsilon, \epsilon) = \epsilon$ , the base case is done.

Now suppose  $x = x'a$  for  $x' \in \Sigma^*, a \in \Sigma$ . Then we have  $\hat{\delta}(\epsilon, x) = \delta(\hat{\delta}(\epsilon, x'), a) = \delta(\text{rep}(x'), a) = \text{rep}(\text{rep}(x')a) = \text{rep}(x'a) = \text{rep}(x)$ . The second last equality follows from  $=_L$ , since by definition,  $x' =_L \text{rep}(x') \implies x'a =_L \text{rep}(x')a \implies x =_L \text{rep}(x')a \implies \text{rep}(x) = \text{rep}(\text{rep}(x')a)$ . The first equality from the definition of  $\hat{\delta}$ , the second from the induction hypothesis and the third from the definition of  $\delta$ .  $\square$

### Claim 2.2

$D(L)$  recognizes  $L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be an arbitrary string in  $\Sigma^*$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} x \in L &\iff \text{rep}(x) \in L \\ &\iff \text{rep}(x) \in A \\ &\iff \hat{\delta}(\epsilon, x) \in A \\ &\iff x \text{ is accepted by } D(L) \end{aligned}$$

The first follows using  $\epsilon$  in the definition of  $=_L$ , the second from the definition of  $A$  and of  $\text{rep}$ , the third from the previous claim, and the last from the definition of a DFA.  $\square$

Observe that this proves Myhill-Nerode theorem part 2.  $\square$

### Question 1

How does  $\sim_{D(L)}$  look like?

*Answer.* The number of equivalence classes of  $\sim_{D(L)}$  is equal to the number of equivalence classes of  $=_L$ . Since  $D(L)$  recognizes  $L$ ,  $\sim_{D(L)}$  refines  $=_L$ .

### Question 2

How do we show that all DFAs with  $k$  states that recognize  $L$  are isomorphic?

*Answer.* Suppose DFA  $D$  recognizes  $L$  and has exactly as many states as  $D(L)$ . So  $\sim_D$  is the same as  $\sim_{D(L)}$ , which is the same as  $=_L$ . Each equivalence class of  $\sim_D$  represents a state of  $D$ , and each equivalence class of  $\sim_{D(L)}$  represents a state of  $D(L)$ , and this gives the required isomorphism. Fill in the details – exercise.

### Question 3

Given a DFA  $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, A)$ , recognizing some language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ , construct  $D(L)$ .

*Answer.* Idea: We know the thing about how  $\sim_D$  refines  $=_L$ .

For  $q \in Q$ , define  $C_q = \{x \in \Sigma^* \mid \hat{\delta}(q_0, x) = q\}$ . If it isn't the null set, it is an equivalence class of  $\sim_D$ .

We do the following steps.

1. Remove unreachable states, i.e.,  $q$  such that  $C_q = \emptyset$ .
2. Idea: Figure out, for each  $q, q'$  in  $Q$ , whether  $C_q, C_{q'}$  are contained in the same equivalence class of  $=_L$  and if so, merge them somehow.

Define relation  $\equiv$  on  $Q$  as  $q \equiv q'$  if  $C_q, C_{q'}$  are contained in the same equivalence class of  $=_L$ . Then we would merge  $q, q'$  if  $q \equiv q'$ .